

As a leading Irish business school in a public university system, KBS is committed to excellence in teaching and to fostering knowledge and understanding of business and society within a diverse, research active and socially engaged environment.

Research at KBS serves this mission. Our goal is to cultivate excellence in research, underpinned by our core values including commitments to promote learning and discovery as well as social responsibility and ethical behaviour; to protect academic freedom and enhance knowledge; to maintain a future orientation and an international perspective; to promote inclusive and sustainable societies and facilitate the involvement of industry.

Our research finds a focus in the four academic departments of the School as well as in active research clusters and broad thematic descriptors. The current research clusters are: Accountability (ARC): Efficiency & Productivity Analysis; Emerging Risk Assessment & Underwriting; Human Rights & Development Practice; Interpretive Consumer Research; Psychological Science in Business; Privatisation & PPP; and Quality of Work. Research seminars are also regularly organised by the themes of Work, Knowledge & Employment and Public Policy, Enterprise, Governance & Sustainability.

See http://www.ul.ie/business/ research for more information.

## KBS RESEARCH BULLETIN MAY 2019

### **ISSUE 73**

# Subnational location capital: The role of subnational institutional actors and socio-spatial factors on firm location

#### Sinéad Monaghan, Patrick Gunnigle & Jonathan Lavelle

#### Synopsis

This study investigates how subnational governance, regional development bodies, and educational institutions) location capital for multinational firms. Most research on location capital and inward investment has traditionally focused at the national level, yet due to a more nuanced level of engagement, the subnational level can contribute to firm location. This study demonstrates how inward investing firms can access crucial economic and social assets through relationships at the subnational level, namely activities such as localized initiatives and identified connections (structural location capital), managerial experience and local labour pools (relational location capital) and localized attitude and guality of life (cognitive location capital).

#### Introduction and Background

The location of multinational companies (MNCs) has fundamentally been premised upon national, economic drivers, including financial determinants, global value chain coordination and low-cost labour, which allow firms to build and retain proprietary knowledge, penetrate new markets and leverage global strategic options (Beugelsdijk, McCann & Mudambi, 2010; Dunning, 2009). More recently, the role of socio-spatial factors, namely a location-based form of social capital such as networks, personal attributes and experience, have been purported as a growing rationale for

MNC location (Tregaskis & Almond, 2018). Social capital theory highlights how firms access socio-spatial assets available within relationships and connections through three interrelated dimensions: structural, relational and cognitive (Adler & Kwon, 2002; Nahapiet & Ghoshal, 1998). As it is shared amongst individuals within a relationship, social capital can increase the efficiency of action for firms, reduce their transaction costs and contribute to collaborative, adaptive learning and innovation (Nahapiet & Ghoshal, 1998). Yet, we know relatively little about what relationships exist or how socio-spatial factors operate in facilitating location-based social capital.

We propose that subnational institutional actors - local regulatory and serviceproviding institutions with a functional remit to engage with foreign-owned enterprise at a subnational level - can intervene in the engagement of a firm with its location to communicate and facilitate subnational location capital. The subnational location is defined as the territorial and administrative jurisdiction below the national level of state governance yet above the community, municipality and city level (Santangelo, Meyer & Jindra, 2016). The overall aim

of this research was to explore how subnational institutional actors facilitate locational capital for firms.

#### Issues and Questions Considered

There has been a shift in discourse on





Dr Sinead Monaghan Trinity Business School, University of Dublin



**AUTHORS** 

Dr Patrick Gunnigle Kemmy Business School, University of Limerick



Dr Jonathan Lavelle Kemmy Business School, University of Limerick

location from national country level analysis to subnational level (Almond, 2011; Beugelsdijk Sanz, 2014; Dunning, 2009). While nationalassume little to no intra-country variation, the subnational location offers a more nuanced perspective to location and spatial heterogeneity (Alcácer, Denzö & Zhao, 2015; Beugelsdijk & Mudambi, 2013; Lu, Song & Shan, 2018). Moreover, as socio-spatial attributes of firm location are premised on localized engagement, the role of subnational institutional actors is increasingly pertinent (Allen, Allen, & Lange, 2018; Buckley & Munjal, 2017; Cuervo-Cazurra et al., 2014). This study adopts the lens of social capital to explore how subnational institutional actors facilitate location capital for firms. Nahapiet & Ghoshal (1998: 244) describe the model of social capital as a "socio-structural resource ... inheres in the relations between persons and among persons". As social capital is within relationships, it allows a deeper exploration of how firms foster subnational locational capital and the role of subnational institutional actors within this. Social capital is composed of three interrelated dimensionsstructural, relational and cognitive-and this model has previously been used to explore intra- and inter-firm activities (Gooderham et al., 2011; Mäkelä et al., 2012). Drawing on the three dimensions of social capital theory, we posit that the socio-spatial attributes as subnational location capital, namely the through relationships within a location, which allow a firm to derive advantages.

#### Methodology

To explore this research question, we conduct an inductive, multiple case study of six MNCs in two subnational regions within Ireland and their representative subnational institutional actors. Two subnational regions were selected, based on their similar subnational institutional architecture, to allow a deeper exploration of the similarity and differences in activity of subnational institutional actors with MNCs across both regions.

Data collection comprised of qualitative interviews with senior MNC subsidiary managers and relevant subnational institutional actors. Fourteen semi-structured interviews, of approximately ninety minutes, were organized with key members of each MNC subsidiary. Concurrently, over thirty semi-structured interviews were held with subnational institutional actors within the local context. The subnational institutional actors included representatives of regional development agencies, educational institutions, local and regional authorities, inward investment agencies, employer associations and service-providers such as training agencies and recruitment consultants.

Following established procedures for multiple case studies, we followed three stages of analysis, where we coded the MNC and subnational institutional data concurrently. Nvivo10© was used to systematically organize, manage and reliably represent the data analysis process. The analysis was iterative with repeated comparison of our data with the emergent analysis (Miles, Huberman & Saldana, 2014; Strauss & Corbin, 2008).

#### **Outcomes and Findings**

In this research, we show that firms do not simply locate. They seek to accrue value for the MNC network as a whole and utilize resources for innovative advantage. In most instances, these capabilities cannot be easily claimed from a location, but rather accessed through ongoing locational engagement. Building on social capital theory, we show that subnational institutional actors enable subnational firms to identify, access and build greater opportunities within a location. We show that subnational institutional actors can foster three dimensions of subnational location capital for firms. Structural subnational location capital is evident in localized initiatives and identified connections, where firms can identify local institutional structures and industrial clusters, access markets, resources and knowledge whilst also developing existing partnerships and collaborations. Managerial experience and local labour pools encapsulate relational subnational location capital, which allows learning development, insidership and access to resources through relationships. Finally, localized attitude and guality of life form the cognitive dimension of subnational location capital and allow firms to develop social trust, innovation and a sense of market insidership. These three dimensions, and their composite activities, show that socio-spatial attributes are innately fostered within a subnational derive firm-specific advantages, such as access to resources and market, knowledge and innovative opportunities, social trust and labour market skills, from a location.

The importance of subnational location capital illustrates the fundamental source of spatial heterogeneity and firm-location dynamics for firms engaging with a particular location. As such, we enrich the understanding of how subnational institutional actors facilitate subnational location capital for MNC location. The Underlying study was published the British Journal of Management, and a copy may be obtained at: https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12341

Authors: Sinéad Monaghan, Patrick Gunnigle & Jonathan Lavelle

For further information and comments, please contact: Prof Sheila Killian Assistant Dean, Research Kemmy Business School University of Limerick, Ireland T: +353 61 202237 E: sheila.killian@ul.ie

Forthcoming Research Bulletin Title: Mend It or End It: Redirecting the Search for Interactions in the Organizational Sciences.

Authors: Murphy, K.R. & Russell, C.J.

About the KBS Research Bulletin The purpose of the KBS Research Bulletin series is to make our research more readily accessible to a wide range of interested stakeholders, and so to allow our work to have a useful impact on the society in which we operate. We regard this as an important part of our stakeholder engagement. The dissemination of these bulletins aligns with both the UL focus on excellence and impact in research, and on the KBS strategic goals of cultivating excellence in research while contributing to our community of stakeholders in a responsible and sustainable manner.

