



Measuring the impact of innovative human capital on small firms' propensity to innovate

Helen McGuirk, Helena Lenihan and Mark Hart

KBS
RESEARCH
BULLETIN

JANUARY 2015

29

As a leading Irish business school in a public university system, KBS is committed to excellence in teaching and to fostering knowledge and understanding of business and society within a diverse, research-active and socially engaged environment. Within this context, our commitment to strengthen excellence in research is supported by the four themes below, as well as strategies within each of the School's four departments.

The research interests of KBS faculty are diverse, and can best be understood by looking under both themes and more discipline-specific areas. The four main themes of our research are as follows:

- Work, Knowledge and Employment
- The Services Economy and Tourism
- Entrepreneurship, Innovation and Marketing
- Public policy, Enterprise, Governance and Sustainability

In addition to these four themes, each of the four constituent departments of KBS has an active research culture. The four departments are: Accounting & Finance, Economics, Management & Marketing, and Personnel & Employment Relations.

See <http://www.ul.ie/business/research> for more information

FORTHCOMING KBS RESEARCH BULLETIN:

Title: Post-Keynesian Stock-Flow Consistent Modeling: A Survey
Authors: Caverzasi Eugenio and Antoine Godin

SYNOPSIS

The ability to identify and evaluate the competitive advantage of employees' transferable and innovative characteristics is of importance to policymakers and firms. Our research extends the standard measure of human capital by developing a unique and far-reaching concept of innovative human capital and identifying its effects on small firm innovation and hence growth (jobs, sales and productivity). Our holistic model captures four key elements of the employee-manager: the traditional tangible elements, i.e., education and training, as well as the intangible elements of willingness to change in the workplace and job satisfaction. Based on information from over 1000 managers, our findings reflect the importance of innovative human capital. The research expands innovation theory by introducing the concept of innovative human capital as a determinant of small firm innovation, and highlighting its significance as a concept to consider when creating public support programmes for firms.

Introduction and Background

The importance of innovation for economic growth is well documented and has long been part of growth theory, beginning with Schumpeter's (1934) seminal work. Innovation is generally defined as the commercial application of new knowledge and the implementation of ideas, and plays an important role in firms' survival (Cefis and Marsili, 2006). It has been acknowledged as a key driver of firm growth and productivity (Ganotakis, 2012; Slaper et al., 2011) and a driving force for industrialised economies' international competition (Kuhlmann and Edler, 2003). Competitive advantage lies in part with the firm's capacity to innovate, evaluate and exploit internal and external knowledge (Cohen and Levinthal, 1990). As the embodiment of knowledge, human capital is an essential part of innovation and provides a competitive advantage for firms. There is great interest in the challenges of measuring human capital (Soboleva, 2010), due largely to

AUTHORS



Dr Helen McGuirk

Department of Economics, Kemmy Business School, University of Limerick.



Professor Helena Lenihan

Department of Economics, Kemmy Business School, University of Limerick
Email: helena.lenihan@ul.ie



Professor Mark Hart

Enterprise Research Centre (ERC) and Economics and Strategy Group, Aston Business School, Aston University, Birmingham UK.

A full copy of the paper can be obtained at:

McGuirk, H., Lenihan, H. and Hart, M. (2014) 'Measuring the impact of innovative human capital on small firms' propensity to innovate', *Research Policy*, doi:10.1016/j.respol.2014.11.008
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048733314001991>
or a copy can also be obtained by emailing helena.lenihan@ul.ie



the difference between human capital as a physical asset and human capital as an intangible asset (Soboleva, 2010). To date, there has been little empirical research on the combined tangible and intangible characteristics of employee-managers' human capital, whether these characteristics drive firm-level innovation, and whether they vary between small and larger-sized firms. The importance of the manager's role in firm-level innovation stems from his/her position within the firm in that managers make decisions, allocate resources, set priorities, control costs and spending, and filter ideas.

Issues and Questions Considered

The current research is motivated by the reality that firms and policymakers face many constraints and need to take advantage of existing resources, including human capital. An additional motivation is the increase in the percentage of third level education in the labour force. In Ireland, for example, the proportion of the labour force with a third level degree increased from 25% in 1996 to 36.2% in 2006; by mid-2011, 38% of people in Ireland aged 25-64 year held a third level qualification (CSO, 2011, 2012). This increase is also evident in OECD figures for the adult population. Employees with higher levels of education may no longer provide competitive advantage in terms of firm-level innovation. To this end, our research evaluates employee-managers' human capital to create a new concept, *Innovative Human Capital*. In this context, we pose two central research questions. First, does innovative human capital contribute to firm-level innovation? Second, does innovative human capital produce differing outcomes in small and larger-

sized firms?

Methodology

Our empirical analysis is based on the dataset from the Irish National Centre for Partnership and Performance 2009 Workplace Survey, which provides extensive information on employees' views and workplace experiences as well as firm-specific details including innovation activity. For the purpose of the current study, we selected only managers/supervisors from the private sector; this provided us with a dataset of 1129 useable observations, separated to account for small firms (less than 50 employees) and larger-sized firms (greater than 50). These size categories are similar to those used by Hewitt-Dundas (2006) in her study of constraints of innovation for small and larger plants in Ireland. As the theory suggests, firm size impacts on firm-level innovation (Hall et al., 2009; Rogers, 2004); consequently it merits investigation when measuring the impact of innovative human capital on small firm innovation. The methodology is divided into two stages. The first defines innovative human capital based on tangible and intangible characteristics of managers employed by private firms in Ireland; using an augmented innovation production function, the second stage estimates the hypothesis that firms who employ managers with innovative human capital are more likely to innovate.

Outcomes and Findings

The results of our probit estimations indicate that innovative human capital may be more valuable to small firms (i.e. less than 50 employees) than larger-sized firms (i.e. more than

50 employees). This supports the paper's hypothesis that small firms whose managers participate in training and are willing to change are more likely to innovate. We find that small firms employing managers with innovative human capital are more likely to engage in service, product and/or process innovation. In the case of larger firms, those employing managers who participate in training are more likely to process innovate (i.e., generate ideas or behaviours leading to significant improvements in the way work is carried out). The results of this research contribute to innovation theory and proffer potentially important policy implications. While numerous policy initiatives have focused on supporting R&D in pursuit of innovation, the current economic climate of severely reduced budgets necessitates a focus on the internal resources and capabilities of firms. It is critical to create an enabling environment (within both the firm and the broader context in which the firm operates) that recognises and embraces innovative human capital as a determinant of small firm innovation. While Ireland is the focus of this research, the methodological approach and analysis may be applied more broadly by using similar workplace surveys; such surveys have been conducted in Canada and the UK and are currently in progress in Australia. Our research introduces the concept of innovative human capital; the concept will require further examination of different categories of human capital across all firm sizes (e.g., owner-managers, non-managerial employees in firms). Such research would result in more in-depth assessment of this significant new concept.

For further information and comments, About the KBS Research Bulletin please contact:

Dr Sheila Killian
Assistant Dean, Research
Kemmy Business School
University of Limerick
Ireland
Tel: +353 61 202237 (direct dial)
Email: sheila.killian@ul.ie

The purpose of the KBS Research Bulletin is to make our research more readily accessible to a wide range of interested stakeholders, and in doing so to enhance the impact of excellent, evidence-based research on the society and economy in which we operate. The bulletins are an important part of stakeholder engagement at the KBS. Their dissemination aligns with both the UL research focus on "translational research" - that is, research with real consequences and real practice impact - and the KBS strategic goals of strengthening excellence in research and contributing to economic, social and community development.