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## 1 Letter of endorsement from the head of institution

Dr. Ruth E Gilligan<br>Associate Director<br>Equality Charters<br>Advance HE<br>First Floor, Westminster Tower<br>3 Albert Embankment<br>London, SE1 7SP

30 November 2018

Dear Dr. Gilligan,

I enclose the University of Limerick's Institutional Athena SWAN Bronze Award application. Gender equality and equity is at the heart of our ethos, and in 2015 we were one of the first institutions in Ireland to gain a Bronze Institution Award. I have chaired the Athena SWAN Steering Committee (ASSC) since assuming the position of President in May 2017, and my aim has been to maintain this momentum.

Our current strategic plan commits the institution to equality and diversity, and to the Athena SWAN process as a mechanism for driving and measuring institutional change. We are the highest in Ireland regarding female representation at full professor level, rising from $8 \%$ in 2007 to $32 \%$ in 2018 - eight points above the national average. $37 \%$ of our associate professors are female, three points above the national average and 116\% increase since 2015. During autumn 2017, I restructured the Executive Committee of the University so that 8 of the 12 members are women, surpassing the target of $40 \%$ females set by the Minister for State for Higher Education Mary Mitchell O'Connor TD. UL was first in Ireland to introduce a grant of $€ 21 \mathrm{k}$ per returning academic carer - the grant provides recipients six months free from teaching duties to catalyse their research. Thirty-two women have availed of this grant since 2015, which represents a commitment of $€ 0.9 \mathrm{~m}$. Resourcing this grant is just part of my commitment to supporting staff through maternity, adoptive, parental and carers leave.

In January 2018, I appointed Professor Ita Richardson to the Executive Committee as Special Advisor in Equality \& Diversity to support the development of the expanded AS charter and I am committed to going further, appointing a Vice President and two additional two staff to this area.

Communications and training are key to sustaining cultural change. In 2018, the Higher Education Authority (HEA) funded UL to host the first Catalyst MARC (Men Achieving Real Change) Programme in the higher education (HE) sector globally. I was a full programme participant along with eight university/institute of technology (IOT) presidents and 50 senior
colleagues ( $70 \%$ male). Each member of the Executive Committee will now undertake similar programmes.

As a national leader on gender equality in education, I am keen to extend our field of influence in diversity and inclusion. I am strongly committed to providing access to the University with a particular focus on refugees and asylum seekers. Indeed, UL was awarded the status of University of Sanctuary in 2017 by City of Sanctuary in the UK. Amongst other attributes, I believe our commitment to equality and diversity underpins the recent announcement in the Sunday Times Good University Guide that UL is Irish University of the Year 2019. Furthermore, our strong focus on addressing gender issues for staff and students has led to UL being ranked third in the EU for gender equality.

Our submission focuses on sustaining a culture that is conducive to equality and diversity, and I trust our application captures my strong commitment.

The information presented throughout is an honest, accurate and true representation of the University, and I endorse the enclosed submission in the strongest possible terms.

Kind regards,


Dr. Des Fitzgerald
President

## (i) Where UL is in the Athena SWAN process

Established in 1972, the University of Limerick (UL) is an independent, internationally focused university with 14,768 students ( $48 \%$ F) and 1,724 staff ( $55 \%$ F). UL offers programmes in disciplines including arts, business, engineering, design and architecture, health (including medicine), information and communication technology, law, mathematics and natural sciences.

UL is proud of being one of the first institutions in Ireland to achieve an Athena SWAN (AS) Bronze Institution Award (July 2015). Since then, we have worked diligently to embed AS across all faculties and departments (see Figure 2.1 and section 3(i)).


Figure 2.1 UL Athena SWAN structures

UL conducted an attitude survey with open to all members of staff in March 2018. The response rate was $53 \%$; Table 2.1 shows response rates by gender.

Table 2.1 Staff survey response rates by gender

|  | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Non-binary* | Other** $^{*}$ | Overall |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | 192 | 469 | 3 | 167 | 831 |
| $\%$ | $23 \%$ | $56.5 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ | $53 \%$ |

*As the three non-binary respondents are $<5$, we will not be reporting their responses to protect anonymity (a survey condition).
**'Other' comprises respondents who did not give their gender. Because respondents in this category did not answer some of the remaining survey questions, gender comparisons in tables and graphs in this application are restricted to female and male only.

Action 2.1 Produce an annual Athena SWAN report on the Action Plan (2019-2023) to raise awareness and improve engagement with AS and gender equality initiatives and achievements.

At 32\% (national average ${ }^{1}=24 \%$ ), UL has the highest percentage of full female professors (salary range $€ 114,740-€ 144,187$ ) in Irish universities.

Current AS teams include 219 (133F, 86M, 61\%F) staff members.
Table 2.2 UL AS membership* by gender

| Committee/Team | M | F | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSC (chaired by President) | 10 | 15 | 25 |
| Faculty of Science and Engineering (S\&E) | 6 | 13 | 19 |
| Faculty of Education and Health Sciences (EHS) Equality \& Diversity <br> Committee (EDC) (chaired by dean) | 8 | 11 | 19 |
| Faculty of Faculty of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences (AHSS) AS <br> SAT (chaired by dean) | 4 | 11 | 15 |
| Kemmy Business School (KBS) SAT (chaired by dean) | 6 | 10 | 16 |
| S\&E SAT teams x (chaired by head of department) | 36 | 47 | 83 |
| EHS SAT teams x 6 (chaired by head of department) | 27 | 54 | 81 |
| Internal UL Athena SWAN Network (project chairs/leads/AS <br> champions) (chaired by Head of Equality and Diversity) | 13 | 18 | 31 |

*Not unique individuals (several members sit on multiple committees
The extent to which AS has been embedded at UL is evident in the responses from the AS staff attitude survey. $93 \%$ of those who responded to an awareness question (682: 438F, 182 M and 62 other) responded positively. Furthermore, $79 \%$ ( $372 \mathrm{~F}, 152 \mathrm{M}$ and 53 other) were aware that UL had an action plan relating to gender equality.

[^0]UL is the national leader in the Republic of Ireland (ROI) in having the most academic units ${ }^{2}$ with AS Bronze awards (four departments and one school), (see Figure 2.1 and Table 2.2).

Table 2.3 Current academic unit AS award holders

| Unit | Level | Awarded | Renewal |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department of Life Sciences | $\bullet$ | Sep-15 | Apr-19 |
| Department of Mathematics \& Statistics | $\bullet$ | Sep-15 | Apr-19 |
| Department of Physical Education \& Sport Sciences | $\bullet$ | Nov-16 | Apr-20 |
| Department of Physics | $\bullet$ | Nov-17 | Apr-21 |
| School of Education | $\bullet$ | Nov-17 | Apr-21 |



Members of the School of Education being presented with their Bronze AS Award by the Minister for State for Higher Education Mary Mitchell O'Connor.


Members of the Department of Physics being presented with their Bronze AS Award by the Minister for State for Higher Education Mary Mitchell O'Connor.

[^1]Table 2.4 Current academic unit AS award submissions

| Unit | Charter | Level | Submission |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Graduate Entry Medical School | Expanded | $\bullet$ | Nov-18 |
| Kemmy Business School | Expanded | $\bullet$ | Nov-18 |
| School of Allied Health | Expanded | $\bullet$ | Nov-18 |
| Department of Computer Science \& Information Systems/Lero | Pre-May'15 | $\bullet$ | Nov-18 |
| Department of Nursing and Midwifery | Expanded | $\bullet$ | Nov-18 |

In 2018, an AS internal UL network (18F, 13M) was established to share knowledge and experience, survey data, action plans, best practice initiatives and lessons learned amongst AS leads. The network promotes and hosts events and liaises with the newly establish National AS Practitioners Network led by UL. Twenty institutions attended the network's inaugural meeting in UL in October 2018. Its purpose is to share best practice across universities, colleges and loTs and to provide support to institutions commencing their AS journeys.


Some attendees at the inaugural meeting of the National Athena SWAN Network Meeting in UL October 2018

The Head of Equality and Diversity (HE\&D) (also UL's AS manager) has delivered workshops and conducted one-to-one meetings in number of Irish HE institutions. The THEA workshop in Dublin attracted 70 senior participants from loTs.

## (ii) Information on teaching and research focus

UL delivers a range of STEMM AND AHSSBL undergraduate (UG) and postgraduate (PG) programmes, up to doctoral level, across four faculties to 15,000 students.

UL values research excellence across all disciplines, and is proud of our reputation for delivering collaborative, interdisciplinary, impactful and translational research. with international academic and industrial partners. UL hosts three multidisciplinary institutes: the Bernal Institute, the Health Research Institute and Lero - the Irish Software Research Centre, in addition to externally funded specialist research centres.

We are recognised for world-leading achievements in materials, advanced manufacturing, software, health and applied mathematical sciences. UL researchers have attracted in excess of $€ 215$ million in funding awards since 2014.

The inaugural Times Higher Education (THE) Europe Teaching rankings (July 2018), places UL first in Ireland and third among more than 240 universities across Europe for its work environment incorporating staff and student gender balance.

| 曾 锶 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| UNIVERSITY |  |
| TEACHING |  |
| RANKINGS 2018 |  |
| EUROP |  |

GENDER BALANCE
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Figure 2.2 UL recognition by THE rankings 2018

## (iii) Staff numbers

As of July 2018, UL’s total of 1,724 staff members (55\%F) comprises 713 academics (49\%F), 278 research staff (42\%F) and 733 professional/support staff (67\%F).

Table 2.5 UL staff profile by gender, July 2018

| Category | Total | M | F | \%F |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic | 713 | 363 | 350 | $49 \%$ |
| Research | 278 | 160 | 118 | $42 \%$ |
| Professional/Support | 733 | 245 | 488 | $67 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 7 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 \%}$ |

Table 2.6 Overall UL STEMM and AHSSBL staff profile by gender, July 2018

| Category | Total | M | F | \%F | HEA avg \%F |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEMM | 705 | 404 | 301 | $43 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$ |
| AHSSBL | 264 | 110 | 154 | $58 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ |
| Academic other* | 22 | 9 | 13 | $59 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 \%}$ |

* Academics working in professional/support units, such as the Center for Teaching and Learning, Office of the Vice President Research, etc.


## (iv) Total number of departments and students

UL comprises two STEMM faculties (Science and Engineering (S\&E); Education and Health Sciences (EHS)) and two AHSSBL faculties (Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences (AHSS) and Kemmy Business School (KBS)), which host 26 constituent academic units (14 STEMM and 12 AHSSBL). Figure 2.3 outlines the schools/departments within each faculty.


Figure 2.3 UL academic structure
The enrolment figure of 14,768 (48\%F) for 2017/18 includes 11,723 UG, 2,230 taught PG and 815 research PG students. The proportion of female students has remained below the national average since 2014/15 (Table 2.6) across all disciplines (Figures 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7). However, the percentage of UG females has grown since 2014 from $44 \%$ to $47 \%$ in 2018. This improvement reflects an increase of 1,678 UG students, of whom 1,021 are female (61\%).

Note that in a number of graphs to follow, HEA core data for UL have been used for comparison with other Irish universities. This gives rise to slight variances between UL's own headcount and the HEA's reported core data for UL. For example, in Figure 4.4, the HEA percentage of UL professors is $31 \%$ while UL's is $32 \%$.


Source: HEA Statistics for Irish Universities (IUs)
Figure 2.4 Percentage female total enrolments in Irish universities 2018
Figure 2.4 depicts UL percentage female enrolments by education categories (left bars) against the average for other Irish universities (right bars). This shows that female student enrolments in UL (49\%) are less than the national average (56\%). Within STEMM, the percentage of UL female undergraduate students (39\%) is lower than the national average (52\%) (Action 2.2).

Action 2.2 Increase the proportion of undergraduate female students in STEMM subjects.


Figure 2.5 Percentage female undergraduate enrolments universities 2018 (Source: HEA Statistics for Irish Universities)


Figure 2.6 Percentage female postgraduate enrolments universities 2015-2018 (Source: HEA Statistics for Irish Universities)

The percentage of female undergraduates in teacher education in UL (37\%) is less than the national average (67\%) but UL is the leading educator of second level (high school) teachers in Ireland. With $71 \%$ of qualified teachers being female (cso.ie; EU figure $=64 \%$ women), we hypothesise that STEMM programmes, comprising the largest offering of teacher education in UL, are not attracting female applicants. This requires specific examination (Action 2.3).

Action 2.3 Investigate why fewer women are taking teacher education programmes in UL.

Table 2.7 Overall student numbers by programme type and gender, 2014/15-2017/18

|  | Total | M | F | \%F | HEA avg \%F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017/18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| UG | 11,723 | 6,268 | 5,455 | 47\% | 56\% |
| PG taught | 2,230 | 963 | 1,267 | 57\% | 60\% |
| PG research | 815 | 418 | 397 | 49\% | 52\% |
| Total | 14,768 | 7,649 | 7,119 | 48\% | 56\% |
| 2016/17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| UG | 11,725 | 6,324 | 5,401 | 46\% | 55\% |
| PG taught | 1,843 | 822 | 1,021 | 55\% | 59\% |
| PG research | 831 | 422 | 409 | 49\% | 51\% |
| Total | 14,399 | 7,568 | 6,831 | 47\% | 56\% |
| 2015/16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| UG | 11,104 | 6,011 | 5,093 | 46\% | 54\% |
| PG taught | 2,182 | 951 | 1,231 | 56\% | 57\% |
| PG research | 829 | 428 | 401 | 48\% | 51\% |
| Total | 14,115 | 7,390 | 6,725 | 48\% | 55\% |
| 2014/15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| UG | 10,045 | 5,611 | 4,434 | 44\% | 54\% |
| PG taught | 2,192 | 980 | 1,212 | 55\% | 55\% |
| PG research | 841 | 442 | 399 | 47\% | 54\% |
| Total | 13,078 | 7,033 | 6,045 | 46\% | 54\% |

The proportion of females in STEMM subjects has seen increases from 2014/15 to 2017/18: $38 \%$ to $40 \%$ at UG level (absolute increase $=404$ female students), $59 \%$ to $60 \%$ at taught PG level and $43 \%$ to $46 \%$ for postgraduate research. In non-STEMM disciplines since 2014, the proportion of females increased from $54 \%$ to $55 \%$ at UG, $50 \%$ to $52 \%$ at taught postgraduate and $54 \%$ to $56 \%$ at research postgraduate levels. In absolute terms, the increase in female UG students across UL is greater in non-STEMM than STEMM (619 vs. 404) (Action 2.1).

Table 2.8 Student numbers by STEMM and AHSSBL programmes by gender, 2014/15-2017/18

|  | STEMM |  |  |  | AHSSBL |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | M | F | \%F | Total | M | F | \%F | Total | \%F |
| 2017/18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UG | 6,730 | 4,045 | 2,685 | 40\% | 4,993 | 2,223 | 2,770 | 55\% | 11,723 | 47\% |
| PG taught | 1,427 | 577 | 850 | 60\% | 803 | 386 | 417 | 52\% | 2,230 | 57\% |
| PG research | 587 | 317 | 270 | 46\% | 228 | 101 | 127 | 56\% | 815 | 49\% |
| Total | 8,744 | 4,939 | 3,805 | 44\% | 6,024 | 2,710 | 3,314 | 55\% | 14,768 | 48\% |
| 2016/17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UG | 6,632 | 4,072 | 2,560 | 39\% | 4,727 | 2,176 | 2,551 | 54\% | 11,359 | 45\% |
| PG taught | 1,012 | 409 | 603 | 60\% | 790 | 370 | 420 | 53\% | 1,802 | 57\% |
| PG research | 582 | 314 | 268 | 46\% | 219 | 100 | 119 | 54\% | 801 | 48\% |
| Total | 8,226 | 4,795 | 3,431 | 42\% | 5,736 | 2,646 | 3,090 | 54\% | 13,962 | 47\% |
| 2015/16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UG | 6,260 | 3,884 | 2,376 | 38\% | 4,323 | 1,973 | 2,350 | 54\% | 10,583 | 45\% |
| PG taught | 1,410 | 573 | 837 | 59\% | 804 | 388 | 416 | 52\% | 2,214 | 57\% |
| PG research | 581 | 315 | 266 | 46\% | 216 | 103 | 113 | 52\% | 797 | 48\% |
| Total | 8,251 | 4,772 | 3,479 | 42\% | 5,343 | 2,464 | 2,879 | 54\% | 13,594 | 47\% |
| 2014/15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UG | 6,042 | 3,761 | 2,281 | 38\% | 3,994 | 1,843 | 2,151 | 54\% | 10,036 | 44\% |
| PG taught | 1,467 | 608 | 859 | 59\% | 755 | 380 | 375 | 50\% | 2,222 | 56\% |
| PG research | 583 | 330 | 253 | 43\% | 228 | 104 | 124 | 54\% | 811 | 46\% |
| Total | 8,092 | 4,699 | 3,393 | 42\% | 4,977 | 2,327 | 2,650 | 53\% | 13,069 | 46\% |

Analysis shows that in STEMM and non-STEMM subjects, proportions of female students are increasing or remaining the same at all levels, with no reductions recorded. However, the average difference between STEMM (42\%F) and non-STEMM (53\%F) subjects of $11 \%$ in 2014 remained constant in $2017 / 18$ ( $44 \%$ to $55 \%$ ) at $11 \%$. The lowest proportion of women in STEMM is at UG level ( $40 \%$ in 2017), but it seems that once women are in the STEMM education system, they are more likely to stay for taught (60\%) and research (46\%) PG qualifications. This suggests that actions to increase the number of women in STEMM disciplines should focus on pre-UG level and recruitment into HE (Action 2.2).

## (v) AHSSBL and STEMM units

Table 2.9 AHSSBL and STEMM academic and research staff numbers by unit and gender, 2017/18 (July 2018)

| Category | Unit | Total | M | F | \%F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AHSSBL | Accounting \& Finance | 28 | 16 | 12 | 43\% |
|  | Associate Vice President Academic | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | Dean's Office AHSS | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | Dean's Office KBS | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Economics | 16 | 9 | 7 | 44\% |
|  | English, Irish, Communication | 34 | 13 | 21 | 62\% |
|  | History | 8 | 6 | 2 | 25\% |
|  | Irish World Academy of Music and Dance | 23 | 7 | 16 | 70\% |
|  | Language Centre | 8 | 1 | 7 | 88\% |
|  | Law | 25 | 11 | 14 | 56\% |
|  | Management \& Marketing | 32 | 15 | 17 | 53\% |
|  | Modern Languages \& Applied Linguistics | 40 | 12 | 28 | 70\% |
|  | Politics \& Public Administration | 17 | 10 | 7 | 41\% |
|  | Sociology | 16 | 7 | 9 | 56\% |
|  | Work and Employment Studies | 14 | 2 | 12 | 86\% |
|  | AHSSBL Total | 264 | 110 | 154 | 58\% |
| STEMM | Allied Health | 61 | 3 | 58 | 95\% |
|  | Biological Sciences | 38 | 18 | 20 | 53\% |
|  | Chemical Sciences | 83 | 59 | 24 | 29\% |
|  | Computer Science and Information Systems | 57 | 44 | 13 | 23\% |
|  | Dean's Office EHS | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | Dean's Office S\&E | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Design | 44 | 35 | 9 | 20\% |
|  | Education | 46 | 25 | 21 | 46\% |
|  | Electronic \& Computer Engineering | 37 | 32 | 5 | 14\% |
|  | Engineering | 85 | 68 | 17 | 20\% |
|  | Graduate Entry Medical School | 84 | 37 | 47 | 56\% |
|  | Mathematics \& Statistics | 38 | 28 | 10 | 26\% |
|  | Nursing \& Midwifery | 34 | 1 | 33 | 97\% |
|  | Office of Research | 3 | 0 | 3 | 100\% |
|  | Physical Education \& Sport Sciences | 44 | 24 | 20 | 45\% |
|  | Physics | 28 | 20 | 8 | 29\% |
|  | Psychology | 21 | 9 | 12 | 57\% |
|  | STEMM Total | 705 | 404 | 301 | 43\% |
| Academic other |  | 22 | 9 | 13 | 59\% |
| Totals |  | 991 | 523 | 468 | 47\% |

Table 2.10 AHSSBL and STEMM professional and support staff numbers by unit and gender, 2017/18 (July 2018)

| Category | Unit | Total | M | F | \%F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AHSSBL | Accounting \& Finance | 3 | 0 | 3 | 100\% |
|  | Dean's Office AHSS | 7 | 1 | 6 | 86\% |
|  | Dean's Office KBS | 13 | 0 | 13 | 100\% |
|  | Economics | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | English, Irish, Communication | 3 | 0 | 3 | 100\% |
|  | History | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | Irish World Academy of Music and Dance | 5 | 1 | 4 | 80\% |
|  | Language Centre | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50\% |
|  | Law | 4 | 1 | 3 | 75\% |
|  | Management \& Marketing | 4 | 1 | 3 | 75\% |
|  | Modern Languages \& Applied Linguistics | 3 | 0 | 3 | 100\% |
|  | Politics \& Public Administration | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | Sociology | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | Work and Employment Studies | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | AHSSBL total | 49 | 5 | 44 | 90\% |
| STEMM | Allied Health | 11 | 0 | 11 | 100\% |
|  | Biological Sciences | 8 | 0 | 8 | 100\% |
|  | Chemical Sciences | 15 | 7 | 8 | 53\% |
|  | Computer Science and Information Systems | 18 | 8 | 10 | 56\% |
|  | Dean's Office EHS | 7 | 2 | 5 | 71\% |
|  | Dean's Office S\&E | 14 | 3 | 11 | 79\% |
|  | Design | 21 | 17 | 4 | 19\% |
|  | Education | 10 | 2 | 8 | 80\% |
|  | Electronic \& Computer Engineering | 11 | 9 | 2 | 18\% |
|  | Engineering | 36 | 21 | 15 | 42\% |
|  | Graduate Entry Medical School | 40 | 8 | 32 | 80\% |
|  | Mathematics \& Statistics | 5 | 1 | 4 | 80\% |
|  | Nursing \& Midwifery | 12 | 1 | 11 | 92\% |
|  | Office of Research | 30 | 10 | 20 | 67\% |
|  | Physical Education \& Sport Sciences | 8 | 3 | 5 | 63\% |
|  | Physics | 6 | 3 | 3 | 50\% |
|  | Psychology | 5 | 1 | 4 | 80\% |
|  | STEMM total | 257 | 96 | 161 | 63\% |
| Professional/ Support | Accounts (Administration) | 34 | 6 | 28 | 82\% |
|  | Associate Registrar | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | Associate Vice President Academic | 5 | 2 | 3 | 60\% |
|  | Buildings and Estates | 43 | 36 | 7 | 16\% |
|  | Cooperative Education \& Careers Division | 25 | 6 | 19 | 76\% |
|  | Graduate and Professional Studies | 7 | 2 | 5 | 71\% |
|  | Human Resources Division | 27 | 6 | 21 | 78\% |
|  | Information Technology Division | 77 | 36 | 41 | 53\% |
|  | International Education Division | 24 | 1 | 23 | 96\% |
|  | Library \& Information Services Division | 55 | 12 | 43 | 78\% |
|  | Marketing and Communications | 5 | 1 | 4 | 80\% |
|  | Office of Academic \& Registrar | 10 | 4 | 6 | 60\% |
|  | Office of Corporate Secretary | 7 | 0 | 7 | 100\% |
|  | Office of Director of Finance | 3 | 2 | 1 | 33\% |


|  | Office of President | 10 | 4 | 6 | 60\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Procurement \& Contracts | 11 | 5 | 6 | 55\% |
|  | Quality Support Unit | 5 | 1 | 4 | 80\% |
|  | Sports and Recreation | 10 | 5 | 5 | 50\% |
|  | Student Academic Administration | 15 | 2 | 13 | 87\% |
|  | Student Admissions | 9 | 1 | 8 | 89\% |
|  | Student Affairs | 30 | 9 | 21 | 70\% |
|  | Teaching and Learning | 10 | 3 | 7 | 70\% |
|  | Technology Transfer Office | 4 | 0 | 4 | 100\% |
|  | Professional/Support total | 427 | 144 | 283 | 66\% |
| Totals |  | 733 | 245 | 488 | 67\% |

## 3 The self-assessment process

## (i) A description of the self-assessment team

The ASSC has 25 members (15F, 10M) (Table 3.1) including six members (4F, 2M) of UL's Executive Committee. To ensure cohesion, ASSC academic members are members of their faculty AS SAT. Four members ( $2 \mathrm{~F}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ ) of the ASSC have been AS panellists while another four ( $2 \mathrm{~F}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ ) have been observers.

Since 2015, the ASSC has been chaired by the UL President.
The deans of the two STEMM faculties ( $1 \mathrm{M}, 1 \mathrm{~F}$ ) are members of the committee. The deans of the two AHSSBL faculties ( $1 \mathrm{~F}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ ) joined the committee prior to the commencement of the expanded charter in Ireland in November 2017. All four-faculty executive deans are AS champions for the institution.

Following an open call, the ASSC now includes representation from each of the work groups in UL.

Since 2015, Advance HE delivered four AS workshops to the ASSC and faculty/department AS SATs and facilitated department feedback clinics (48: 31F, 17M). Colleagues from Ulster University visited UL to share their experience (AS Launch 2015 (170: 136F, 34M), presented at the IWDC 2016 and attended an ASSC meeting. UL is an AS leader as evidenced by its establishment of the National AS Network, to promote best practice within the ROI.

Table 3.1 ASSC membership

|  | Title and name | Job title | ASSC role |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Professor Seán <br> Arkins | Professor, <br> Biological <br> Sciences | Department representative <br> on ASSC and S\&E SAT. <br> Member of ASSC Supporting <br> and Advancing Careers Team. |
|  | Professor Ursel <br> Bangert | Bernal Professor <br> of Physics | Department representative <br> on ASSC and S\&E SAT. <br> Institutional SAT member of <br> Supporting and Advancing <br> Careers Team. |

$\left.\begin{array}{l|l|l|l|}\hline & \text { Title and name } & \text { Job title } & \text { ASSC role } \\ \hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { Dr. Marie } \\ \text { Connolly }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Head of Equality } \\ \text { \& Diversity/AS } \\ \text { Manager, }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Lead of AS submission. } \\ \text { Former Chair National ASSC. } \\ \text { Led establishment National } \\ \text { AS Network. Former GEM EU } \\ \text { Progress-funded project } \\ \text { manager. }\end{array} \\ \text { Corcoran } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Head of } \\ \text { Department } \\ \text { (HoD) \& Senior } \\ \text { Lecturer, Physics }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Led the successful submission } \\ \text { for Department of Physics AS } \\ \text { Bronze Award. Department } \\ \text { representative on ASSC. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Cross } & & \begin{array}{l}\text { Christine }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { HoD \& Senior } \\ \text { Lecturer, Work \& } \\ \text { Employment } \\ \text { Relations }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { Department representative } \\ \text { on ASSC; member of Attitude } \\ \text { Survey Team. Co-chair of KBS } \\ \text { AS SAT. }\end{array}\right\}$

|  | Title and name | Job title | ASSC role |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Dr. Sara Hayes | Lecturer, School <br> of Allied Health | School representative on <br> ASSC and member of <br> Organisation and Culture <br> Team. Member of EHS EDC. |
|  | Dr Alan Hegarty | Senior Lecturer, <br>  <br> Statistics | Department representative <br> on ASSC and S\&E SAT. <br> Member of ASSC <br> Organisation and Culture <br> Team. |
|  | John M. Kelly | Senior Technical <br> Officer, Physics | Department representative <br> on ASSC and member of <br> Department of Physics SAT. |


|  | Title and name | Job title | ASSC role |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lr Louise | Lecturer, <br> School of Design | School representative on <br> ASSC and S\&E SAT. Member <br> of ASSC Organisation and <br> Culture team. |  |
| Dr Fathima | Research Fellow, <br> Bernal Institute | Bernal Institute <br> representative on ASSC and <br> S\&E SAT. Member of ASSC <br> Supporting and Advancing <br> Careers Team. |  |
|  | Professor <br> Edmond <br> Magner | Dean, Faculty of <br> S\&E | Faculty representative on <br> ASSC. Chair of S\&E SAT. Team <br> leader of ASSC Organisation <br> and Culture Team. |


|  | Title and name | Job title | ASSC role |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| David T. | Research <br> Postgraduate, <br> School of <br> Engineering | Postgraduate representative <br> on ASSC and S\&E AS SAT. |  |
|  | Lorcan <br> O'Donnell | Deputy <br> President/Welfare <br> Officer, Student <br> Life | Member of ASSC and Trans <br> Support Team. |
| O'Regan Philip | Dean, KBS | School representative on <br> ASSC. Co-Chair of the KBS AS <br> SAT. |  |
|  | Dr. Mary Shire | Vice President <br>  <br> Enterprise | Member of ASSC |
|  | Professor Ita <br> Richardson | Associate <br> Professor, <br> Computer Science <br> \& Information <br> Systems and Lero | ASSC and S\&E SAT. AS <br> Champion. President's special <br> advisor on Equality and <br> Diversity. Team leader of <br> Trans Support Team. |
| HoD, <br> Psychology | Department representative <br> on ASSC and EHS EDC; on <br> Supporting and Advancing <br> Careers Team. Submission <br> editor. UL representative on <br> AS National Steering <br> Committee. |  |  |

Members of the ASSC have a range of experiences (Table 3.1 and Figure 3.1). While the membership reflects the current profile of UL, we will take opportunities to broaden membership, seeking representatives from underrepresented groups to join the committee.

Action 3.1 Review and expand the membership of the ASSC to ensure that ethnic and underrepresented groups are represented and that gender representation is 50/50 female/male.


Figure 3.1 ASSC members' experience

## (ii) An account of the self-assessment process

Chaired by the President, the ASSC reports to the Executive Committee (Figure 2.1). The HE\&D presents to GA an annual report highlighting progress on gender equality and intersectionality issues.

ASSC meetings occur every quarter but met every two months for one year prior to submitting the renewal application. The chairs of each faculty AS SAT are members of the ASSC (Figure 2.1).

Since 2015, 20 ASSC and 30 faculty AS SAT meetings have been held (Table 3.2), the focus of which is to implement institution actions at faculty level, oversee and support department submissions and implement new initiatives. The E\&D section coordinates the schedule, sets agendas, monitors action follow-up and provides data and updates from ASSC.

Table 3.2 Record of ASSC \& AS SAT meetings, 2015 to 2018

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSC | 7 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 20 |
| S\&E AS SAT | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 18 |
| EHS AS SAT (EHS EDC since March 2018) | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 12 |
| FAHSS AS SAT (est. 2017) |  |  | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| KBS AS SA Committee (est. 2017) |  |  | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Total AS no. of meetings | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 2}$ |

The AS action plan is reviewed at each ASSC meeting. Progress that is behind target is highlighted and action agreed. The actions fall into three categories: (i) require institutional input, (ii) require faculty input and (iii) require HR input. Sub-groups of faculty AS SATs are responsible for implementing faculty-focused actions. The process is effective and provides cross-institution involvement in the delivery of the AS action plan.

The impact of AS can be measured by our progress in completing 53 of the 54 actions in the 2015 action plan. Those actions included key transformational projects such as the implementation of the Research Grant for Academic Carers, compulsory unconscious bias training for promotions board members, reviewing the WAM and initiating the pilot eWAM, reviewing promotions processes and the sabbatical leave policy, engaging all STEMM departments in AS and tender-proofing all role profiles.

Timetabling is one area where it was not possible to implement improvements - this project is currently underway at institutional level and will be informed by the AS staff survey.

The results of the staff surveys from 15 departments (since 2015, Figure 2.1) were presented at ASSC meetings. Actions requiring institution support are added to the institution plan to ensure they are implemented and tracked. A formal tracking system is required to support this process (Action 3.2).

Action 3.2 Identify and implement a formal system for tracking progress on AS actions at institution and faculty level.

We consulted across the institution to prepare for this submission under the expanded charter. We conducted one staff focus group in 2016 and 2017 and two in 2018 (professional/support (15F) and academic/research (11F, 1M)). Participants were invited by an open-call email. Comprehensive feedback provided a rich data source that informed the action plan.

Feedback from the staff survey and focus groups suggested that AS was not perceived to affect support staff so the response rate of $54 \%$ (compared to $53 \%$ overall) from professional/support staff is gratifying. The response from male academics (27\%); research staff ( $11 \%$ );, female researchers ( $28 \%$ ), and attendance at the focus groups ( 1 M academic) suggests that wider engagement with AS is a priority (Action 3.3).

```
Action 3.3 Conduct AS awareness-raising focus groups with male staff and researchers (male and female).
```

Five ASSC teams were established to address all aspects of the application and analyse data (Table 3.3). Members of faculty SATs were assigned to specific tasks, and each member of the ASSC was involved in compiling the institution submission. Additional staff and student representatives were invited to join teams where they had a particular interest/expertise. The draft submission was continually reviewed by the ASSC and critically reviewed externally. The ASSC signed off on the application.

## (iii) Plans for the future of the self-assessment team

The President has committed to the appointment of a VP for Equality, Diversity and Inclusivity as per a Gender Taskforce recommendation.

The ASSC will continue to meet on a quarterly basis to oversee the implementation of the action plan. The membership of the ASSC will be reviewed annually to ensure inclusivity and broaden its diversity (Action 3.1). Specifically, we aim to have a 50/50 gender representation by September 2020 (Action 3.1). Through open calls, each academic unit will nominate an AS champion from the unit's SAT (Action 3.5). The AS champions will attend quarterly UL AS Network events, and this will be recognised through the workload allocation model (WAM) (Action 3.5). The aim of the institution is to achieve an AS Silver Award ahead of our next renewal date (target date November 2021), when the impact of AS will be clearly visible (Action 3.7).

Action 3.4 Nominate AS champions within each faculty, school/department and professional/support area.
Action 3.5 Include AS champion work in the workload model.
Action 3.6 Provide faculty, school and department SATs with ongoing support.
Action 3.7 Achieve an Institutional Silver Award by November 2021.

Table 3.3 ASSC teams and tasks

| Teams | Tasks | Additional members* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Attitude Survey | Compiled/analysed staff survey and <br> focus group results | Elayne Ahern, Grace McMahon, <br> Elaine Smith, PhD candidates |
| 2. Student Data <br> Section 2 (iv) | Gathered, collated, analysed student <br> data; benchmarked against national <br> data | Dermot Cleary, Institutional <br> Research Officer |
| 3. Staff Data <br> Sections 2, 4, <br> 5.1, 5.3, 5.5 | Analysed/ benchmarked relevant <br> institutional data on career transition <br> /development to identify <br> challenges/opportunities <br> Generated evidence-based actions | Dr. Sandra O'Brien, Technical <br> Officer, Psychology |
| 4. Organisation and <br> Culture <br> Section 5.6 | Analysed staff survey responses re. <br> organisation and culture; <br> Collated faculty data <br> Generated evidence-based actions | Dr. Deirdre Ní Eidhin, Physics <br> and S\&E SAT; <br> Bernie Quilligan, S\&E SAT <br> member; Dr. John Walsh, KBS; <br> Dr. Gráinne Walshe, Manager, <br> Science Learning Centre |
| 5. Trans Support <br> Section 6 (i), (ii), (iii) | Collated/analysed relevant data <br> Generated evidence-based actions | Dr. Aoife Neary, <br> SoEd SAT member and <br> Intersectionality Researcher, <br> Alena Kiel, |
| Action Plan | Input from all teams and coordinated <br> at ASSC <br> Actions requiring institutional <br> support | Student Council LGBTQI+ <br> Representative and PhD student; <br> Dr. Lucy Smith, <br> Head of Student Counselling; <br> Sarah Hogan, <br> Student Representative |

*Additional to those already named in Table 3.1
With additional resources (see section 5.6 (i)), HR's Equality \& Diversity (E\&D) section will oversee the action plan and provide ongoing support to faculty/school/department SATs, including critique of submissions, delivering workshops and providing crucial data. The E\&D section extracts the staff data from CORE but the process is inefficient and requires manual data analyses. A bespoke interactive data platform that permits access to data for analysis and review is required (Action 3.8). The analyses on all faculties will then be reported on an annual basis by the HE\&D to the ASSC, EC and GA.

[^2]A four-year plan will be initiated to support professional/support divisions with applying for accreditation and the Faculty of AHSS with a November 2019 application (Action 3.9).

Action 3.9 Agree a four-year plan for engaging the all professional/support divisions in the process of seeking accreditation to the expanded charter.

Annual reporting to GA will be extended to Executive Committee and Management Council to ensure the institution remains committed at a strategic level (Action 3.10).

## Action 3.10 Present an annual AS Progress report to Governing Authority, Executive Committee and Management Council.

To increase awareness, the AS website will be regularly updated with information and associated communications.

An Equality, Diversity \& Inclusion (EDI) committee was established in 2018. Chaired by the special advisor (equality and diversity) to the President, the committee will implement a campus-wide EDI strategy. UL's responsibilities under the Public Sector Duty Bill and the Irish Human Rights and Equality Commission will be set out in the EDI strategy (Action 3.11).

Action 3.11 Finalise and secure formal approval for and implement the Equality, Diversity \& Inclusion strategy.

Resources are required to effectively implement both the EDI strategy and the AS actions across the institution, particularly within faculties (Action 3.12).

Action 3.12 Investigate the feasibility of appointing assistant deans for Equality \& Diversity.

A member of the EDI committee, the HE\&D, is responsible for ensuring that UL's E\&D policy is updated in line with relevant legislation and best practice. The revised policy will set out our continuing commitment to ensuring equality for staff and those to whom we provide services.

Action 3.13 Update the Equality \& Diversity policy.
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## 4 A picture of the institution

### 4.1 Academic and research staff data

(i) Academic and research staff by grade, gender and ethnicity

## Grade and gender

The number of academics (including research staff) is currently 991 (468F, 523M). Women account for $47 \%$ of academic and research staff, 1\% more than in 2015 (Table 4.1 and Figure 4.1).

Table 4.1 Overall academic and research staff by AHSSBL/STEMM and gender, 2015-2018

| Year | Category | Total | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | \%F |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2015 / 16$ | AHSSBL | 257 | 109 | 148 | $58 \%$ |
|  | STEMM | 700 | 412 | 288 | $41 \%$ |
|  | Academic other | 16 | 4 | 12 | $75 \%$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{9 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 \%}$ |
|  | AHSSBL | 265 | 112 | 153 | $58 \%$ |
|  | STEMM | 728 | 418 | 310 | $43 \%$ |
|  | Academic other | 14 | 4 | 10 | $71 \%$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 \%}$ |
| $2017 / 18$ | AHSSBL | $\mathbf{2 6 4}$ | 110 | 154 | $58 \%$ |
|  | STEMM | $\mathbf{7 0 5}$ | 404 | 301 | $43 \%$ |
|  | Academic other | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | 9 | 13 | $59 \%$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{5 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 \%}$ |  |



Figure 4.1 Overall academic and research staff by AHSSBL/STEMM and gender, 2015-2018
Figure 4.2 highlights that we have a higher than average percentage of female academic and research staff in AHSSBL compared to other universities in Ireland ( $58 \%$ vs. $50 \%$ ) while the
representation in STEMM is close to the average ( $43 \%$ vs $41 \%$ ) and increased by $2 \%$ since 2015. Most of this growth occurred between 2016 and 2017 and was maintained in 2018.


Figure 4.2 UL female staff compared to national average
We note a decrease in female representation in the Academic 'other' category, which includes academics working in the Centre for Teaching and Learning and the Office of the Vice President Academic Affairs \& Student Engagement (VPAASE) ( $75 \%$ to $59 \%$ ). This is due to growth in the numbers of male employees (from four to nine) in this category. UL is above the national average in AHSSBL with the highest percentage ( $56 \%$ HEA, $58 \%$ UL; $58 \% \mathrm{~F}, 42 \% \mathrm{M}$ ) in all Irish universities. In STEMM, we are at a similar level (40\%) to the national average (41\%) (Figure 4.3).


Figure 4.3 UL female academic staff numbers compared with other Irish universities for 2016 (Source: HEA core-funded statistics December 2017)

Action 4.1 Review and revise the measures aimed at attracting female candidates in STEMM.

Table 4.2 Overall academic and research staff by grade and gender, 2015-2018

| Academic year | Category | Total | Male | Female | \% Female | HEA avg \%F* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017/2018 | Professor | 75 | 51 | 24 | 32\% | 24\% |
|  | Associate Professor | 76 | 48 | 28 | 37\% | 34\% |
|  | Senior Lecturer | 100 | 57 | 43 | 43\% | 41\% |
|  | Lecturer Above the Bar | 202 | 94 | 108 | 53\% | 51\% |
|  | Lecturer Below the Bar | 128 | 62 | 66 | 52\% |  |
|  | Clinical | 55 | 15 | 40 | 73\% | n/a |
|  | College Teacher | 23 | 9 | 14 | 61\% | n/a |
|  | Teaching Assistant | 54 | 27 | 27 | 50\% | n/a |
|  | Senior Research Fellow | 21 | 19 | 2 | 10\% | n/a |
|  | Research Fellow | 33 | 18 | 15 | 45\% | n/a |
|  | Research Assistant | 69 | 33 | 36 | 52\% | n/a |
|  | Postdoc Researcher | 155 | 90 | 65 | 42\% | n/a |
|  | Total | 991 | 523 | 468 | 47\% |  |
| 2016/2017 | Professor | 76 | 55 | 21 | 28\% | 21\% |
|  | Associate Professor | 66 | 39 | 27 | 41\% | 29\% |
|  | Senior Lecturer | 98 | 60 | 38 | 39\% | 36\% |
|  | Lecturer Above the Bar | 222 | 103 | 119 | 54\% | 51\% |
|  | Lecturer Below the Bar | 113 | 54 | 59 | 52\% |  |
|  | Clinical | 58 | 19 | 39 | 67\% | n/a |
|  | College Teacher | 25 | 8 | 17 | 68\% | n/a |
|  | Teaching Assistant | 58 | 32 | 26 | 45\% | n/a |
|  | Senior Research Fellow | 26 | 23 | 3 | 12\% | n/a |
|  | Research Fellow | 38 | 20 | 18 | 47\% | n/a |
|  | Research Assistant | 63 | 26 | 37 | 59\% | n/a |
|  | Postdoc Researcher | 164 | 95 | 69 | 42\% | n/a |
|  | Total | 1,007 | 534 | 473 | 47\% |  |
| 2015/2016 | Professor | 71 | 50 | 21 | 30\% | 19\% |
|  | Associate Professor | 61 | 34 | 27 | 44\% | 27\% |
|  | Senior Lecturer | 84 | 55 | 29 | 35\% | 35\% |
|  | Lecturer Above the Bar | 220 | 107 | 113 | 51\% | 50\% |
|  | Lecturer Below the Bar | 120 | 52 | 68 | 57\% |  |
|  | Clinical | 42 | 20 | 22 | 52\% | n/a |
|  | College Teacher | 20 | 7 | 13 | 65\% | n/a |
|  | Teaching Assistant | 57 | 30 | 27 | 47\% | n/a |
|  | Senior Research Fellow | 25 | 22 | 3 | 12\% | n/a |
|  | Research Fellow | 42 | 22 | 20 | 48\% | n/a |
|  | Research Assistant | 80 | 44 | 36 | 45\% | n/a |
|  | Postdoc Researcher | 151 | 82 | 69 | 46\% | n/a |
|  | Grand total | 973 | 525 | 448 | 46\% |  |

[^3]

Figure 4.4 Academic career pipeline in UL based on HE core-funded figures versus all Irish universities (Source HEA Statistics)


Figure 4.5 Total UL female staff vs. national average (female core-funded staff)

Table 4.3 Academic and research staff by AHSSBL/STEMM, by grade and by gender, 2017/18

| Category | Unit | Total | M | F | \%F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AHSSBL | Professor | 19 | 14 | 5 | 26\% |
|  | Associate Professor | 26 | 13 | 13 | 50\% |
|  | Senior Lecturer | 39 | 18 | 21 | 54\% |
|  | Lecturer Above the Bar | 64 | 23 | 41 | 64\% |
|  | Lecturer Below the Bar | 51 | 21 | 30 | 59\% |
|  | Clinical | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | College Teacher | 15 | 5 | 10 | 67\% |
|  | Teaching Assistant | 34 | 10 | 24 | 71\% |
|  | Senior Research Fellow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Research Fellow | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | Research Assistant | 6 | 1 | 5 | 83\% |
|  | Postdoc Researcher | 9 | 5 | 4 | 44\% |
|  | AHSSBL total | 264 | 110 | 154 | 58\% |
| STEMM | Professor | 54 | 36 | 18 | 33\% |
|  | Associate Professor | 47 | 33 | 14 | 30\% |
|  | Senior Lecturer | 60 | 39 | 21 | 35\% |
|  | Lecturer Above the Bar | 137 | 71 | 66 | 48\% |
|  | Lecturer Below the Bar | 72 | 39 | 33 | 46\% |
|  | Clinical | 55 | 15 | 40 | 73\% |
|  | College Teacher | 7 | 4 | 3 | 43\% |
|  | Teaching Assistant | 20 | 17 | 3 | 15\% |
|  | Senior Research Fellow | 21 | 19 | 2 | 10\% |
|  | Research Fellow | 30 | 18 | 12 | 40\% |
|  | Research Assistant | 57 | 28 | 29 | 51\% |
|  | Postdoc Researcher | 145 | 85 | 60 | 41\% |
|  | STEMM total | 705 | 404 | 301 | 43\% |
| Academic other | Professor | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50\% |
|  | Associate Professor | 3 | 2 | 1 | 33\% |
|  | Senior Lecturer | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | Lecturer Above the Bar | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | Lecturer Below the Bar | 5 | 2 | 3 | 60\% |
|  | Clinical | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | College Teacher | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | Teaching Assistant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Senior Research Fellow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Research Fellow | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | Research Assistant | 6 | 4 | 2 | 33\% |
|  | Postdoc Researcher | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | Academic other total | 22 | 9 | 13 | 59\% |
|  | Grand total | 991 | 523 | 468 | 47\% |

The number of women at full professorial level shows a 41\% increase from 17 (2014) to 24 (2018). For men, an increase of $34 \%$ is noted ( 38 in 2014; 51 in 2018). Women's representation at full Associate Professor increased by 116\% since 2014 ( 6 to 13) whereas a $24 \%$ increase for male associate professors was noted ( 25 to 31 ). The number of women at this level increased (aggregate) from 19\% in 2014/15 to 44\% in 2015/16, primarily because more women were appointed to the role of Assistant Dean and HoD (see tables 5.6.2; 5.6.3). This decreased to 37\% in 2017/18 (Action 4.2).

Of the 24 female professors, 18 (75\%) are in STEMM (Table 4.3). However, these females are predominantly in EHS - two full female professors are in S\&E (Table 4.4).

Table 4.4 Science and Engineering senior grades by gender, 2017/18

| Grade | Total | M | F | \%F |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Professor | 27 | 25 | 2 | $7 \%$ |
| Associate Professor | 32 | 25 | 7 | $22 \%$ |
| Senior Lecturer | 29 | 27 | 2 | $7 \%$ |
| Total | 88 | 77 | 11 | $13 \%$ |

Action 4.2 Set targets to increase the number of females at Professor and Associate Professor levels by $10 \%$ in the next three years through recruitment and promotions process strategies.
Action 4.3 Enhance supports, including one-to-one mentoring and coaching, for female senior lecturers and associate professors in STEMM.
Action 4.4 Secure funding from the DES on the government approved Female Professorships, initially in S\&E.


Figure 4.6 The academic staff pipeline in UL STEMM and AHSSBL
National data for STEMM academic staff by grade are not available; however, at 40\%, STEMM total academic core-funded female staff in UL is similar to the national figure of $41 \%$. While STEMM female postgraduates comprise $55 \%$, there is a steady pipeline decline from here to Associate Professor level, and an increase at Professor level to $33 \%$.

Within AHSSBL, women are progressing up to Associate Professor level. The leak of females occurs in these faculties from Associate level (50:50 at Associate Professor to 1:3 at Professor level). There is a leakage of men up to Lecturer level and of women from postgraduate level (53\%F) onwards in STEMM.


Figure 4.7 'Academic career pipeline based on HEA core-funded figures for UL versus all Irish Universities' Source HEA statistics for student and staff data.

In comparing the career trajectories of women in academic and research-focused roles (Figure 4.7), we see that $87 \%$ of female researchers are in STEMM (Table 4.3: 103/118) but men are better represented at senior levels. Only two (12\%) female researchers are senior research fellows (SRF) and are in STEMM. At levels below SRF in STEMM, female researchers comprise $44 \%$ of the total.

In AHSSBL, there are few researchers at any level (10F, 6M) nor in other academic roles (5F, 4 M ), i.e. $60 \%$ female in total (Table 4.3). There are no SRFs of either gender in AHSSBL or other academic units.

Action 4.5 Raise awareness of the Research Careers and Development Framework.
Action 4.6 Undertake a specific focus group with researchers to explore barriers to career progression, especially for women.

## Ethnicity

Because collating data on race and ethnicity in the Irish HE sector is not legislated for, HEA data were unavailable. Our student population represents 87 countries. One in five staff
identify as a member of an ethnic minority, (Figure $4.8 \&$ Table 4.5), which is similar to the population in Ireland.

Table 4.5 Staff survey responses for ethnicity

|  | Total |  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  | Census <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnic census categories | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | $\mathbf{\%}$ |  |  |
| White Irish | 529 | 80.3 | 138 | 72.3 | 391 | 83.5 | 82.2 |  |  |
| Other White background | 98 | 14.9 | 40 | 20.9 | 58 | 12.4 | 9.5 |  |  |
| Other ethnic background* | 23 | 3.5 | 10 | 5.2 | 13 | 2.8 | 5.6 |  |  |
| Prefer not to say | 9 | 1.4 | 3 | 1.6 | 6 | 1.3 | 2.6 |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |  |

* "Other ethnic background" above and in the figure below included responses such as Irish Traveller, Black African, Asian Irish, Chinese and mixed background


Figure 4.8 Staff survey responses for ethnicity

Limited nationality data are available from HR, so the purpose of the AS survey, which included intersectionality questions, was to gather data on more equality and diversity variables. We need robust intersectional data, which are currently lacking in UL and across the sector in Ireland.

Action 4.7 Put processes in place to ensure that ethnicity data are captured through staff surveys and agree a process for collecting intersectional through eRecruitment.
Action 4.8 Lobby the HEA and the Department of Education and Skills (DES) and the Gender Taskforce to generate sectoral and national intersectional data on race and ethnicity in addition to the other categories specified in relevant legislation.
(ii) Academic and research staff on fixed-term, open-ended/permanent and zero-hour

Table 4.6 Academic \& research staff by contract type

| Year | Category | Contract | Total | M | F | \%F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015/16 | AHSSBL | CID/Permanent | 163 | 71 | 92 | 56\% |
|  |  | Fixed-term/specific-purpose | 94 | 38 | 56 | 60\% |
|  |  | \% Fixed-term/specific-purpose | 37\% | 35\% | 38\% |  |
|  | STEMM | CID/Permanent | 302 | 189 | 113 | 37\% |
|  |  | Fixed-term/specific-purpose | 398 | 223 | 175 | 44\% |
|  |  | \% Fixed-term/specific-purpose | 57\% | 54\% | 61\% |  |
|  | Academic other | CID/Permanent | 8 | 3 | 5 | 63\% |
|  |  | Fixed-term/specific-purpose | 8 | 1 | 7 | 88\% |
|  |  | \% Fixed-term/specific-purpose | 50\% | 25\% | 58\% |  |
| 2016/17 | AHSSBL | CID/Permanent | 171 | 76 | 95 | 56\% |
|  |  | Fixed-term/specific-purpose | 94 | 36 | 58 | 62\% |
|  |  | \% Fixed-term/specific-purpose | 35\% | 32\% | 38\% |  |
|  | STEMM | CID/Permanent | 320 | 196 | 124 | 39\% |
|  |  | Fixed-term/specific-purpose | 408 | 222 | 186 | 46\% |
|  |  | \% Fixed-term/specific-purpose | 56\% | 53\% | 60\% |  |
|  | Academic other | CID/Permanent | 8 | 2 | 6 | 75\% |
|  |  | Fixed-term/specific-purpose | 6 | 2 | 4 | 67\% |
|  |  | \% Fixed-term/specific-purpose | 43\% | 50\% | 40\% |  |
| 2017/18 | AHSSBL | CID/Permanent | 167 | 75 | 92 | 55\% |
|  |  | Fixed-term/specific-purpose | 97 | 35 | 62 | 64\% |
|  |  | \% Fixed-term/specific-purpose | 37\% | 32\% | 40\% |  |
|  | STEMM | CID/Permanent | 317 | 193 | 124 | 39\% |
|  |  | Fixed-term/specific-purpose | 388 | 211 | 177 | 46\% |
|  |  | \% Fixed-term/specific-purpose | 55\% | 52\% | 59\% |  |
|  | Academic other | CID/Permanent | 11 | 3 | 8 | 73\% |
|  |  | Fixed-term/specific-purpose | 11 | 6 | 5 | 45\% |
|  |  | \% Fixed-term/specific-purpose | 50\% | 67\% | 38\% |  |



Figure 4.9 Percentage female academic and research staff by contract type
The proportion of females on fixed-term/specific-purpose contracts in STEMM has remained stable at $59 \%$ (Table 4.6). The equivalent figure for men is $52 \%$. In AHSSBL, $40 \%$ of women and $32 \%$ of men are on fixed-term/specific-purpose contracts. Both figures have remained stable. Numbers in the Academic 'other' category are small: 6/9 men and 5/13 women are on fixed-term/specific-purpose contracts. Half of Academic 'other staff' are on such contracts.

Women are more likely to be on fixed-term/specific-purpose contracts as when compared to men, they are in time-bound roles, such as postdoctoral positions (see comment below). The Research Careers and Development Framework (Action 4.5) intends to address the issue of short contracts (actions 4.9 to 4.10 ). The AS principles commit us to addressing the negative consequences of using short-term contracts for the retention and progression of staff in academia, particularly women. While recently regularising many contracts, further investigation is required.
> "One of the Athena Swan principles is to commit addressing the negative consequences of using short-term contracts for the retention and progression of staff in academia, particularly women. UL needs to address this situation urgently. One of the reasons why so many leave this field is because there is no job security. ..... I would rather a lower paid secure job however I would miss the elements of my career which keep me motivated. It's simply depressing to work so hard, short contract, after short contract with no end in sight." Female academic staff member

Action 4.9 Undertake a comprehensive review of all fixed-term and specific-purpose contracts issued in the past three years.

Action 4.10 Investigate the reasons behind the rise in the usage of fixed-term and specificpurpose contracts for academic staff to ascertain if there are gender differences.

Table 4.7 Full-time and part-time academic and research staff, 2015-2018

| Year | Sub-status | Total | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | \%F |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2015 / 16$ | Full-time | 1,481 | 713 | 768 | $52 \%$ |
|  | Part-time | 188 | 41 | 147 | $78 \%$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 , 6 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 \%}$ |
|  | \% Part-time | $11.3 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ |  |
| $2016 / 17$ | Full-time | 1,514 | 718 | 796 | $53 \%$ |
|  | Part-time | 207 | 47 | 160 | $77 \%$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 , 7 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 \%}$ |
|  | \% Part-time | $12.0 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ |  |
| $2017 / 18$ | Full-time | 1,529 | 721 | 808 | $53 \%$ |
|  | Part-time | 193 | 47 | 146 | $76 \%$ |
|  | Unspecified | 2 | 0 | 2 | $100 \%$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 , 7 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 \%}$ |
|  | \% Part-time | $11.2 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ |  |



Figure 4.10 Full-time and part-time staff (all) 2015-2018
Overall, $11 \%$ of employees work part time, of whom $76 \%$ are female (2018). We need to establish if part-time contracts are by choice for women with young families/caring responsibilities (Action 4.11). Findings from other AS departments indicate that opportunities for promotion for part-time academic staff are limited. We will address this (Action 4.12).

Action 4.11 Hold career progression focus groups with part-time staff to establish if parttime working is by choice.

Action 4.12 Amend the promotions procedures to specifically consider academic part-time careers.
(iii) Academic staff by contract function and gender: research-only, research and teaching, and teaching-only
Table 4.8 Distribution of academic staff by contract function and gender, 2015-2018

|  |  | Male |  | Female |  | \%F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Contract function | Number | Distribution | N | Distribution |  |
| 2015/16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AHSSBL | Research \& teaching* | 84 | 77\% | 107 | 72\% | 56\% |
|  | Research only | 10 | 9\% | 7 | 5\% | 41\% |
|  | Teaching only | 15 | 14\% | 34 | 23\% | 69\% |
|  | AHSSBL total | 109 |  | 148 |  | 58\% |
| STEMM | Research \& teaching* | 210 | 51\% | 148 | 51\% | 41\% |
|  | Research only | 160 | 39\% | 112 | 39\% | 41\% |
|  | Teaching only | 22 | 5\% | 6 | 2\% | 21\% |
|  | Clinical | 20 | 5\% | 22 | 8\% | 52\% |
|  | STEMM total | 412 |  | 288 |  | 41\% |
| 2016/17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AHSSBL | Research \& teaching* | 88 | 79\% | 103 | 67\% | 43\% |
|  | Research only | 8 | 7\% | 11 | 7\% | 54\% |
|  | Teaching only | 16 | 14\% | 39 | 25\% | 58\% |
|  | AHSSBL total | 112 |  | 153 |  | 71\% |
| STEMM | Research \& teaching* | 219 | 52\% | 155 | 50\% | 41\% |
|  | Research only | 156 | 37\% | 112 | 36\% | 42\% |
|  | Teaching only | 24 | 6\% | 4 | 1\% | 14\% |
|  | Clinical | 19 | 5\% | 39 | 13\% | 67\% |
|  | STEMM total | 418 |  | 310 |  | 43\% |
| 2017/18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AHSSBL | Research \& teaching* | 89 | 81\% | 110 | 71\% | 55\% |
|  | Research only | 6 | 5\% | 10 | 6\% | 63\% |
|  | Teaching only | 15 | 14\% | 34 | 22\% | 69\% |
|  | AHSSBL total | 110 |  | 154 |  | 58\% |
| STEMM | Research \& teaching* | 218 | 54\% | 152 | 50\% | 41\% |
|  | Research only | 150 | 37\% | 103 | 34\% | 41\% |
|  | Teaching only | 21 | 5\% | 6 | 2\% | 22\% |
|  | Clinical | 15 | 4\% | 40 | 13\% | 73\% |
|  | STEMM total | 404 |  | 301 |  | 43\% |

[^4]

Figure 4.11 Distribution of academic staff by contract function and gender
There is little difference between STEMM male and female numbers since 2015 (Table 4.7; Figure 4.10). There has been an increase in women in clinical roles since 2016 (55: 40F, 15M). While small in number, these roles are concentrated within GEMS, Allied Health and Nursing \& Midwifery and are without a clear career structure (Action 4.13). AHSSBL has more teaching-only females and these positions are concentrated in Languages. A new College Teacher grade, with formal career pathway was introduced in 2017.

Action 4.13 Hold focus groups with clinical staff to review clinical career structures.

## Nationality

Staff nationality (HR core data) is highlighted in Figures 4.12 and 4.13 , which compare the data to the Irish Census general population data (2016). The proportion of UL staff which is non-Irish is y higher than the national average (Figure 4.12). Of those who identify as nonIrish, the range of nationalities within UL is broader than that found in the 2016 census data (Figure 4.13). This shows UL's success as an employer of staff from a range of countries - a cause for celebration.

Action 4.14 Celebrate UL's diverse culture by hosting events and ensuring representation on key committees.
Action 4.15 Ensure imagery used on all promotion materials is gender balance and includes age and ethnic groups and family friendly images.

Table 4.9 UL staff by nationality

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 / \mathbf { 1 6 }}$ |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 / 1 7}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 / \mathbf { 1 8 }}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Nationality | Total | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Total | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Total | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| Irish | 1,261 | 530 | 731 | 1,275 | 521 | 754 | 1,262 | 518 | 744 |  |
| British | 78 | 45 | 33 | 78 | 47 | 31 | 79 | 46 | 33 |  |
| Other EU | 120 | 63 | 57 | 132 | 69 | 63 | 128 | 64 | 64 |  |
| Other European | 11 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 4 |  |
| North American (USA/Canada) | 33 | 15 | 18 | 35 | 15 | 20 | 29 | 11 | 18 |  |
| Asian | 53 | 31 | 22 | 52 | 34 | 18 | 56 | 39 | 17 |  |
| Middle East | 12 | 10 | 2 | 14 | 10 | 4 | 13 | 10 | 3 |  |
| African | 13 | 11 | 2 | 13 | 10 | 3 | 18 | 14 | 4 |  |
| Australian/New Zealand | 5 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Central/South American | 7 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 7 | 2 |  |
| Other | 76 | 34 | 42 | 104 | 48 | 56 | 116 | 52 | 64 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 6 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 6}$ |  |



Figure 4.12 UL staff by nationality 2017/18 compared with nationality census 201

Top 10 Non-Irish Nationalities of UL
Staff - 2017/18


Top 10 Non-Irish Nationalities
Census 2016


Figure 4.13 Top-10 non-Irish nationalities at UL compared with nationality census 2016

## (iv) Academic leavers by grade and gender

Table 4.10: Profile of full-time and part-time leavers across STEMM departments

|  | 2015 |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  | 2017 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F |  | M |  | F |  | M |  | Unknown |  | F |  | M |  | F |  | M |  |
|  | F/T | P/T | F/T | P/T | F/T | P/T | F/T | P/T | F/T | P/T | F/T | P/T | F/T | P/T | F/T | P/T | F/T | P/T |
| Academic | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 11 | 2 |  |  | 7 | 12 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 1 |
| Researcher | 31 | 9 | 47 | 3 | 24 | 6 | 43 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 20 | 3 | 36 | 4 | 17 | 1 | 18 |  |
| Admin staff | 4 |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | 1 | 2 |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| Total | 39 | 13 | 54 | 7 | 36 | 9 | 56 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 31 | 16 | 48 | 5 | 28 | 7 | 25 | 1 |

Table 4.11: Profile of full-time and part-time leavers across AHSSBL departments

|  | 2015 |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  | 2017 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F |  | M |  | F |  | M |  | F |  | M |  | F |  | M |  |
|  | F/T | P/T | F/T | P/T | F/T | P/T | F/T | P/T | F/T | P/T | F/T | P/T | F/T | P/T | F/T | P/T |
| Academic | 5 |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 |  | 1 |  | 5 |  |  | 1 |
| Researcher | 4 |  | 1 |  | 4 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Admin staff | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Total | 10 |  | 1 |  | 7 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 8 |  | 1 | 1 | 6 |  |  | 2 |

Table 4.12: Profile of full-time and part-time leavers (Professional/Support)

|  | 2015 |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  | 2017 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F |  | M |  | F |  | M |  | F |  | M |  | F |  | M |  |
|  | F/T | P/T | F/T | P/T | F/T | P/T | F/T | P/T | F/T | P/T | F/T | P/T | F/T | P/T | F/T | P/T |
| Academic | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Researcher | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 4 |
| Admin staff | 5 | 1 | 5 |  | 6 | 1 | 3 |  | 4 |  | 2 |  | 5 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Total | 8 | 1 | 6 |  | 6 | 1 | 3 |  | 7 |  | 2 |  | 5 | 3 | 2 | 5 |

Table 4.13 Reasons for leaving (full-time and part-time) by category and gender

| Reason for leaving | STEMM |  |  | AHSSBL |  | Profess/Support |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Unknown | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |
| Resigned | 10 | 14 |  | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| End of project | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offered a new post |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| End of contract | 156 | 111 | 3 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 4 |
| No reason | 32 | 53 |  | 7 | 17 | 10 | 23 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ |



Figure 4.14 Academic and research STEMM leavers
Since 2015, more males than females in academic and research roles in STEMM have left employment in UL whereas this is the reverse in PSS and AHSSBL roles (albeit administrative leaver numbers are low). Researchers are most likely to leave because contracts tend to be specific purpose or fixed term. This is borne out by the predominant reason given for leaving was the end of the contract, and the high concentration of leavers of both genders was in STEMM, which has more researcher contracts. $30 \%$ did not give a reason for leaving. There is a need to examine the leaving rates by contract function to account for gender (Actions

### 4.16 and 4.17).

Action 4.16 Examine the leaving rates by contract function to establish if there are any gendered patterns.
Action 4.17 Formalise an automated system for gathering leaver data.

## (v) Equal pay audits/reviews

Undertaking an equal pay audit is not a legislative requirement in Ireland. As an agreed action item in the 2015 submission, we conducted an initial equal pay audit in 2016 followed by an additional report in 2017. The report was produced and circulated as part of

UL's commitment to monitoring equality and providing analysis and recommendations in relation to policy and practice for the payment of staff.

This first equal pay audit was based on one equality strand - gender. The audit examined academic staff by level. Analyses of top-of-scale Professor grade staff shows no (or negligible due to the multiplier used) difference between the actual salary for men and women.

Table 4.13 shows that the gender differences in mean pay gap figures in Table 4.14 are generally caused by having more males in certain grades.

In effect, the rate of pay for any academic grade is equal for females and males who have similar experience and who are carrying out equal or equivalent duties. To that extent, there is no issue relating to equal pay in UL.

We will conduct pay audits every three years and will address other strands of pay, such as starting salaries, every two years.

Action 4.21 Conduct a comprehensive equal pay audit, including professional/support salaries, every two years and report the findings to GA.

Table 4.13 Full Time Staff by Gender by Grade by Scale

| Full Time Staff - Gender Count by Grade and Scale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Gender | Headcount | Average Years in University | Average Years in Grade | Scale Points |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 9999 |
| Professor | Female | 18 | 13 | 5 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | Male | 41 | 13 | 7 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| Associate Professor | Female | 18 | 19 | 1 | - | - | 3 | 5 | 3 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
|  | Male | 36 | 22 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Senior Lecturer | Female | 24 | 15 | 6 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
|  | Male | 45 | 17 | 7 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Lecturer Above the Bar | Female | 101 | 14 | 8 | - | 1 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
|  | Male | 93 | 15 | 10 | - | - | 10 | 10 | 11 | 8 | - | 1 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Lecturer Below the Bar | Female | 59 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 16 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | Male | 48 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 19 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Teaching Assistant | Female | 16 | 5 | 2 | 14 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | Male | 13 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| Senior Research Fellow | Female | 1 | 15 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
|  | Male | 16 | 12 | 6 | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | - |
| Research Fellow | Female | 9 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |  | 2 |
|  | Male | 17 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 3 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |  | 1 |
| Post-Doctoral Fellow | Female | 41 | 6 | 2 | 18 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
|  | Male | 64 | 3 | 1 | 29 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Research Assistant | Female | 13 | 2 | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | - |
|  | Male | 11 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |  |  | 3 |

Table 4.14 Full Staff by Gender and Gender Pay Comparison

| Full-Time Staff by Grade and Gender Pay Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Gender | Average Years in Grade | Headcount | \% of Total Headcount | Mean Salary | Mean Pay Gap | Mean Pay Gap \% |
| Professor | Female | 5 | 18 | 31\% | € 126,595 | -€7,646 | -5.80\% |
|  | Male | 7 | 41 | 69\% | € 134,242 |  |  |
| Associate Professor | Female | 1 | 18 | 33\% | € 94,570 | -€2,895 | -3.00\% |
|  | Male | 3 | 36 | 67\% | € 97,464 |  |  |
| Senior Lecturer | Female | 6 | 24 | 35\% | € 85,225 | -€852 | -1.00\% |
|  | Male | 7 | 45 | 65\% | € 86,077 |  |  |
| Lecturer Above the Bar | Female | 8 | 101 | 52\% | € 70,723 | €163 | 0.23\% |
|  | Male | 10 | 93 | 48\% | € 70,559 |  |  |
| Lecturer Below the Bar | Female | 4 | 59 | 55\% | € 46,334 | -€633 | -1.36\% |
|  | Male | 5 | 48 | 45\% | € 46,967 |  |  |
| Teaching Assistant | Female | 2 | 16 | 55\% | € 28,432 | -€386 | -1.35\% |
|  | Male | 1 | 13 | 45\% | € 28,818 |  |  |
| Senior Research Fellow | Female | 1 | 1 | 6\% | € 65,032 | -€1,077 | -1.63\% |
|  | Male | 6 | 16 | 94\% | € 66,109 |  |  |
| Research Fellow | Female | 4 | 9 | 35\% | € 50,593 | -€3,828 | -7.21\% |
|  | Male | 3 | 17 | 65\% | € 54,422 |  |  |
| Post-Doctoral Fellow | Female | 2 | 41 | 39\% | € 39,453 | -€553 | -1.39\% |
|  | Male | 1 | 64 | 61\% | € 40,006 |  |  |
| Research Assistant | Female | 1 | 13 | 54\% | € 26,536 | -€1,319 | -5.84\% |
|  | Male | 2 | 11 | 46\% | € 27,855 |  |  |

## 5 Supporting and advancing careers

### 5.1 Key career transition points: academic staff

## (i) Recruitment

UL selects staff on merit. Job vacancies are advertised on UL's eRecruitment site, University Vacancies, Research Gate, Irish Jobs and through relevant networks. HR oversee recruitment processes, ensuring panels are in compliance with approved procedure

Guidelines on the composition of recruitment boards are detailed in HR policies, including a compulsory minimum 30\% gender representation on all boards. In a review of selection boards from the past three years, $80+\%$ boards included $35-40 \%$ gender representation. UL is committed to a 40\% gender representation on all selection boards from January 2019
(Action 5.1.1).
Action 5.1.1 Raise the gender representation on selection boards to 40\%.

This could be problematic, particularly in S\&E, where the smaller cohort of senior female staff may be overburdened. Amended guidelines will address this concern, and the use of external experts explored to ensure this target is achieved (Action 5.1.2).

Action 5.1.2 Review the composition of selection boards for senior posts to provide for the inclusion of additional external experts, where necessary, to ensure compliance with the minimum $40 \%$ gender representation.

UL undertook a self-assessment of recruitment and promotions policies and practices as part of the Gender Taskforce (GT) set up by the DES. The taskforce requested that institutions review current practices against the best practices identified. Against the 10 most frequent good practice initiatives, UL has initiated actions in eight categories and commenced analysis for the remaining two. UL was the first institution to offer the MARC (Men Achieving Real Change) programme within the sector and will offer further genderawareness programmes (Actions 5.1.3 and 5.1.4).

Action 5.1.3 Deliver compulsory face-to-face equality and diversity/gender awareness training workshops to all new staff during their probation period.
Action 5.1.4 Design and deliver a bespoke, compulsory, face-to-face equality and diversity/gender awareness training workshop to new and current managers.

UL is undertaking six 'aspirational' initiatives proposed by the GT. Initiatives have commenced in two but we have yet to undertake the establishment of search committees before advertising positions, to encourage qualified applications from underrepresented groups (Action 5.1.5).

Action 5.1.5 In circumstances where gender is underrepresented, use search committees before advertising positions.

All 2015 Bronze application actions have been carried out. While advertisements and recruitment packages now carry an AS statement, we know from focus group feedback that we need to make it more visible (Action 5.1.6).

Action 5.1.6 Ensure the AS statement is visible on all recruitment advertisements and packages.

HR and the KBS led a European PROGRESS-funded project on Gender Equality in Decision Making (GEM), the outcome of which was the publication of a Best Practice Guide 'Tailoring Organisational Practices to Achieve Gender Equality'. It promotes the importance of systematically integrating gender awareness into organisational policies and processes. The guide addresses recruitment and selection, performance management and progression/promotion. As part of this research, UL's recruitment and selection policies and processes were analysed for potential bias.

It is compulsory for all promotions board members to complete online unconscious bias training prior to inclusion on a board - 100\% compliance has been achieved. The training is compulsory for selection board members but because recruitment procedures await formal updating, data are not available for all boards (Action 5.1.7).

Action 5.1.7 Update recruitment procedures to ensure that compulsory completion of the online unconscious bias training is tracked by the competition owner prior to the recruitment process being undertaken.

Since 2015, all job descriptions are gender-proofed by HR. We are committed to specifying equality and diversity in management and supervisory job descriptions (Actions 5.1.8 and 5.1.9).

Action 5.1.8 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Update all Role Profiles to include the requirement to show "demonstrable } \\ & \text { evidence of leadership in equality and diversity" in all senior management job } \\ & \text { descriptions. }\end{aligned}$
Action 5.1.9 Include the requirement to show "commitment to equality and diversity" in all manager and supervisory job descriptions.

Data indicate that 190 (140F, 50M) staff have availed of HR interview board skills training in the last four years.

Table 5.1 Interview skills training by category and gender, 2015-2018

| Category |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | Total |
| STEMM | 4 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 21 | 9 | 33 | 14 | 93 |
| AHSSBL | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 19 |
| Professional/Support | 10 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 23 | 11 | 78 |
| Total | 14 | 2 | 29 | 6 | 35 | 14 | 62 | 28 | 190 |

Significantly, more STEMM and professional/support board members have undertaken the training, which is compulsory forthwith (Action 5.1.10).

Action 5.1.10 Ensure potential selection board members complete interview skills training before serving on the board.

Following a review of two pilot workshops on unconscious bias training in 2018, HR's E\&D section and ASSC academic members will design and deliver an in-house bespoke programme (Action 5.1.11).

Action 5.1.11 Design and deliver a bespoke, face-to-face equality awareness/unconscious bias training programme for staff

Table 5.2 Academic recruitment by gender, 2015-2018

| Recruitment type | Posts* | Applied |  |  | Shortlisted |  |  | Successful |  |  | Short/ Apps |  | Success/ Short |  | Success/ Apps |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | F | \%F | M | F | \%F | M | F | \%F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| 2015/16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 6 | 72 | 27 | 27\% | 22 | 9 | 29\% | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 31\% | 33\% | 9\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| Associate Professor | 2 | 1 | 5 | 83\% | 1 | 4 | 80\% | 0 | 2 | 100\% | 100\% | 80\% | 0\% | 50\% | 0\% | 40\% |
| Senior Lecturer | 4 | 21 | 21 | 50\% | 7 | 10 | 59\% | 1 | 1 | 50\% | 33\% | 48\% | 14\% | 10\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Lecturer above The Bar | 6 | 116 | 41 | 26\% | 25 | 7 | 22\% | 3 | 1 | 25\% | 22\% | 17\% | 12\% | 14\% | 3\% | 2\% |
| Lecturer below The Bar | 18 | 162 | 130 | 45\% | 50 | 43 | 46\% | 7 | 8 | 53\% | 31\% | 33\% | 14\% | 19\% | 4\% | 6\% |
| Teacher | 3 | 19 | 15 | 44\% | 4 | 5 | 56\% | 1 | 1 | 50\% | 21\% | 33\% | 25\% | 20\% | 5\% | 7\% |
| Teaching Assistant | 22 | 148 | 116 | 44\% | 35 | 31 | 47\% | 12 | 7 | 37\% | 24\% | 27\% | 34\% | 23\% | 8\% | 6\% |
| Other | 15 | 40 | 32 | 44\% | 24 | 15 | 38\% | 6 | 4 | 40\% | 60\% | 47\% | 25\% | 27\% | 15\% | 13\% |
| Total | 76 | 579 | 387 | 40\% | 168 | 124 | 42\% | 32 | 24 | 43\% | 29\% | 32\% | 19\% | 19\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| 2016/17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 9 | 30 | 18 | 38\% | 15 | 10 | 40\% | 3 | 4 | 57\% | 50\% | 56\% | 20\% | 40\% | 10\% | 22\% |
| Associate Professor | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 100\% | - | 25\% | - | 25\% | - |
| Senior Lecturer | 5 | 27 | 30 | 53\% | 5 | 11 | 69\% | 1 | 3 | 75\% | 19\% | 37\% | 20\% | 27\% | 4\% | 10\% |
| Lecturer above The Bar | 1 | 1 | 2 | 67\% | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 0\% | 50\% | - | 100\% | 0\% | 50\% |
| Lecturer below The Bar | 25 | 260 | 187 | 42\% | 38 | 53 | 58\% | 9 | 10 | 53\% | 15\% | 28\% | 24\% | 19\% | 3\% | 5\% |
| Teacher | 6 | 65 | 94 | 59\% | 14 | 11 | 44\% | 3 | 1 | 25\% | 22\% | 12\% | 21\% | 9\% | 5\% | 1\% |
| Teaching Assistant | 16 | 93 | 75 | 45\% | 19 | 18 | 49\% | 7 | 6 | 46\% | 20\% | 24\% | 37\% | 33\% | 8\% | 8\% |
| Other | 11 | 34 | 44 | 56\% | 25 | 25 | 50\% | 4 | 2 | 33\% | 74\% | 57\% | 16\% | 8\% | 12\% | 5\% |
| Total | 75 | 514 | 450 | 47\% | 120 | 129 | 52\% | 28 | 27 | 49\% | 23\% | 29\% | 23\% | 21\% | 5\% | 6\% |
| 2017/18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 4 | 61 | 13 | 18\% | 16 | 3 | 16\% | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 26\% | 23\% | 13\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| Associate Professor | 2 | 7 | 7 | 50\% | 3 | 5 | 63\% | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 43\% | 71\% | 0\% | 20\% | 0\% | 14\% |
| Senior Lecturer | 2 | 13 | 11 | 46\% | 1 | 3 | 75\% | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 8\% | 27\% | 0\% | 33\% | 0\% | 9\% |
| Lecturer above The Bar | 4 | 16 | 10 | 38\% | 9 | 3 | 25\% | 2 | 2 | 50\% | 56\% | 30\% | 22\% | 67\% | 13\% | 20\% |
| Lecturer below The Bar | 25 | 125 | 105 | 46\% | 43 | 47 | 52\% | 3 | 12 | 80\% | 34\% | 45\% | 7\% | 26\% | 2\% | 11\% |
| Teacher | 3 | 4 | 15 | 79\% | 1 | 8 | 89\% | 0 | 2 | 100\% | 25\% | 53\% | 0\% | 25\% | 0\% | 13\% |
| Teaching Assistant | 17 | 158 | 91 | 37\% | 27 | 22 | 45\% | 11 | 5 | 31\% | 17\% | 24\% | 41\% | 23\% | 7\% | 5\% |
| Associate Teacher | 1 | 12 | 6 | 33\% | 4 | 2 | 33\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 33\% | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Other | 32 | 52 | 42 | 45\% | 29 | 35 | 55\% | 13 | 8 | 38\% | 56\% | 83\% | 45\% | 23\% | 25\% | 19\% |
| Total | 90 | 448 | 300 | 40\% | 133 | 128 | 49\% | 31 | 31 | 50\% | 30\% | 43\% | 23\% | 24\% | 7\% | 10\% |
| Grand total | 241 | 1541 | 1137 | 42\% | 421 | 381 | 48\% | 91 | 82 | 47\% | 27\% | 34\% | 22\% | 22\% | 6\% | 7\% |

*Not all positions filled

Table 5.3 Research recruitment by gender, 2015-2018

| Recruitment type | Posts* | Applied |  |  | Shortlisted |  |  | Successful |  |  | Short/ Apps |  | Success/ Short |  | Success/ Apps |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | F | \%F | M | F | \%F | M | F | \%F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| 2015/16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Senior Research Fellow | 4 | 44 | 6 | 12\% | 8 | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 0 | 0\% | 18\% | 0\% | 50\% | - | 9\% | 0\% |
| Research Fellow | 7 | 50 | 22 | 31\% | 7 | 5 | 42\% | 1 | 4 | 80\% | 14\% | 23\% | 14\% | 80\% | 2\% | 18\% |
| Postdoctoral Researcher | 50 | 600 | 208 | 26\% | 85 | 37 | 30\% | 22 | 15 | 41\% | 14\% | 18\% | 26\% | 41\% | 4\% | 7\% |
| Research Assistant | 24 | 179 | 101 | 36\% | 32 | 17 | 35\% | 10 | 9 | 47\% | 18\% | 17\% | 31\% | 53\% | 6\% | 9\% |
| Other | 10 | 21 | 66 | 76\% | 4 | 22 | 85\% | 1 | 9 | 90\% | 19\% | 33\% | 25\% | 41\% | 5\% | 14\% |
| Total | 95 | 894 | 403 | 31\% | 136 | 81 | 37\% | 38 | 37 | 49\% | 15\% | 20\% | 28\% | 46\% | 4\% | 9\% |
| 2016/17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Senior Research Fellow | 6 | 36 | 4 | 10\% | 6 | 2 | 25\% | 4 | 0 | 0\% | 17\% | 50\% | 67\% | 0\% | 11\% | 0\% |
| Research Fellow | 10 | 87 | 21 | 19\% | 12 | 7 | 37\% | 6 | 2 | 25\% | 14\% | 33\% | 50\% | 29\% | 7\% | 10\% |
| Postdoctoral Researcher | 61 | 757 | 253 | 25\% | 114 | 57 | 33\% | 30 | 19 | 39\% | 15\% | 23\% | 26\% | 33\% | 4\% | 8\% |
| Research Assistant | 22 | 256 | 172 | 40\% | 20 | 34 | 63\% | 7 | 14 | 67\% | 8\% | 20\% | 35\% | 41\% | 3\% | 8\% |
| Other | 11 | 24 | 60 | 71\% | 7 | 33 | 83\% | 0 | 8 | 100\% | 29\% | 55\% | 0\% | 24\% | 0\% | 13\% |
| Total | 110 | 1160 | 510 | 31\% | 159 | 133 | 46\% | 47 | 43 | 48\% | 14\% | 26\% | 30\% | 32\% | 4\% | 8\% |
| 2017/18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Senior Research Fellow | 2 | 8 | 1 | 11\% | 4 | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 50\% | 0\% | 50\% | - | 25\% | 0\% |
| Research Fellow | 9 | 56 | 26 | 32\% | 10 | 7 | 41\% | 6 | 3 | 33\% | 18\% | 27\% | 60\% | 43\% | 11\% | 12\% |
| Postdoctoral Researcher | 37 | 296 | 124 | 30\% | 84 | 43 | 34\% | 15 | 11 | 42\% | 28\% | 35\% | 18\% | 26\% | 5\% | 9\% |
| Research Assistant | 16 | 103 | 72 | 41\% | 17 | 26 | 60\% | 4 | 9 | 69\% | 17\% | 36\% | 24\% | 35\% | 4\% | 13\% |
| Other | 13 | 89 | 98 | 52\% | 21 | 28 | 57\% | 3 | 5 | 63\% | 24\% | 29\% | 14\% | 18\% | 3\% | 5\% |
| Total | 77 | 552 | 321 | 37\% | 136 | 104 | 43\% | 30 | 28 | 48\% | 25\% | 32\% | 22\% | 27\% | 5\% | 9\% |
| Grand total | 282 | 2606 | 1234 | 32\% | 431 | 318 | 42\% | 115 | 108 | 48\% | 17\% | 26\% | 27\% | 34\% | 4\% | 9\% |

*Not all positions filled

Table 5.4 Applications for AHSSBL and STEMM academic positions, 2015-2018

|  | Recruitment type | Posts* | Applied |  |  | Shortlisted |  |  | Successful |  |  | Short/ Apps |  | Success/ Short |  | Success/ Apps |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | M | F | \%F | M | F | \%F | M | F | \%F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\pi} \\ & \text { O- } \end{aligned}$ | Professor | 19 | 163 | 58 | 26\% | 53 | 22 | 29\% | 7 | 4 | 36\% | 33\% | 38\% | 13\% | 18\% | 4\% | 7\% |
|  | Associate Professor | 6 | 12 | 12 | 50\% | 8 | 9 | 53\% | 1 | 3 | 75\% | 67\% | 75\% | 13\% | 33\% | 8\% | 25\% |
|  | Senior Lecturer | 11 | 61 | 62 | 50\% | 13 | 24 | 65\% | 2 | 5 | 71\% | 21\% | 39\% | 15\% | 21\% | 3\% | 8\% |
|  | LAB | 11 | 133 | 53 | 28\% | 34 | 11 | 24\% | 5 | 4 | 44\% | 26\% | 21\% | 15\% | 36\% | 4\% | 8\% |
|  | LBB | 68 | 547 | 422 | 44\% | 131 | 143 | 52\% | 19 | 30 | 61\% | 24\% | 34\% | 15\% | 21\% | 3\% | 7\% |
|  | Teacher | 12 | 88 | 124 | 58\% | 19 | 24 | 56\% | 4 | 4 | 50\% | 22\% | 19\% | 21\% | 17\% | 5\% | 3\% |
|  | Teaching Assistant | 55 | 399 | 282 | 41\% | 81 | 71 | 47\% | 30 | 18 | 38\% | 20\% | 25\% | 37\% | 25\% | 8\% | 6\% |
|  | Associate Teacher | 1 | 12 | 6 | 33\% | 4 | 2 | 33\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 33\% | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Other | 58 | 126 | 118 | 48\% | 78 | 75 | 49\% | 23 | 14 | 40\% | 62\% | 64\% | 29\% | 19\% | 18\% | 12\% |
|  | Total | 241 | 1541 | 1137 | 42\% | 421 | 381 | 48\% | 91 | 82 | 47\% | 27\% | 34\% | 22\% | 22\% | 6\% | 7\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\infty}{\sim} \\ \underset{\sim}{1} \end{gathered}$ | Professor | 6 | 40 | 32 | 44\% | 16 | 11 | 41\% | 3 | 1 | 25\% | 40\% | 34\% | 19\% | 9\% | 8\% | 3\% |
|  | Associate Professor | 4 | 5 | 7 | 58\% | 5 | 6 | 55\% | 1 | 2 | 67\% | 100\% | 86\% | 20\% | 33\% | 20\% | 29\% |
|  | Senior Lecturer | 2 | 15 | 11 | 42\% | 6 | 4 | 40\% | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 40\% | 36\% | 0\% | 25\% | 0\% | 9\% |
|  | LAB | 2 | 16 | 10 | 38\% | 11 | 4 | 27\% | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 69\% | 40\% | 0\% | 25\% | 0\% | 10\% |
|  | LBB | 33 | 318 | 244 | 43\% | 77 | 83 | 52\% | 7 | 15 | 68\% | 24\% | 34\% | 9\% | 18\% | 2\% | 6\% |
|  | Teacher | 4 | 59 | 94 | 61\% | 5 | 13 | 72\% | 2 | 1 | 33\% | 8\% | 14\% | 40\% | 8\% | 3\% | 1\% |
|  | Teaching Assistant | 31 | 141 | 151 | 52\% | 37 | 41 | 53\% | 11 | 15 | 58\% | 26\% | 27\% | 30\% | 37\% | 8\% | 10\% |
|  | Associate Teacher | 1 | 12 | 6 | 33\% | 4 | 2 | 33\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 33\% | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Other | 6 | 5 | 3 | 38\% | 5 | 2 | 29\% | 4 | 2 | 33\% | 100\% | 67\% | 80\% | 100\% | 80\% | 67\% |
|  | Total | 89 | 611 | 558 | 48\% | 166 | 166 | 50\% | 28 | 38 | 58\% | 27\% | 30\% | 17\% | 23\% | 5\% | 7\% |
| $\sum_{\underset{\sim}{E}}^{\sum}$ | Professor | 11 | 115 | 25 | 18\% | 34 | 10 | 23\% | 4 | 2 | 33\% | 30\% | 40\% | 12\% | 20\% | 3\% | 8\% |
|  | Associate Professor | 2 | 7 | 5 | 42\% | 3 | 3 | 50\% | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 43\% | 60\% | 0\% | 33\% | 0\% | 20\% |
|  | Senior Lecturer | 9 | 46 | 51 | 53\% | 7 | 20 | 74\% | 2 | 4 | 67\% | 15\% | 39\% | 29\% | 20\% | 4\% | 8\% |
|  | LAB | 9 | 117 | 43 | 27\% | 23 | 7 | 23\% | 5 | 3 | 38\% | 20\% | 16\% | 22\% | 43\% | 4\% | 7\% |
|  | LBB | 34 | 221 | 175 | 44\% | 52 | 60 | 54\% | 12 | 15 | 56\% | 24\% | 34\% | 23\% | 25\% | 5\% | 9\% |
|  | Teacher | 4 | 3 | 20 | 87\% | 1 | 7 | 88\% | 0 | 2 | 100\% | 33\% | 35\% | 0\% | 29\% | 0\% | 10\% |
|  | Teaching Assistant | 20 | 237 | 119 | 33\% | 39 | 25 | 39\% | 17 | 1 | 6\% | 16\% | 21\% | 44\% | 4\% | 7\% | 1\% |
|  | Other | 48 | 113 | 90 | 44\% | 68 | 64 | 48\% | 17 | 10 | 37\% | 60\% | 71\% | 25\% | 16\% | 15\% | 11\% |
|  | Total | 137 | 859 | 528 | 38\% | 227 | 196 | 46\% | 57 | 38 | 40\% | 26\% | 37\% | 25\% | 19\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Professor | 2 | 8 | 1 | 11\% | 3 | 1 | 25\% | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 38\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% |
|  | LBB | 1 | 8 | 3 | 27\% | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 25\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Teacher | 4 | 26 | 10 | 28\% | 13 | 4 | 24\% | 2 | 1 | 33\% | 50\% | 40\% | 15\% | 25\% | 8\% | 10\% |
|  | Teaching Assistant | 4 | 21 | 12 | 36\% | 5 | 5 | 50\% | 2 | 2 | 50\% | 24\% | 42\% | 40\% | 40\% | 10\% | 17\% |
|  | Other | 4 | 8 | 25 | 76\% | 5 | 9 | 64\% | 2 | 2 | 50\% | 63\% | 36\% | 40\% | 22\% | 25\% | 8\% |
|  | Total | 15 | 71 | 51 | 42\% | 28 | 19 | 40\% | 6 | 6 | 50\% | 39\% | 37\% | 21\% | 32\% | 8\% | 12\% |

Table 5.5 Applications for AHSSBL and STEMM research positions, 2015-2018

|  | Recruitment type | Posts* | Applied |  |  | Shortlisted |  |  | Successful |  |  | Short/ Apps |  | Success/ Short |  | Success/ Apps |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | M | F | \%F | M | F | \%F | M | F | \%F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
|  | Senior Research Fellow | 12 | 88 | 11 | 11\% | 18 | 2 | 10\% | 10 | 0 | 0\% | 20\% | 18\% | 56\% | 0\% | 11\% | 0\% |
|  | Research Fellow | 26 | 193 | 69 | 26\% | 29 | 19 | 40\% | 13 | 9 | 41\% | 15\% | 28\% | 45\% | 47\% | 7\% | 13\% |
|  | Postdoc Researcher | 148 | 1653 | 585 | 26\% | 283 | 137 | 33\% | 67 | 45 | 40\% | 17\% | 23\% | 24\% | 33\% | 4\% | 8\% |
|  | Research Assistant | 62 | 538 | 345 | 39\% | 69 | 77 | 53\% | 21 | 32 | 60\% | 13\% | 22\% | 30\% | 42\% | 4\% | 9\% |
|  | Other | 34 | 134 | 224 | 63\% | 32 | 83 | 72\% | 4 | 22 | 85\% | 24\% | 37\% | 13\% | 27\% | 3\% | 10\% |
|  | Total | 282 | 2606 | 1234 | 32\% | 431 | 318 | 42\% | 115 | 108 | 48\% | 17\% | 26\% | 27\% | 34\% | 4\% | 9\% |
|  | Research Fellow | 2 | 6 | 5 | 45\% | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Postdoc Researcher | 13 | 86 | 72 | 46\% | 11 | 22 | 67\% | 2 | 9 | 82\% | 13\% | 31\% | 18\% | 41\% | 2\% | 13\% |
|  | Research Assistant | 12 | 74 | 97 | 57\% | 16 | 29 | 64\% | 4 | 9 | 69\% | 22\% | 30\% | 25\% | 31\% | 5\% | 9\% |
|  | Other | 1 | 14 | 25 | 64\% | 2 | 4 | 67\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 14\% | 16\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Total | 28 | 180 | 199 | 53\% | 31 | 55 | 64\% | 6 | 18 | 75\% | 17\% | 28\% | 19\% | 33\% | 3\% | 9\% |
| $\sum_{\underset{\omega}{E}}$ | Senior Research Fellow | 12 | 88 | 11 | 11\% | 18 | 2 | 10\% | 10 | 0 | 0\% | 20\% | 18\% | 56\% | 0\% | 11\% | 0\% |
|  | Research Fellow | 24 | 187 | 64 | 25\% | 27 | 19 | 41\% | 13 | 9 | 41\% | 14\% | 30\% | 48\% | 47\% | 7\% | 14\% |
|  | Postdoc Researcher | 134 | 1561 | 512 | 25\% | 269 | 115 | 30\% | 64 | 36 | 36\% | 17\% | 22\% | 24\% | 31\% | 4\% | 7\% |
|  | Research Assistant | 48 | 458 | 243 | 35\% | 52 | 48 | 48\% | 16 | 23 | 59\% | 11\% | 20\% | 31\% | 48\% | 3\% | 9\% |
|  | Other | 31 | 113 | 182 | 62\% | 30 | 74 | 71\% | 4 | 20 | 83\% | 27\% | 41\% | 13\% | 27\% | 4\% | 11\% |
|  | Total | 249 | 2407 | 1012 | 30\% | 396 | 258 | 39\% | 107 | 88 | 45\% | 16\% | 25\% | 27\% | 34\% | 4\% | 9\% |
|  | Postdoc Researcher | 1 | 6 | 1 | 14\% | 0 | 5 | 100\% | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% | 500\% | - | 0\% | 17\% | 0\% |
|  | Research Assistant | 2 | 6 | 5 | 45\% | 3 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 50\% | 0\% | 33\% | - | 17\% | 0\% |
|  | Other | 2 | 7 | 17 | 71\% | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 2 | 100\% | 14\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 12\% |
|  | Total | 5 | 19 | 23 | 55\% | 4 | 5 | 56\% | 2 | 2 | 50\% | 20\% | 18\% | 56\% | 0\% | 11\% | 0\% |

*Not all positions filled


Figure 5.1 Academic recruitment applications by type and gender, 2015-2018
Women accounted for $42 \%$ of all academic applications ( 1,137 of 2,678 ) and $47 \%$ of successful appointments (Table 5.2). For Ass Prof. and Senior Lecturer positions, $75 \%$ and $71 \%$, respectively of applicants were femaleTthe number of successfully filled posts at these grades was low (4F and 7M).


Figure 5.2 Academic recruitment by year, 2015-2018
Overall, women accounted for 58\% of successful appointments (38/66) in AHSSBL and 40\% (38/95) in STEMM. Applications from women in STEMM were lower (38\%) than in AHSSBL (48\%). In STEMM and AHSSBL, women are more likely to be shortlisted than men (Table 5.4) although the difference is more marked in STEMM. In STEMM, shortlisted men are more likely to be appointed than women, but the reverse is true in AHSSBL.



Figure 5.3 Research recruitment by year and gender, 2015/16-2017/18
One-third of applications for research posts are from females although females are more likely than male to be shortlisted and shortlisted women are more likely to be appointed than men. The proportion of applicants who are female decreases with increasing seniority and, with the exception of senior research fellow posts, women applicants are more likely to be appointed than men.

There are few research positions in AHSSBL (24) when compared to STEMM (195 posts). Within STEMM no female was successful in securing a SRF post while below this level females represented $41 \%$ of successful applications. A key action is to identify the impact of systemic factors that gave rise to improvements in 2015/16 (48\%F successful) and 2016/17 data so that we can learn more about the 2018 data.

Action 5.1.12 Carry out an intensive review of research posts, including an examination of the systemic factors that caused the downturn in female advancement in 2018.

Table 5.6 Professional/Support recruitment by gender, 2015-2018*

| Year | Posts | Applied |  |  |  | Shortlisted |  |  |  | Successful |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | F | \%F | T | M | F | \%F | T | M | F | \%F | T |
| 2015 | 101 | 451 | 841 | 65 | 1292 | 113 | 293 | 72 | 406 | 11 | 64 | 85 | 75 |
| 2016 | 81 | 824 | 1148 | 58 | 1972 | 108 | 261 | 71 | 369 | 17 | 58 | 77 | 75 |
| 2017 | 137 | 688 | 1212 | 64 | 1900 | 196 | 429 | 69 | 625 | 27 | 72 | 73 | 99 |
| Total | 319 | 1963 | 3201 | 62 | 5164 | 417 | 983 | 70 | 1400 | 55 | 194 | 78 | 249 |

*Not all positions filled
Table 5.7 Professional/support staff by grade and gender, 2017/18 (July 2018)

| Area | Grade | Total | M | F | \%F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administration | Director | 13 | 9 | 4 | 31\% |
|  | Administrator | 50 | 1 | 49 | 98\% |
|  | Executive Administrator | 92 | 17 | 75 | 82\% |
|  | Head | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Long-service Increment (LSI) Administrator | 17 | 0 | 17 | 100\% |
|  | LSI Executive Administrator | 8 | 2 | 6 | 75\% |
|  | LSI Senior Administrator | 10 | 1 | 9 | 90\% |
|  | LSI Senior Executive Administrator | 11 | 1 | 10 | 91\% |
|  | Manager | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | N2011 Administrator | 34 | 4 | 30 | 88\% |
|  | Research Officer | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | Seconded Professor | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Senior Administrative Officer 1 (SAO 1) | 50 | 25 | 25 | 50\% |
|  | SAO 2 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 58\% |
|  | SAO 3 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Senior Administrator | 110 | 6 | 104 | 95\% |
|  | Senior Executive Administrator | 72 | 19 | 53 | 74\% |
| IT | Analyst Prog 22007 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 31\% |
|  | Analyst Prog. 1 (2007) | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Analyst Programmer 3 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 43\% |
|  | Computer Lab Attendant | 11 | 0 | 11 | 100\% |
|  | Computer Operator | 3 | 1 | 2 | 67\% |
|  | N2011 Analyst Programmer 1 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 50\% |
|  | N2011 Computer Operator | 3 | 2 | 1 | 33\% |
|  | Sen. Comp Operator 2007 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | Print Operator 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | Print Operator 11 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0\% |
| Library | Assistant Librarian | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | Assistant Librarian I | 12 | 4 | 8 | 67\% |
|  | Library Assistant 1 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 80\% |
|  | Library Attendant (Monthly0 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 90\% |
|  | Senior Library Assistant | 8 | 1 | 7 | 88\% |


|  | Sub Librarian | 4 | 2 | 2 | 50\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Support | Athletic Grounds Specialist | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Groundsperson/Service Staff Basic | 3 | 2 | 1 | 33\% |
|  | N2011 Porter/Attendant | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Porter Attendant | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Senior Porter/Attendant | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0\% |
| Technical | Chief Technical Officer | 14 | 8 | 6 | 43\% |
|  | Laboratory Attendant | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | N2011 Laboratory Attendant | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | N2011 Technical Officer Excl Sto | 5 | 4 | 1 | 20\% |
|  | N2011 Technical/Senior Technical Officer | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Senior Laboratory Attendant | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Teaching Technology Advisor | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | Technical Officer | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Technical Officer Excl Sto | 6 | 5 | 1 | 17\% |
|  | Technical/Senior Technical Officer | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Technical/Senior Technical Officer 2007 | 58 | 42 | 16 | 28\% |
|  | Technical/Snr Technical Officer 2018 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50\% |
| Total |  | 733 | 245 | 488 | 67\% |

Recruitment data (table 5.7) indicate that more women (62\%) apply for professional/support roles. Females are more likely to be successful in securing such roles ( $78 \%$ ) and represent $67 \%$ of total professional/support staff. However, females only represent $35 \%$ at director level, the most senior professional/support position ( $€ 114,740$ to $€ 144,187$ ), and while females represent $52 \%$ at Senior Administrative Officer (SAO) 1 andSAO2 ( $£ 55,884,088$ to $€ 102,780$ ), there is no female at SAO3 ( $£ 88,088$ to $€ 116,911$ ) -
Table 5.8.
Action 5.1.13 Put in place further career development programmes and supports for professional/support staff.
Action 5.1.14 Promote professional/support staff involvement in the mentoring scheme.

## (ii) Induction



During the review period, 172 staff (112F, 60M) undertook induction, indicating that $50 \%$ of new entrants ( 338 new starts - 154F; 184M) are attending induction. However, $75 \%$ of survey respondents who attended induction found it useful. Making the on-line induction programme compulsory for all new hires will ensure an increase in the uptake (Action 5.1.15; Action 5.1.16).

Induction should also be taking place at faculty/division level. However, only 20\% of AS survey respondents attended faculty induction (18\%F, 25\%M) (Action 5.1.17).

Action 5.1.15 Survey all new employees on their experience of induction.
Action 5.1.16 Finalise and launch the online induction training programme (compulsory).
Action 5.1.17 Record the completion of faculty/division-level induction in the probation reports of all new members of staff.

## Probation

New staff complete a one-year probation period, which includes meeting their line manager every three months to discuss current and future career objectives and culminates in a review at the end of the year. Reports are submitted to HR on quarterly basis to ensure issues are highlighted and supports can be triggered to address development needs.

## (iii) Promotion

LBBs can progress across the merit bar to LAB through a centrally advertised competition. Women were more successful in progressing above the merit bar in 2018 Table 5.8.


Figure 5.4 STEMM promotions
LBBs with tenure-track contracts must secure tenure within a five-year timeframe to earn a CID. Successful applicants transfer to the pay-scale of LAB and are granted multi-annual (permanent) status. Progression is based on individual performance, assessed relative to disciplinary norms against the criteria and benchmarks of (i) excellent scholarship and research output, (ii) excellent teaching and curriculum development and (iii) satisfactory service to UL and the wider community. The policy was reviewed in 2016 to remove the criterion of a minimum two years' service prior to applying.

LBBs can progress to Senior Lecturer and from Senior Lecturer to Associate Professor and, for internal promotion, to Professor (personal chair) with respect to four assessment criteria: (i) research and scholarship, (ii) academic leadership, (iii) teaching and learning, and (iv) contribution to UL and the wider community.

Table 5.8 Promotions: $L B B$ to $L A B, 2015-2018$

| Year | Cat. | Eligible at promotion deadline |  |  | Applied to Lecturer above the Bar |  |  |  | Successful |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | F | \%F | M | F | \% eligible M who applied | \% eligible F who applied | M | F | \% successful M applicants | \% successful F applicants |
| 2015 | STEMM | 14 | 8 | 36\% | 7 | 5 | 50\% | 63\% | 7 | 3 | 100\% | 60\% |
|  | AHSSBL | 9 | 14 | 61\% | 1 | 9 | 11\% | 64\% | 0 | 3 | 0\% | 33\% |
|  | Total | 23 | 22 | 49\% | 8 | 14 | 35\% | 64\% | 7 | 6 | 88\% | 43\% |
| 2016 | STEMM | 30 | 28 | 48\% | 11 | 8 | 37\% | 29\% | 3 | 6 | 27\% | 75\% |
|  | AHSSBL | 17 | 24 | 59\% | 2 | 9 | 12\% | 38\% | 2 | 7 | 100\% | 78\% |
|  | Total | 47 | 52 | 53\% | 13 | 17 | 28\% | 33\% | 5 | 13 | 38\% | 76\% |
| 2017 | STEMM | 31 | 34 | 52\% | 6 | 11 | 19\% | 32\% | 4 | 9 | 67\% | 82\% |
|  | AHSSBL | 19 | 22 | 54\% | 8 | 5 | 42\% | 23\% | 3 | 3 | 38\% | 60\% |
|  | Total | 50 | 56 | 53\% | 14 | 16 | 28\% | 29\% | 7 | 12 | 50\% | 75\% |
| 2018 | STEMM | 33 | 21 | 39\% | 7 | 8 | 21\% | 38\% | 4 | 5 | 57\% | 63\% |
|  | AHSSBL | 20 | 22 | 52\% | 9 | 5 | 45\% | 23\% | 5 | 5 | 56\% | 100\% |
|  | Total | 53 | 43 | 45\% | 16 | 13 | 30\% | 30\% | 9 | 10 | 56\% | 77\% |

Table 5.9 Lecturer above the Bar (LAB) to Senior Lecturer (SL)

| Year | Cat. | Eligible at promotion deadline |  |  | Applied to Senior Lecturer (SL) |  |  |  | Successful |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | F | \%F | M | F | \% eligible M who applied | \% eligible F who applied | M | F | \% successful M applicants | \% successful F applicants |
| 2015 | STEMM | 52 | 44 | 46\% | 14 | 7 | 27\% | 16\% | 8 | 6 | 57\% | 86\% |
|  | AHSSBL | 15 | 32 | 68\% | 14 | 12 | 93\% | 38\% | 6 | 5 | 43\% | 42\% |
|  | Total | 67 | 76 | 53\% | 28 | 19 | 42\% | 25\% | 14 | 11 | 50\% | 58\% |
| 2016 | STEMM | 15 | 31 | 67\% | 12 | 5 | 80\% | 16\% | 8 | 3 | 67\% | 60\% |
|  | AHSSBL | 85 | 75 | 47\% | 7 | 10 | 8\% | 13\% | 5 | 5 | 71\% | 50\% |
|  | Total | 100 | 106 | 51\% | 19 | 15 | 19\% | 14\% | 13 | 8 | 68\% | 53\% |
| 2018 | STEMM | 67 | 57 | 46\% | 14 | 10 | 21\% | 18\% | 6 | 3 | 43\% | 30\% |
|  | AHSSBL | 22 | 37 | 63\% | 6 | 13 | 27\% | 35\% | 2 | 5 | 33\% | 38\% |
|  | Total | 89 | 94 | 51\% | 20 | 23 | 22\% | 24\% | 8 | 8 | 40\% | 35\% |

Note: Promotions from LAB to SL did not occur in 2017.


Figure 5.5 Promotions Application Rates for (LAB) to SL


Figure 5.6 Promotions success rates for LAB to SL
Promotion from LAB to SL saw a deterioration in the female success rate in 2018 (Table 5.10). There was a larger number of applications in 2018 due to there being no promotions process in 2017. While similar to the male success rate of $40 \%, 35 \%$ was a disappointing result compared to $53 \%$ in 2016 and $58 \%$ in 2015 (Actions 5.1.19 and 5.1.20).

Table 5.10 Senior Lecturer (SL) to Associate Professor (AP)

| Year | Cat. | Eligible at promotion deadline |  |  | Applied to Associate Professor (AP) |  |  |  | Successful |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | F | \%F | M | F | \% eligible M who applied | \% eligible F <br> who applied | M | F | \% successful <br> M applicants | \% successful F applicants |
| 2015 | STEMM | 19 | 8 | 30\% | 7 | 4 | 37\% | 50\% | 4 | 3 | 57\% | 75\% |
|  | AHSSBL | 9 | 9 | 50\% | 7 | 2 | 78\% | 22\% | 2 | 1 | 29\% | 50\% |
|  | Total | 28 | 17 | 38\% | 14 | 6 | 50\% | 35\% | 6 | 4 | 43\% | 67\% |
| 2016 | STEMM | 30 | 12 | 29\% | 8 | 2 | 27\% | 17\% | 5 | 1 | 63\% | 50\% |
|  | AHSSBL | 9 | 10 | 53\% | 5 | 5 | 56\% | 50\% | 3 | 2 | 60\% | 40\% |
|  | Total | 39 | 22 | 36\% | 13 | 7 | 33\% | 32\% | 8 | 3 | 62\% | 43\% |
| 2018 | STEMM | 31 | 18 | 37\% | 8 | 5 | 26\% | 28\% | 4 | 2 | 50\% | 40\% |
|  | AHSSBL | 11 | 15 | 58\% | 8 | 7 | 73\% | 47\% | 3 | 2 | 38\% | 29\% |
|  | Total | 42 | 33 | 44\% | 16 | 12 | 38\% | 36\% | 7 | 4 | 44\% | 33\% |

Note: Promotions from SL to AP did not occur in 2017

Table 5.10 shows that in 2018, $33 \%$ of females were promoted from SL to AP (4/12). Of the 28 applicants, $43 \%$ (12) were females and $57 \%$ (16) were male. While the 2018 results are disappointing, the percentage of women reaching AP level has continued to increase from $6 \%$ in 2007 to $37 \%$ in 2018 (Table 4.2). Over the past three years, while the number of women promoted to AP increased, i.e. 2015 (4), 2016 (3) and 2018 (4), the overall percentage of women being promoted at this level has fallen from $67 \%$ in 2015 to $33 \%$ in 2018 (see Table 5.10) and requires exploration. It is noted that men were more likely to apply in 2015 and application rates were similar in 2018.

Application forms and processes have been streamlined and reviewed in response to feedback. Colleagues report that the application process remains onerous and adds significantly to workload. Survey comments and focus group feedback highlights the need for mentoring and support in completing the promotion/progression application.

In all academic promotions, the UL promotions board is notified of, and advised to be cognisant of periods of leave taken by applicants when assessing promotion applications as per our commitment in our action plan. However, there appears to be uncertainty (focus group) about the 'stop the clock' policy in relation to promotion and how breaks in service are recorded on the promotion form. Half of female and $14 \%$ of male staff survey respondents believed they were disadvantaged in promotion competitions (Actions 5.1.19 and 5.1.20).
"The [promotion] process is onerous and is weighted towards those with a significant research record. It does not properly recognise those with onerous teaching and administrative loads." (Female)
"A lot of the administrative workload is borne by women in the School and this is not appreciated/recognised by the promotions panel." (Female)

Action 5.1.18 Formalise the calculation process within the promotions procedures to account for absences due to sick leave, maternity leave and carers leave.
Action 5.1.19 Utilise external best practice promotions models to inform the Promotions Review Process

Researcher roles and teaching-only roles are assessed under the job evaluation process. Men and women differ in their opinions on the transparency and fairness of the promotions/job evaluation process: $41 \%$ of men reported that the process was transparent and fair compared to $24 \%$ of women.


Figure 5.7 Fairness of the promotions/job evaluation process
Many respondents called for greater transparency around the process, e.g. information about decision-making process, the scoring process and the importance attached to research versus other areas, (also noted in focus groups). One female respondent who has been unsuccessful in promotion stated, "more transparency is needed. Feedback has been inconsistent and needs to be given in a much more structured manner. It also should be accountable" (Action 5.1.20 to 5.1.22).

Action 5.1.20 Review HoD training to ensure that HoDs are adequately prepared to mentor colleagues and help them prepare for promotion and progression.

Action 5.1.21 Introduce formal training for promotions board representatives and deans on giving feedback to candidates.
Action 5.1.22 Consider deconstructing scoring categories so that more specific criteria can be identified and more specific feedback given to candidates to help them understand the outcome.

Concerns were expressed about the capacity of the promotions process to assess a broad range of disciplines and to have an awareness of disciplinary-specific norms and measures of quality. One female colleague indicated a problem with the "one size fits all" approach to promotion, again highlighted in the focus groups (Action 5.1.23).

Action 5.1.23 Examine the potential for operating separate discipline-specific promotions processes.

Of note, female academic staff commented more comprehensively about the promotions process overall.

A WiSE (Women in Science and Engineering) network comprising academic, research and support staff has been established as a forum to discuss career progression and perceived barriers (Actions 5.1.24 and 5.1.25).

Action 5.1.24 Support the WiSE Network by providing information sessions and impartial advice for academic/professional/support women considering applying for promotion.
Action 5.1.25 Provide specific one-to-one mentoring on promotions.

## Job evaluation

From 2015 to 2018, a formal job evaluation system was used for all support and technical staff. At UL, we use the Hay system of job evaluation, which evaluates the job, not the person. In the first instance, members of a joint working group review applications to ensure they provide sufficient information for evaluation. The composition of the group is monitored for balance.

Teachers and researchers (and other job families, IT and administrative staff, librarians, educational developers and practitioners) apply to have their roles evaluated. Applications provide a detailed role profile, including job description and competency requirements. Roles are evaluated by external independent experts using the Hay methodology. The evaluators recommend the level to which the role would be appropriately graded. The process was extended in 2016 to include college teachers, who focus primarily on teaching and therefore do not have a typical academic trajectory (CUSH Report).

From 2015 to 2018, 87 posts, including four research and nine teaching posts, were evaluated. The number of research posts being evaluated is low and suggests that research staff were unaware of the job evaluation process. Staff feedback suggests that the use of the Hay system for researchers and college teachers "is problematic" (Actions 5.1.26 and 5.1.27).

Action 5.1.26 Facilitate focus groups with research staff to ascertain their knowledge of and satisfaction levels with the job evaluation process.
Action 5.1.27 Review the appropriateness of the Hay job evaluation process for evaluating researcher and college teacher posts.

Table 5.11 Professional/Support job evaluation applications by gender, 2015-2018

| Grade | Year | Total | Male | Female | \% Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Technical | $2015 / 16$ | 3 | 0 | 3 | $100 \%$ |
|  | $2016 / 17$ | 4 | 0 | 4 | $100 \%$ |
|  | $2017 / 18$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
|  | $2015 / 16$ | 12 | 1 | 11 | $92 \%$ |
|  | $2016 / 17$ | 21 | 0 | 21 | $100 \%$ |
|  | $2017 / 18$ | 33 | 7 | 26 | $79 \%$ |
| Research | $2015 / 16$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | $50 \%$ |
|  | $2016 / 17$ | 2 | 2 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
|  | $2017 / 18$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
|  | $2015 / 16$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | $100 \%$ |
|  | $2016 / 17$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | $100 \%$ |
|  | $2017 / 18$ | 6 | 0 | 6 | $100 \%$ |

Table 5.12 Professional Support Staff Job Evaluation 2015 - 2018 by STEMM/ AHSSBL/ support divisions

| Grade | Year | Total | Male | Female | \% Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEMM | $2015 / 16$ | 4 | 1 | 3 | $75 \%$ |
|  | $2016 / 17$ | 10 | 2 | 8 | $80 \%$ |
|  | $2017 / 18$ | 6 | 1 | 5 | $83 \%$ |
| Non-STEMM | $2015 / 16$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | $100 \%$ |
|  | $2016 / 17$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | $100 \%$ |
|  | $2017 / 18$ | 11 | 0 | 11 | $100 \%$ |
| Prof/Support | $2015 / 16$ | 13 | 1 | 12 | $92 \%$ |
|  | $2016 / 17$ | 17 | 0 | 17 | $100 \%$ |
|  | $2017 / 18$ | 23 | 7 | 16 | $70 \%$ |
| Total | $2015 / 16$ | 18 | 2 | 16 | $89 \%$ |
|  | $2016 / 17$ | 29 | 2 | 27 | $93 \%$ |
|  | $2017 / 18$ | 40 | 8 | 32 | $80 \%$ |
| Grand total | $2015 / 18$ | $\mathbf{8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 \%}$ |
| Upgraded | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 / 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{7 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{7 7 \%}$ |  |

Of the women who applied for an upgrade through the job evaluation mechanism in the previous three years, $77 \%$ (58F) were successful. This mechanism is currently suspended at the direction of the DES. As women are most likely to be disadvantaged by this measure ( $67 \%$ of support staff are women), we need to strongly lobby the DES to have the process restored. Professional/support staff are extremely frustrated with the current situation and have sought at governmental levels to have the scheme re-instated (Action 5.1.29).

Action 5.1.28 Engage with the DES to restore the job evaluation process.

Staff highlight that the cessation of the job evaluation process has created a divide between academic and support staff. They have also requested that, on reinstatement, the scheme be reviewed to ensure it is transparent and fit for purpose.

Action 5.1.29 If successful with action 5.1.29, review the operation of the job evaluation process with a view to ensuring it is both transparent and fit for purpose.

It should be clear why the job evaluation process differs from the promotions process for academics (Focus Group August 2018). The promotion of professional/support staff working on a part-time basis (pro rata) also needs to be accounted for (Action 5.1.31).

> "Women are more likely to be on administrative grades despite educational qualifications (PhD etc) however career progression for administrative staff is appalling."
> "The job evaluation process should measure productivity pro-rata, to account equally for those working part-time and who have taken family or carers leave."
> (Support staff)

Action 5.1.30 Review all promotions processes to ensure the procedures provide for part-time working for academic, research and professional/support staff on a pro-rata basis.

Section 5.1 word count: 2,123

### 5.3 Career development: academic staff

## (i) Training



In 2018, the Research Strategy Board established a Talent Development working group. The group's recommendations include strategic recruitment, enhancement of clear promotion and development frameworks, and a clear and comprehensive programme for talent development. A review of the Tenure Track commenced in September 2018, benchmarking it against similar processes to ascertain training needs.

HR provides development opportunities for staff. In 2017, HR provided 146 workshops to 2,479 attendees ( $61 \%$ F). Tracked attendance participation and programme evaluation are standard.

A Leadership Development programme to support succession planning has been completed by 92 academics (53F; 39M) and 98 support staff ( $88 \mathrm{~F} ; 10 \mathrm{M}$ ).

In general, the lower number of male staff availing of developmental programmes requires examination (Action 5.3.1).

In the past three years, 395 staff (291F; 104M) have availed of career development programmes.

Table 5.13 Academic and research career development programmes

| Category | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  | Total |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M |  |
| STEMM | 32 | 7 | 37 | 26 | 100 | 13 | 15 | 6 | 184 | 52 | 236 |
| AHSSBL | 18 | 3 | 9 | 13 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 38 | 18 | 56 |
| Professional/Support | 21 | 21 | 7 | 0 | 39 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 69 | 34 | 103 |
| Total | 71 | 31 | 53 | 39 | 149 | 25 | 18 | 9 | 291 | 104 | 395 |

In 2018, UL secured HEA funding to part-fund the first Catalyst MARC (Men Achieving Real Change) Programme in the HE sector globally. Attended by nine university/IOT presidents (including UL's President) and 51 senior delegates (16F; 35M) and delivered by Catalyst, MARC is a unique 'best practice' leadership development programme.


MARC Leaders Workshop (I-r) Prof. Andrew Deeks, UCD; Prof. Philip Nolan, NUIM; Dr. Des Fitzgerald, UL; Prof Patrick O'Shea, UCC; Prof. Brian Norton, DIT; Dr. Graham Love, HEA; Mr Paul Hannigan, Letterkenny IT; Dr Brendan McCormack, IT Sligo

Engaging senior male managers in equality initiatives is a priority, and plans are advancing to offer the programme to senior management internally (Action 5.3.1).

Action 5.3.1 Increase the engagement of male staff on career development programmes.

## E-Learning Equality \& Diversity Training

UL led on the implementation of LEAD (Living Equality and Diversity Programme) in 2010 as a HR online sector initiative. Comprising modules that cover equality, inclusion and diversity, the programme is relevant to all staff, especially those with responsibility for recruitment.

The uptake of this programme has been low (Action 5.3.2).

Action 5.3.2 Review and relaunch the LEAD programme and include as a compulsory element of induction training from 2019.

## (ii) Appraisal/development review

Staff members with contracts of 10 months or more participate in annual, individual performance development review (PDR) with their line manager. Reviewees and reviewers are required to attend PDRS training (Table 5.14). One-quarter of staff reported PDRS training as not being useful.

Table 5.14 PDRS reviewer and reviewee training

| Category |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M |  |
| STEMM | 5 | 3 | 14 | 5 | 40 | 27 | 51 | 24 | 110 | 59 | 169 |
| AHSSBL | 1 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 18 | 11 | 13 | 7 | 40 | 19 | 59 |
| Professional/Support | 17 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 76 | 62 | 12 | 8 | 109 | 83 | 192 |
| Total | 23 | 10 | 26 | 12 | 134 | 100 | 76 | 39 | 259 | 161 | 420 |

Action 5.3.3 Drive the implementation of the ePerformance system as part of the Core HR systems upgrade.


Figure 5.8 I find my PDR meetings useful
Comments were noted that the process is dependent on the line manager reflecting that some managers are not preforming PDRS meetings effectively. PDRS training needs to be reviewed (Actions 5.3.4 and 5.3.5).
"Many line managers do not have the skills and training for this aspect of their work..... the process amounts to no more than box-ticking." (Academic)
""It works when the line manager is good at them..." (Academic)
"There should be a 3 monthly check in to see how targets are being met and if not, what needs to be done." (Technical/professional)

Action 5.3.4 Update the content of the PDRS training programme for reviewees and reviewers.
Action 5.3.5 Put in place a directive that each HoD/line manager provides the dean/division director with an annual report on PDRS completion rates.
(iii) Support given to academic staff for career progression


UL supports researchers through the HR Strategy for Researchers (HRS4R), which is in line with the requirements of the EU HR Excellence in Research accreditation. The HRS4R provides a researchers' career framework, which outlines criteria for progression (promotion) from post-doctoral researcher to research fellow to senior research fellow.

New postdoctoral researchers have a one-year probation, reviewed by their supervisor every quarter to discuss career objectives.

A 2017 a review of training for research staff by HR/Research Office developed a Research Development Programme which was launched in March 2018.


Since 2015, 843 Research Staff (482F and 361M) - Table 5.15, have participated on Research training programmes.

Table 5.15 Research focused programmes

| Category | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  | Total |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M |  |
| STEMM | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | 66 | 159 | 132 | 85 | 61 | 25 | 34 | 347 | 293 | 640 |
| AHSSBL | 28 | 7 | 53 | 19 | 14 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 100 | 38 | 138 |
| Professional/Support | 11 | 6 | 13 | 20 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 35 | 30 | 65 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 3}$ |

## Career Development for Mid-Career Academic Women

UL offers gender specific programmes such as the Career Development for Mid-Career Academic Women. Previously, four women attended the Aurora Leadership Programme in Ireland and the UK. Following feedback, running an in-house modular based programme for

16 staff proved more effective. Four Programmes designed to support academics/researchers to develop individual professional profile and integration with their work environment have been completed to-date. 72 female academics ( 62 STEMM - 10 AHSSBL) have participated on the programme, returning highly positive feedback. A Women in Leadership programme was also delivered - the feedback was 'very good' (Actions 5.3.6 and 5.3.7).
"This programme is the most influential personal development course of my academic career." Lecturer AHSSBL
"I would recommend this course to any woman working in the University. .....an incredibly positive experience." Lecturer AHSSBL

Action 5.3.6 Identify and roll out a suitable Women in Leadership programme.
Action 5.3.7 Organise an International Women \& Leadership Conference with the Faculty of AHSS.

UL offers the Springboard Career Development Programme for early career female staff. Over 118 staff (55F STEMM; 20AHSSBL and :47Professional Support) have participated on the programme. Further programmes will be rolled out annually (Action 5.1.13).

## Postdoctoral research fellowships

EHS has created the Succeed and Lead Fellowship programme for post-docs, an aim of which is to attract excellent, ambitious and talented postdoctoral researchers. Four of the five current fellows are women.

The faculties of S\&E \& AHSS co-funded a PhD Scholarship to examine female participation and non-participation in STEMM subjects in secondary and tertiary education.

Action 5.3.8 Implement an additional 4 year AS Doctoral Scholarship to focus on intersectional analysis of gender equality in HE to address the underrepresentation of women from racial, ethnic and cultural minorities. Ensure scholarship opportunities are openly advertised.

## Tenure-track talent development supports

Over $50 \%$ of academics on tenure track have been women. Early career academics can avail of a mentor through the Formal Mentoring Scheme. Those seeking to progress across the merit bar may submit portfolios for informal review to an interim-review panel. This review occurs in advance of the progression closure date allowing candidates opportunity to integrate feedback prior to application (Action 5.3.9).

Action 5.3.9 Extend the interim review process beyond progression level to all academic promotions.

## Mentoring

Since the inception of the UL Mentoring scheme in 2007, 105 pairs were successfully matched with 64 (44F, 20M) mentors. Initially set up for women only, the scheme is now available to all staff. A 2016 review led to a relaunch in February 2017. Numbers still show a low uptake by AHSSBL and professional/support staff (Action 5.3.10).

Table 5.16 Mentees by gender by faculty

| Breakdown | Number of mentees | Male | Female |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mentees STEMM | 68 | 14 | 54 |
| Mentee AHSSBL | 12 | 1 | 11 |
| Professional Support | 25 | 3 | 22 |
| Total number of mentees | 105 | 18 | 87 |

Table 5.17 Mentors by gender by faculty

| Breakdown by faculty | Number of mentors | Male | Female |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mentors EHS | 15 | 8 | 7 |
| Mentors S\&E | 14 | 11 | 3 |
| Mentors KBS | 10 | 1 | 9 |
| Mentors AHSS | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| Total mentors faculty | 47 | 20 | 27 |
| Support staff | Number of mentors | Male | Female |
| Mentors | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| Total trained mentors UL | 64 | 20 | 44 |

In 2018, the mentoring programme was extended to doctoral students in SSPC and training was provided to both mentors and mentees (Action 5.3.11).

Action 5.3.10 Roll out a campaign to engage all staff in the mentoring programme
Action 5.3.11 Undertake a review of the SSPC Mentoring programme in 12 months with a view to extending the model to other areas.

## Research coaching

HR provides a Research Coaching Programme but the majority of respondents were not aware of it ( $73 \% \mathrm{~F}, 63 \% \mathrm{M}$ ). Gender differences were negligible between those who had/had not availed of research coaching and between STEMM/non-STEMM disciplines (Action 5.3.12).

Action 5.3.12 Consider the merits of relaunching the Research Coaching Programme.

### 5.5 Flexible working and managing career breaks

(i) Cover and support for maternity and adoption leave: before leave

All maternity/adoption polices are available online. Attendance at antenatal clinics during pregnancy is granted as paid time off. UL stipulates that all maternity/adoptive leave absences must be covered (Actions 5.5.1 and 5.5.2).

Action 5.5.1 Provide line managers with a maternity checklist that clearly sets out responsibilities for ensuring appropriate arrangements are made for cover.
Action 5.5.2 Develop specific research funders guidelines on maternity leave provisions and strategically place on the UL HR website.

## (ii) Cover and support for maternity and adoption leave: during leave

Formal supports to stay in touch during maternity/adoption leave are not permitted by Irish labour law. Staff may continue to receive email correspondence, and formal HR notifications are sent by post.

Because of the nature of their contracts, hourly paid staff are not entitled to paid maternity leave; the uncertainty of returning was highlighted by some STEMM hourly paid staff in the survey (Action 5.5.3).

Action 5.5.3 Clarify and regularise the nature of the contract and maternity leave entitlements for hourly paid staff.

## (iii) Cover and support for maternity and adoption leave: returning to work



## Research Grant for <br> Returning Academic

## Research Grant for Returning Academic Carers (RGRAC)

The RGRAC (worth $€ 0.9 \mathrm{~m}$ to date, grant plus cover) provides additional support ( $€ 21 \mathrm{k}$ ) to minimise the impact of extended leave on research. Returning carers can avail of six months' protected research time or hire a research assistant for the period of the leave.

Table 5.18 Research Grant for Returning Academic Carers

| Year | STEMM | AHSSBL | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 2016 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 2017 | 10 | 4 | 14 |
| 2018 | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| Total | 20 | 12 | 32 |

Of the 32 females who have availed of the grant since 2015, six have been promoted to Lecturer (3) and Senior Lecturer (3).
"I have to say that the research grant was a god send for me. During this time I got a 4* paper accepted that I don't think I would have managed if I was back on full teaching commitments. The grant has allowed me to maintain a momentum with my research. Long may this opportunity for returning carers continue!." Female STEMM academic

Following 2017 focus group feedback (8F), we plan to set up a new parents network (Action 5.5.4). A pilot workshop for new mums delivered in 2018 indicated that females are more comfortable discussing parenting issues/challenges and the emotional pressures of returning to work in a single-gender workshop. This feedback has been actioned and further workshops will commence in 2019 (Action 5.5.5).

Action 5.5.4 Support the setting up of a New Parents Network through the RGRAC group.
Action 5.5.5 Roll out further workshops for new parents, including single-gender options.

## (iv) Maternity return rate

Since 2015, 234 staff availed of maternity leave, 102 availed of extended unpaid leave and two resigned.

Table 5.19 Maternity leave

| Year | Maternity leave |  |  | Unpaid maternity leave |  |  | Resigned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | STEMM | AHSSBL | Professional/ <br> Support | STEMM | AHSSBL | Professional/ <br> Support |  |
| 2015 | 32 | 15 | 19 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 0 |
| 2016 | 40 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 1 |
| 2017 | 33 | 12 | 14 | 19 | 7 | 3 | 1 |
| 2018 | 16 | 13 | 13 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Total | 121 | 53 | 60 | 50 | 26 | 26 | 2 |

Managers meet staff returning from maternity leave. Three-quarters of staff surveyed felt supported by UL during leave and two-thirds felt supported on their return (Action 5.5.6).

Action 5.5.6 Put a checklist in place to help managers provide better support to returning staff.

## (v) Paternity, adoption, and parental leave uptake

Twelve males availed of statutory paternity leave since its introduction in 2017: six professional/support and six academic/research (one STEMM and five AHSSBL). Funded by UL, the salaries of those on leave is 'topped-up' by a social welfare paternity benefit.

## Parental leave

As UL permits staff to take parental leave on a reduced hours basis, the take-up is positive.
Table 5.20 Parental leave

|  | 2015 |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  | 2017 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | T | M | F | \%F | T | M | F | \%F | T | M | F | \%F | T | M | F | \%F |
| STEMM | 16 | 1 | 15 | 94 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 100 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 100 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 100 |
| AHSSBL | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 100 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 100 |
| Profess. /Support | 21 | 0 | 21 | 100 | 27 | 0 | 27 | 100 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 100 | 19 | 1 | 18 | 95 |
| Total | 39 | 1 | 38 | 97 | 51 | 0 | 51 | 100 | 52 | 0 | 52 | 100 | 44 | 1 | 43 | 98 |

Taking parental leave in blocks of four-to-six weeks has been proposed along with spreading the cost over 12 months (Action 5.5.7).

Action 5.5.7 Review the possibility of spreading payment over 12 months for staff wishing to avail of parental leave in four-to-six-week blocks.

Taking maternity/extended paternity/adoption/parental leave would damage my career


Figure 5.9 Taking maternity/extended paternity/adoption/parental leave would damage my career

Over two-thirds of females reported that taking such leave could damage careers (Action

### 5.5.8).

Action 5.5.8 Publicise case studies of staff who have availed of parental leave, reduced hours and job sharing and have also progressed in their careers.

## (vi) Flexible working

Formal flexible working options include flexi-time, part-time working, shorter working year, career breaks and professional development leave. The Flexible Working Hours policy is available to administrative employees only and subject to the operational needs of UL. As decisions on flexible working hours and flexi-leave are managed locally, no central data are available on how this is operationalised. Academic and technical staff use the flexible nature of their contract on an informal basis.

Survey feedback indicates that availability of flexitime is largely dependent on the division/department manager and is neither widely nor consistently available (Action 5.1.4).

> "I feel there has been much work on gender inequality for Academic staff but none for non-academic staff. Those who have flexible working arrangements had to fight protracted battles to be allowed to avail of these." Female professional/support
> "Thanks to the division and line managers I work with I can avail of the flexible working arrangements which has enhanced my work life balance tenfold and made me more motivated." Female professional/support

As UL highlights that flexible working arrangements are available, the policy on flexitime needs to reviewed (Action 5.5.9 and 5.5.10).

Action 5.5.9 Formalise the flexitime policy to provide an open and transparent process.
Action 5.5.10 Gather flexitime data centrally.

No differences in flexible working between STEMM, AHSSBL and professional/support staff were noted. More females (58\%) than males (47\%) reported working flexibly, either currently or in the past.

Professional/Sabbatical leave
UL offers sabbatical leave, special research leave and professional development leave. The latter may have an element of payment attached to it depending on the replacement costs.

Table 5.21 Sabbatical leave

| Category | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 / 1 6}$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 / 1 7}$ |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 / 1 8}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{\%} \mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{\%} \mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{\%} \mathbf{F}$ |  |
| STEMM | 12 | 5 | 7 | $58 \%$ | 3 | 2 | 1 | $33 \%$ | 9 | 4 | 5 | $56 \%$ |  |
| AHSSBL | 10 | 5 | 5 | $50 \%$ | 7 | 4 | 3 | $43 \%$ | 15 | 8 | 7 | $47 \%$ |  |
| Total | 22 | 10 | 12 | $55 \%$ | 10 | 6 | 4 | $40 \%$ | 24 | 12 | 12 | $50 \%$ |  |

While females represent $50 \%$ of staff undertaking sabbaticals, the requirement to move overseas was cited as a challenge: $46 \%$ of female respondents cited family reasons for not going on sabbatical. In response, UL introduced research leave as an option to be taken in Ireland. More women in STEMM (83\%) than in AHSSBL (33\%) availed of research leave in 2018.

Table 5.22 Special research leave

| Category | 2015/16 |  |  |  | 2016/17 |  |  |  | 2017/18 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | T | M | F | \%F | T | M | F | \%F | T | M | F | \%F |
| STEMM | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100\% | 3 | 0 | 3 | 100\% | 6 | 1 | 5 | 83\% |
| AHSSBL | 5 | 1 | 4 | 80\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 9 | 6 | 3 | 33\% |
| Total | 7 | 1 | 6 | 86\% | 3 | 0 | 3 | 100\% | 15 | 7 | 8 | 53\% |

Career breaks (1-5 years) can be used for professional development, caring responsibilities, etc.

Table 5.23: Number of staff availing of career breaks by faculty and gender

| Category | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 / 1 6}$ |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 / 1 7}$ |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 / 1 8}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{\% F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{\% F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| STEMM | 14 | 6 | 8 | $57 \%$ | 15 | 7 | 8 | $53 \%$ | 11 | 6 | 5 | $45 \%$ |
| AHSSBL | 8 | 5 | 3 | $38 \%$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | $100 \%$ | 3 | 0 | 3 | $100 \%$ |
| Professional <br> /Support | 12 | 2 | 10 | $83 \%$ | 6 | 2 | 4 | $67 \%$ | 5 | 3 | 2 | $40 \%$ |
| Total | 34 | 13 | 21 | $62 \%$ | 23 | 9 | 14 | $61 \%$ | 19 | 9 | 10 | $53 \%$ |

Ninety percent of returners from career break reported no difficulties when returning to work (no gender difference).

## (vi) Transition from part-time back to full-time work after career breaks

Part-time working provides employees the option of fixed part-time (reduced hours/days) or temporary reduced working time (limited period and for personal reasons). Moving from full-time to part-time work is at the manager's discretion.

A fixed part-time employee who wishes to return/move to full-time work can apply in the normal way for full-time vacancies. Employees on temporarily reduced working time can return to full-time work subject to operational requirements and their manager's agreement.

## (viii) Childcare

UL provides a crèche for children (currently 51) of staff (38) and students (13) and notifies new staff about the facility. A crèche/UL liaison committee facilitates communication, monitors and reviews the allocation of places and advises on crèche policy initiatives, changes or proposals (but has not met for some time). The crèche reports annually to the Executive Committee. A review of the crèche's terms of reference is currently underway
(Action 5.5.11).

Action 5.5.11 Review the crèche's terms of reference and develop closer links with the crèche management.

One-fifth of survey respondents had availed of the crèche, and most who had (92\%) were satisfied with it. However, staff commented on the need to provide more resources, improve facilities (including afterschool supervision) and have more flexible opening hours (currently 08h30 to 18h00) (Action 5.5.12).

Action 5.5.12 Survey staff and students with children to establish current and future childcare needs.

The lack of baby-changing facilities on campus was highlighted in the survey (Action 5.5.13).
Action 5.5.13 Place baby-changing facilities in disability restrooms and rename them as accessibility restrooms.

A mother and baby room is available to breastfeeding mothers. Feedback from surveys indicates the need to have an additional breastfeeding room on the north campus (Action 5.5.14).

Entitlement to lactation or breastfeeding breaks for working mothers is afforded up to 26 weeks after the date of confinement. UL is looking to extend this to the child's second birthday (Action 5.5.15).

Action 5.5.14 Place a second breastfeeding room in place on the north campus.
Action 5.5.15 Review the entitlement to lactation/breastfeeding breaks up to the child's second birthday.

## (ix) Caring responsibilities

## Shorter working year

In addition to job share, career break, parental leave and leave of absence, a shorter working year scheme (unpaid special leave annually for two to 13 weeks, payment spread over 12 months) is available to staff to balance work-life and caring commitments. As set out in Table 5.24, while open to all staff, female professional/support staff primarily avail of the shorter working year.

Table 5.24 Shorter working year

| Year | Category | Total | Male | Female | \% Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | STEMM Prof/Support | 41 | 7 | 34 | 83\% |
| 2016 |  | 42 | 7 | 35 | 83\% |
| 2017 |  | 36 | 6 | 30 | 83\% |
| 2018 |  | 47 | 4 | 43 | 91\% |
| 2015 | AHSSBL Prof/Support | 68 | 6 | 62 | 91\% |
| 2016 |  | 70 | 5 | 65 | 93\% |
| 2017 |  | 77 | 3 | 74 | 96\% |
| 2018 |  | 90 | 4 | 86 | 96\% |

Feedback from staff is that information on the crèche and other family-friendly policies are very difficult to find.

Action 5.5.16 Assemble all family-friendly policies under one clearly accessible section of the new HR website.

Section 5.5 word count: 1,120

### 5.6 Organisation and culture

## (i) Culture

UL's prioritisation of gender equality helped to achieve a bronze institutional AS award in 2015. 69\% of survey respondents agree that the 'ethos .... is one that supports equality of opportunity for all genders'. We value diversity and remain committed to supporting a culture of gender equality as is evident in the establishment of the E\&D unit (2016). There has been a positive cultural change with regard to gender equality and inclusivity, as reflected in the number of staff (219: 133F, 86M) involved in AS activities. 53\% of 2018 survey respondents noted the positive impact of AS on gender equality. Commitment is evident in the allocation of funding (e.g. >€0.9 million since 2015 to returning academic carers), resources (the appointment of a VP of EDI and two planned ED posts (Actions 5.6.1 and 5.6.2) and a central fund to support AS activities and events (Action 5.6.2). The Dean AHSS has committed to appointing the first Assistant Dean Equality \& Diversity (Action 5.6.3).

Action 5.6.1 Appoint a VP for Equality, Diversity and Inclusivity.
Action 5.6.2 Appoint two additional posts to the Equality \& Diversity section.
Action 5.6.3 Increase funding to support AS initiatives.
Action 5.6.4 Appoint the first Assistant Dean Equality \& Diversity in the Faculty of AHSS.

The major growth in the representation of females at executive management level from $22 \%$ in 2015 to $67 \%$ in 2018 (section (iv) below) has had a significant impact on people's perceptions of women and men in leadership roles.

Improved reporting on AS initiatives to management committees and faculty boards has ensured that AS informs key decisions (Actions 5.1.1 and 5.1.18).

## (ii) HR policies

HR policies are reviewed regularly; changes are published and communicated directly to staff by email. HR oversees the implementation of the Equality and Diversity policy (updated June 2017). The EDI committee was established in 2018 to prioritise the implementation of a campus-wide EDI strategy (Action 4.13). The Dignity \& Respect policy underpins staff training (Table 5.25) to be mandatory from hereon with refresher training every four years
(Action 5.6.5).
Table 5.25 Dignity and respect training by gender 2015-2018

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Totals |
| STEMM | 6 | 9 | 19 | 39 | 23 | 32 | 58 | 44 | 230 |
| AHSSBL | 3 | 1 | 7 | 16 | 23 | 36 | 24 | 33 | 143 |
| Professional/Support | 4 | 3 | 19 | 47 | 43 | 88 | 32 | 84 | 320 |
| Totals | 13 | 13 | 45 | 102 | 89 | 156 | 114 | 161 | $693(432 F, 261 \mathrm{M})$ |

Action 5.6.5 Introduce mandatory dignity and respect training for all staff.

Training on HR policies is mandatory for incoming deans and HoDs. HR personnel are available for confidential discussions relating to grievances, bullying and harassment (including sexual harassment). However, female respondents are $25 \%$ less likely to report unfair treatment. A confidential 16-person (11F, 5M) Designated Contact Persons Panel supports this process (Actions 5.6.6 and 5.6.7)

Action 5.6.6 Investigate why female staff are unlikely to report incidents of unfair treatment/bullying and harassment.

Action 5.6.7 Ensure the dignity and respect training programme clearly sets out the supports available to staff to report incidents.

## (iii) Proportion of heads of school/faculty/department by gender

Table 5.26 Deans by gender 2015-2018

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{\% F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{\text { \%F }}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{\% F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{\% F}$ |
| STEMM | 1 | 1 | $5 \%$ | 1 | 1 | $50 \%$ | 1 | 1 | $50 \%$ | 1 | 1 | $50 \%$ |
| AHSSBL | 2 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 2 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 2 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 1 | 1 | $50 \%$ |
| GPS | 1 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 1 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 1 | $100 \%$ |
|  <br> Learning* | 0 | 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 1 | $100 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 \%}$ |

[^5]Table 5.27 Assistant deans by gender 2015-2018

| Year | 2015 |  |  | 2016 |  |  | 2017 |  |  | 2018 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | M | F | \%F | M | F | \%F | M | F | \%F | M | F | \%F |
| STEMM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EHS | 1 | 1 | 50\% | 2 | 1 | 33\% | 2 | 1 | 33\% | 2 | 1 | 33\% |
| S\&E | 3 | 1 | 25\% | 3 | 1 | 25\% | 3 | 1 | 25\% | 2 | 2 | 100\% |
| Total STEMM | 4 | 2 | 33\% | 5 | 2 | 29\% | 5 | 2 | 29\% | 4 | 3 | 75\% |
| AHSSBL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AHSS | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 1 | 33\% | 1 | 2 | 67\% | 1 | 2 | 67\% |
| KBS | 1 | 3 | 75\% | 2 | 3 | 60\% | 2 | 3 | 60\% | 2 | 3 | 60\% |
| Total AHSSBL | 3 | 3 | 50\% | 4 | 4 | 50\% | 3 | 5 | 63\% | 3 | 5 | 63\% |

Table 5.28 Heads of academic unit by gender 2015-2018

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | \%F | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | \%F | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | \%F | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | \%F |
| EHS | 3 | 3 | $50 \%$ | 3 | 3 | $50 \%$ | 2 | 4 | $67 \%$ | 3 | 3 | $100 \%$ |
| S\&E | 9 | 1 | $10 \%$ | 8 | 2 | $20 \%$ | 7 | 2 | $22 \%$ | 6 | 3 | $33 \%$ |
| AHSSBL | 7 | 5 | $42 \%$ | 7 | 5 | $42 \%$ | 7 | 5 | $42 \%$ | 7 | 5 | $42 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$ |

Female faculty executive deans rose from one (25\%) in 2015 to two (50\%) in 2018. Assistant deans rose from $5(42 \%)$ in 2015 to $8(53 \%)$ in 2018.

A change to the appointment of HoDs was introduced in 2016. HoDs (for staff at L/SL level) are appointed on the associate professor salary scale and granted six months' research leave and a research grant of $€ 6,000$ on completion. This has resulted in the number of female HoDs in S\&E, increasing from 10\% (2015) to 33\% (2018) (Table 5.28).

## (iv) Representation of men and women on senior management committees

Chaired by the Chancellor (F), Governing Authority (GA) is appointed in accordance with the Universities Act, 1997 and has overall authority for the affairs of UL. Staff, student and alumni representatives are elected. Appointment to the GA for internal staff is through open election for all staff.

Table 5.29 Governing Authority membership by gender, 2015-2018

| Year | Total | Male | Female | \%F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | 35 | 25 | 10 | $29 \%$ |
| 2016 | 33 | 23 | 10 | $30 \%$ |
| 2017 | 34 | 24 | 10 | $29 \%$ |
| 2018 | 29 | 19 | 10 | $34 \%$ |

Chaired by the President, Executive Committee is the senior management committee with responsibility for corporate performance and operational efficiency. Resulting from the
appointment of three female deans (2 Exec Deans - 1 Dean GS) and the appointment of the Director Strategic Projects and the EDI Special Advisor (2F) to the EC female representation increased from $22 \%$ to $67 \%$ during 2015-2018. The restructure resulted in an overall change from four to eight females.

Table 5.30 Executive Committee membership by gender, 2015-2018

| Year | Total | Male | Female | \%F |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | 9 | 7 | 2 | $22 \%$ |
| 2016 | 9 | 7 | 2 | $22 \%$ |
| 2017 | 12 | 8 | 4 | $33 \%$ |
| 2018 | 12 | 4 | 8 | $67 \%$ |

Table 5.31 Management Council by grade and gender, 2015-2018

| Year | Grade | Total | M | F | \%F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | Professor <br> (Academic/Professional) | 15 | 7 | 8 | 53\% |
|  | Associate Professor | 40 | 29 | 11 | 28\% |
|  | Professional Support | 11 | 7 | 4 | 36\% |
|  | Total | 66 | 43 | 23 | 35\% |
| 2016 | Professor <br> (Academic/Professional) | 16 | 8 | 8 | 50\% |
|  | Associate Professor | 39 | 27 | 12 | 31\% |
|  | Professional Support | 12 | 7 | 5 | 42\% |
|  | Total | 67 | 42 | 25 | 37\% |
| 2017 | Professor <br> (Academic/Professional) | 18 | 10 | 8 | 44\% |
|  | Associate Professor | 36 | 23 | 13 | 36\% |
|  | Professional Support | 13 | 9 | 4 | 31\% |
|  | Total | 67 | 42 | 25 | 37\% |
| 2018 | Professor <br> (Academic/Professional) | 15 | 6 | 9 | 60\% |
|  | Associate Professor | 36 | 22 | 14 | 39\% |
|  | Professional Support | 14 | 10 | 4 | 29\% |
|  | Total | 65 | 7 | 27 | 42\% |

Chaired by the VPAASE (F), Academic Council is responsible for the academic affairs of UL. The percentage of female members decreased in 2016/17 and increased in 2018.

Table 5.32 Academic Council by grade and gender, 2015-2018

| Year | Grade | Total | Male | Female | \%F |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | Professor | 15 | $\mathbf{7}$ | 8 | $53 \%$ |
|  | Associate Professor | 30 | 21 | 9 | $30 \%$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ |
| 2016 | Professor | 16 | 8 | 8 | $50 \%$ |
|  | Associate Professor | 30 | 21 | 9 | $30 \%$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ |
| 2017 | Professor | 16 | 8 | 8 | $50 \%$ |
|  | Associate Professor | 30 | 21 | 9 | $30 \%$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ |
|  | Professor | 23 | 12 | 11 | $48 \%$ |
|  | Associate Professor | 29 | 19 | 10 | $34 \%$ |
|  | Lecturer | Senior Lecturer | $\mathbf{1}$ | 4 | 2 |
| $33 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Student reps. | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | 1 | $100 \%$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$ |

Faculty Management Committee (FMC) is the senior management committee in each faculty (Table 33) responsible for strategy and operations. It is comprised of HoDs, assistant dean(s), faculty manager and directors of research institutes and chaired by a dean.

Table 5.33 Faculty Management Committees by grade and gender, 2015-2018

| Year | Faculty type | Grade | Gender |  |  |  | Faculty type | Grade | Gender |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | M | F | \%F |  |  | Total | M | F | \%F |
| 2015/16 | STEMM | Professor | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50\% | AHSSBL | Professor | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50\% |
|  |  | Associate Professor | 22 | 16 | 6 | 27\% |  | Associate Professor | 18 | 12 | 6 | 33\% |
|  |  | SAO 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100\% |  | SAO 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50\% |
|  |  | Research Director | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0\% |  | Research Director | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | Admin | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |  | Admin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | Total | 30 | 20 | 10 | 33\% |  | Total | 22 | 14 | 8 | 36\% |
| 2016/17 | STEMM | Professor | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50\% | AHSSBL | Professor | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50\% |
|  |  | Associate Professor | 19 | 11 | 8 | 42\% |  | Associate Professor | 20 | 12 | 8 | 40\% |
|  |  | SAO 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100\% |  | SAO 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50\% |
|  |  | Research Director | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0\% |  | Research Director | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | Admin | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |  | Admin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | Total | 26 | 14 | 12 | 46\% |  | Total | 24 | 14 | 10 | 42\% |
| 2017/18 | STEMM | Professor | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50\% | AHSSBL | Professor | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100\% |
|  |  | Associate Professor | 18 | 11 | 7 | 39\% |  | Associate Professor | 20 | 13 | 7 | 35\% |
|  |  | SAO 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100\% |  | SAO 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50\% |
|  |  | Research Director | 3 | 2 | 1 | 33\% |  | Research Director | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | Admin | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |  | Admin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | Total | 25 | 14 | 11 | 44\% |  | Total | 24 | 14 | 10 | 42\% |

Since 2015, the gender balance in both STEMM and AHSSBL FMCs has increased (Table 5.34). Women now comprise 43\% of all four FMCs (36\% in 2015), indicating progress at institutional level. This is as a direct consequence of women securing management positions (e.g. Assistant Dean, HoD).

We will facilitate women to (i) take on managerial roles (ii) chair departmental and faculty sub-committees (iii) undertake leadership training programmes (Action 5.6.8).

Action 5.6.8 Put measures in place to achieve 50\% gender representation on senior management committees/sub committees.

## (v) Representation of men and women on influential institution committees

GA has five sub-committees, three of which are chaired by women.
Table 5.34 Governing Authority sub-committee membership by gender, 2016-2018

| Sub-committee | Chair | Gender | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Audit \& Risk | M | M | 4 | 4 | 3 |
|  |  | F | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  | \%F | 33 | 33 | 40 |
| Finance, Human Resources \& Asset Management | F | M | 23 | 24 | 8 |
|  |  | F | 8 | 9 | 5 |
|  |  | \%F | 26 | 28 | 38 |
| Joint Honorary Doctorate | F | M | 6 | 5 | 4 |
|  |  | F | 1 | 1 | 3 |
|  |  | \%F | 14 | 16 | 43 |
| Nominating | M | M | 6 | 6 | 5 |
|  |  | F | 3 | 3 | 4 |
|  |  | \%F | 33 | 33 | 44 |
| Student Access, Equality \& Student Affairs | F | M | 7 | 7 | 5 |
|  |  | F | 6 | 6 | 7 |
|  |  | \%F | 46 | 46 | 58 |

## (vi) Committee overload

Committee duties are monitored within the workload model. We aim to achieve $40 \%$ (up from $30 \%$ in 2015) gender representation on all selection boards - this is challenging in S\&E because of the low number of senior female academics ( $11,13 \%$ ) and may inadvertently lead to overburdening of such staff. More females than males (4.7\% versus $0.6 \%$ ) reported that their position on UL committees was because of their gender. Actions 5.6.9 and 5.6.10 should help lighten committee overload.


Note: Total male respondents to this question = 165; total female respondents $=365$
Figure 5.10 How often are you asked to sit on University committees because of your gender or grade/position?

Action 5.6.9 Where feasible, amend recruitment and selection procedures to allow for a higher representation of additional members, such as postdocs, professional/support staff or external senior female members, to serve on committees.
Action 5.6.10 Recognise committee participation by gender within the WAM.

## (vii) Institutional policies, practices and procedures

UL promotes equality of opportunity. Gender equality is facilitated through the screening of all policies and practices, and then implemented through consultative processes with staff, students, external stakeholders and union representatives.

The HE\&D and Employee Relations Officer review policies from an equality perspective. If screening indicates that a policy is misaligned to the ethos, the policy is amended in consultation with stakeholders. A standardised mechanism for monitoring and reviewing policies from an equality perspective is required (Action 5.6.11).

Action 5.6.11 Devise and apply an Equality Impact Assessment process when monitoring and reviewing policies from an equality perspective.

GA formally approves all institutional policies. UL generates feedback through the staff survey, focus groups and ongoing consultation between HR and staff and student union representatives.

## (viii) Workload model

UL's WAM was designed to enable the equitable distribution of work among academic staff. The model provides HoDs and deans with a framework for planning workload. Reviewed in 2017/18 A new WAM framework is being approved and a pilot electronic system will commence in EHS in 2019 (Action 5.6.12). We plan to extend the operation of the model to ensure that gender is considered (Action 5.6.13).
$75 \%$ ( $58 \% \mathrm{~F} ; 56 \% \mathrm{M}$ ) of academic respondents to the survey reported working in excess of their contracted hours (Action 5.6.14).

Action 5.6.12 Implement a pilot eWAM in EHS
Action 5.6.13 Ensure that gender is considered within the WAM
Action 5.6.14 Develop an 'out of hours' communications protocol.

## (ix) Timing of institution meetings and social gatherings

UL's operating hours are 09.00 to 17.15 . All regular meetings take place between 10.00 and 16.00. Formal policies clearly state this and compliance is monitored through staff surveys. Social gatherings happen at various times to provide flexibility to those with caring responsibilities.

Action 5.6.15 Ensure that all regular management meetings occur between 10 h 00 and 16 h 00 and that policies are in place to support this.

## (x) Visibility of role models

Women hold the prominent leadership positions of Chancellor, VPAASE, Vice-President Research \& Enterprise and five executive deanships. They provide the campus community with visible female role models. Websites are reviewed to ensure genders and ethnicity are represented.

However, Figure 5.11 highlights that we need to enhance efforts to ensure that gender equality is reflected in our activities and profile.


Figure 5.11 Visibility of women and men in leadership roles
Females comprise approximately $40 \%$ of STEMM CDs and $60 \%$ of AHSSBL CDs. Most CDs are at Lecturer level, with smaller numbers at higher levels (Table 5.35). There was an increase in 2017/18 STEMM course directors at lecturer below the bar level.

In its Research Impact series, UL highlights the work of a range of female academics: 13 research impact case studies have been presented ( $6 \mathrm{~F}, 7 \mathrm{M}$ ) since 2015, including ones that showcase the achievements of UL female STEMM staff and graduates. Twenty podcasts (10F, 16M) have been produced since the UL Research Impact Podcast Series was launched in 2017.

Action 5.6.16 Ensure that the numbers of Research Impact case studies and podcasts are gender balanced.

UL celebrated its $10^{\text {th }}$ International Women's Day conference in 2018. In collaboration with industry partners the conference is an opportunity to showcase the achievement of women in various contexts. Since 2015, over 800 (primarily women) have attended the conference (Action 5.6.17). With the exception of one year, all chairs have been women.

Table 5.35 Speakers and attendance at International Women's Day conferences by gender, 2015-2018

|  |  | Speakers |  |  |  | Attendance |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Conference title | Total | M | F | \%F | Total | M | F | \%F |  |
| 2015 | Embracing the Past Informing <br> the Future in STEMM | 7 | 2 | 5 | $62.5 \%$ | 190 | 21 | 169 | $89 \%$ |  |
| 2016 |  <br> Diversity | 7 | 2 | 5 | $62.5 \%$ | 200 | 16 | 184 | $92 \%$ |  |
| 2017 | Changing Mindsets | 10 | 4 | 6 | $55 \%$ | 220 | 23 | 197 | $90 \%$ |  |
| 2018 | \#PressforProgress | 15 | 6 | 9 | $60 \%$ | 235 | 24 | 211 | $90 \%$ |  |

Action 5.6.17 Implement a direct and specific advertising campaign to engage and attract male delegates to the International Women's Day conference.


Figure 5.12 International Women's Day Pictures
Mindful of equality for both genders we will run an International Men's Day Event annually.

Action 5.6.18 Organise an International Men's Day event to be held annually in November.

## (xi) Outreach activities

UL excels in education and public engagement (EPE) and has developed a comprehensive programme to raise awareness of STEMM. The target audiences are pupils, parents, teachers, students, partners and the general public. Staff engage extensively in outreach activities, which is recognised within the WAM. Since 2015, 1,048 staff (440F, 608M) have been involved in key STEMM-focused outreach activities involving over 33,000 participants.

Table 5.36 Key STEMM-focused outreach events by gender, 2015-2018

|  | Participants |  |  | Volunteers/ Supervisors |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{\% F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{\%} \mathbf{F}$ |
| 2015 | 1,678 | 1,517 | $47 \%$ | 147 | 92 | $38 \%$ |
| 2016 | 2,149 | 1,994 | $48 \%$ | 172 | 126 | $42 \%$ |
| 2017 | 2,114 | 2,234 | $51 \%$ | 159 | 134 | $46 \%$ |
| 2018 | 653 | 718 | $52 \%$ | 130 | 88 | $40 \%$ |
| Annual totals | 6,594 | 6,463 | $49 \%$ | 608 | 440 | $42 \%$ |
| One-off events all years | 3,454 | 3,439 | $50 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Overall totals | $\mathbf{1 6 , 6 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 3 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 0}$ |  |

Some outreach activities with schools, are not monitored for participant levels nor gender (Action 5.6.19). Additionally numbers of volunteers/supervisors are not recorded for oneoff events, which makes the dataset incomplete.

Action 5.6.19 Collect participant data by gender on UL outreach volunteers and supervisors.

Because females are underrepresented in STEMM, we engage in activities specifically designed for them. Several dedicated outreach positions within STEMM research centres are currently held by females. UL was first in Ireland to create a Professor in STEM Education (F). She is also the director of the UL-hosted EPI-STEM (National Centre for STEM Education).

Table 5.37 Key STEMM-focused outreach activities


V/S: Volunteers / Supervisors. F: Female. M: Male

UL has secured national and international research funding in STEMM education and outreach. Examples include the EPE programme in SSPC (Figure 5.13), and Lero's Scratch programme with schools and its work on the national curriculum for post-primary computer science.


Figure 5.13 SSPC Pharm5 EPE Plan
Developing what has been achieved, our next action is to develop evaluation methodologies for impact of outreach. We acknowledge that the programmes need to align and cohere better to enhance impact.

UL collaborates with companies on outreach programmes that are grounded in research. In collaboration with J\&J, the WiSTEM ${ }^{2}$ D programme provides scholarships to individual female students ( 20 since 2016) ; funding for collaborative research programmes undertaken by students ( 61 since 2016); and a mentoring programme by J\&J staff for UL female students in STEMM programmes.


Niamh Sheahan, Aeronautical Engineering; Jessica Silva, Biomedical Engineering; and Janice O'Gorman, Pharmaceutical and Industrial Chemistry at the launch of year 2 of the WiSTEM²D collaboration with Johnson \& Johnson, 13 September 2017.

Table 5.38 2017/18 WISTEM²D scholarships (all female)

|  | Name | Course |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Anastasija Klemanska | Industrial Biochemistry |
| 2. | Aoife Clarke | Mechanical Engineering |
| 3. | Ciara Olsthoorn | Chemical and Biochemical Engineering |
| 4. | Ciara Springall | Product Design and Technology |
| 5. | Elora McFall | Applied Physics |
| 6. | Lisa Daly | Design and Manufacture Engineering |
| 7. | Maram Youssef | Aeronautical Engineering |
| 8. | Meryn McNea | B. Tech. (Education) in Materials \& Engineering Technology |
| 9. | Nell Hartney | Mathematics and Physics |
| 10. | Roisin Hurley | Mechanical Engineering |

Staff visit primary and post-primary schools to promote STEMM at UL. For example, the Engineering Ambassadors programme promotes engineering and the SOPHia project promotes physics to female students UL open days, career information evenings, the President's Volunteer Award and Limerick for Engineering and Science also promote female engagement (Action 5.6.20-5.6.21).

Action 5.6.20 Enhance the linkage and coordination of outreach activity to maximise impact.
Action 5.6.21 Develop methodologies to evaluate the impact of outreach.


WiSTEM²D students at the 2017 International Women's Day conference


Sample of WiSTEM ${ }^{2} D$ project work


WiSTEM ${ }^{2}$ D students visit Johnson \& Johnson Vision Care


UL School of Engineering student ambassadors


Rang 3, GaelscoilChaladh an Treoigh visit to UL in Oct 2018 (School of Engineering \& GEMS)


Department of Maths \& Stats rolling out IWISH initiative for Transition Years Students from 2019

## (xii) Leadership

In 2015, the STEMM deans agreed a schedule by which all STEMM academic units would submit AS applications during 2019 (Figure 2.1). This was achieved almost a year ahead of schedule on 30 November 2018. The KBS is submitting an application in November 2018 and Faculty of AHSS will submit in November 2019. An Assistant Dean EDI will be appointed in AHSS to drive the EDI agenda in the faculty and support the institution's application for a Silver AS Award in 2021 (Action 5.6.4).

The internal UL AS Network brings SATs together to share best practice and build capacity. The Network will host four workshops on AS issues per year (Action 5.6.22). In addition, we will continue to lead on the National AS Network to promote the sharing of best practice among sector partners (Action 5.6.23).

Action 5.6.22 Hold quarterly UL AS Network events.
Action 5.6.23 Lead on the development of the National AS Practitioners Network Ireland.

Section 5.6 word count: 1,708
Section 5 in total: 5,862/5,000

## 6 Supporting trans people

## (i) Current policy and practice

Chaired by the Special Advisor to the President on Equality \& Diversity, the Trans Support Team is a subgroup of ASSC and includes representatives from HR, Student Life, Student Counselling, the LGBTQ+ community and LGBTQ+ researchers. Along with the Transgender Equality Network Ireland (TENI), the group inputs to UL's Gender Identity and Gender Expression draft policy, which will be launched in April 2019 (Action 6.1) along with corresponding training (Action 6.2).

Action 6.1 Finalise and launch the Gender Identity and Gender Expression policy.
Action 6.2 Provide training on the Gender Identity and Gender Expression policy to all members of staff.

UL hosted talks by transgender advocates Sam Blanckensee, Jack Halberstam and Jessica Lynn and also by UL trans colleagues to the AS national network Since 2013, the UL-led Hate and Hostility Research Group has collaborated with TENI on collecting data and identifying effective solutions through the group's Stop Transphobia and Discrimination (STAD) system. The STAD report 2014-2016 was launched in December 2017.

The HE\&D is a member of the EU-funded ESHTE (End Sexual Harassment and Violence in Third Level Education) committee, which launched the It Stops Now programme in November 2018. Following a poster campaign and staff /student focus groups on the programme in 2017, the programme was launched campus-wide in November 2018. It will be fully available to staff and students during 2018/19 (Action 6.3).

Action 6.3 Roll out the It Stops Now programme to students and to staff working with students.

UL hoisted the Trans Flag on International Trans Day Visibility in 2018. The institution is currently developing a Flag Flying policy (Action 6.4).

[^6]

In 2017, gender-neutral restrooms were assigned within all campus buildings so that no group of people, particularly those with disabilities, was disadvantaged in relation to access to such facilities. New buildings at UL will include gender-neutral restrooms (Action 6.5).

Action 6.5 Include gender-neutral restrooms in the design specification of all new buildings on campus.

The Student Life LGBTQ+ society Out in UL hosts events and campaigns on transgender for its members and other students. In 2018, Student Life staff participated in training on supporting trans students. As part of SoUL (societies of UL) week, the UL Student Law Society hosted its first ever LGBTQIA+-themed conference in October 2018 in collaboration with the UL Law Society and Out in UL.

## (ii) Monitoring

The Gender Identity and Gender Expression policy will include reference on how its impact will be monitored (e.g. through surveys run by Student Life (students), the Quality Support Unit (graduating students) and ASSC (staff). In addition, designated contact persons will receive training in LGBTi issues (Action 6.7). We will continue to gather feedback through STAD and recommend effective ways to prevent transphobia and discrimination (Action 6.8).

Action 6.6 Include reference in the Gender Identity and Gender Expression policy to how the impact of the policy will be monitored.
Action 6.7 Provide designated contact persons with training in LGBTi issues.
Action 6.8 Gather feedback through STAD and recommend effective ways to prevent transphobia and discrimination.

## (iii) Further work

Implementing the new UL policy requires specific changes to support trans people. We will continue to hold open talks and to work with support groups through our research groups and the Trans Support Team. In addition, we intend carrying out the work specified below.

Action 6.9 Update forms and the Student Record System to reflect multiple gender fields.

Action 6.10 Degender HR policies.
Action 6.11 Hold trans workshops for ASSC, Executive Committee, SATs and EDI committee members and the wider campus community, including students.
Action 6.12 Engage with Limerick's GOSHH (Gender Orientation, Sexual Health, HIV) service to facilitate the promotion of equality and wellbeing.
Action 6.13 Support UL Student Life and Out in UL to host annual transgender health and awareness weeks.

## 7 Further information

## University of Sanctuary

UL is widely acknowledged for achievements in broadening participation in HE. The Access Office promotes and supports the participation of students from traditionally underrepresented groups through pre-entry second-level-school-based activities, post-entry student support and outreach work in the community.

Sanctuary status is a key statement about our commitment to providing safe spaces for marginalised groups and discussing the EDI agenda.


Pictured at the launch of UL as a University of Sanctuary: Dr Máiréad Moriarty, Chair UL's Sanctuary Committee, Tiffy Allen, Coordinator Places of Sanctuary Ireland, President Desmond Fitzgerald and Donnah Vumma, scholarship recipient.

Broadening the diversity of staff and students at UL is a key priority. We have already committed $€ 1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ of sanctuary scholarships over five years and plan to increase the number of scholarships from 24 to 36 in 2019.

## Action plan

|  | Immediate (1) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Priority key (Pr.) | Short-to-medium term (2) <br> Action has commenced and will be <br> implemented within 12 months | Long term (3) <br> Action will be implemented within <br> 18 to 24 months | | Action will be implemented within |
| :---: |
| 24 to 36 months |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
| 2. Description of institution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.1 | Produce an annual Athena <br> SWAN report on the <br> Action Plan (2019-2023) <br> to raise awareness and improve engagement with AS and gender equality initiatives and achievements. | AS survey highlighted differences in awareness of AS and the action plan between academic, research staff and professional/support staff. <br> The survey also highlighted women being more engaged in AS than men. <br> There is a need for the survey to capture intersectional data through the use of compulsory gender questions. | President HE\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | April every 2 years | AS Survey conducted every 2 years including intersectional data <br> Staff response rate of at least 80\% <br> Response rate from male academic staff increased to $50 \%$ and from male researchers to $30 \%$ by 2020 <br> Increased response rate from professional and support staff <br> $100 \%$ response rate to $Q$ on gender <br> AS success publicised on the AS website and other campus-wide communication platforms. An annual celebratory event hosted by the President. | 2 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
| 2.2 | Increase the proportion of undergraduate female students in STEMM subjects. | The data show that while $48 \%$ of all our students are female, only $44 \%$ are in STEMM. Women are underrepresented in UG teacher education in comparison to the national average. <br> Men are underrepresented in health sciences (nursing \& midwifery, allied health) which is common across sector. | Dean EHS <br> Dean S\&E | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Jan 2021 | Online marketing materials developed that depict women in STEMM careers and men in the Health Sciences. <br> A comprehensive review of the gender distribution for teacher education subjects undertake setting out clear actions |  |
| 2.3 | Investigate why fewer women are taking teacher education programmes in UL. | Women are underrepresented in UG teacher education in comparison to the national average. | Dean EHS <br> Dean S\&E | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Jan } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Dec 2021 | An increase by $10 \%$ in the number of women undertaking Teacher Education Programmes in UL within 3 years. | 2 |
| 3. Self-assessment process |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.1 | Review and expand the membership of the ASSC to ensure that ethnic and underrepresented groups are represented and that gender representation is 50/50 female/male. | There is a need to broaden the membership profile of the ASSC. | Chair ASSC HE\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Every 2 years | The memberships of the ASSC is diverse and balanced (50/50 gender) and includes representatives from unrepresented/marginalised groups. <br> Membership is reviewed annually through an open call with all | 1 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | groups of staff and students represented. |  |
| 3.2 | Identify and implement a formal system for tracking progress on AS actions at institution and faculty level. | As set out in 3 (ii), the action plan falls into a number of categories and actions are tracked on a quarterly basis to faculty SATs and the ASSC. A formal system is required to enhance this process. | Faculty deans IT HE\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | A formal electronic tracking system is in place with access provided to Faculty SAT's and the ASSC | 1 |
| 3.3 | Conduct AS awarenessraising focus groups with male staff and researchers (male and female). | The poor response rate from males staff overall (23\%) male academic staff (27\%) and particularly male Research Staff (11\%) and female researchers (28\%) indicates a clear need to conduct As Awareness Raising focus groups with a publicity campaign persuading these groups that AS is about improving working practices for all and engaging them in the process | HE\&D <br> Executive Deans <br> Directors of Research Institutes | End of term June 2019 | Dec 2020 | 3 focus groups held in 2019 - <br> 1 All Male staff - 10 attendees secured through direct invitation to male staff only <br> 1 Male Research Staff focus group held - direct invitation to all male research staff <br> 1 Female Research staff through direct invitation to all male research staff | 2 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
| 3.4 | Review the ASSC membership annually ensuring inclusive and diverse representation. | As the diversity of the student and staff population grows in UL there is a need to annually review the membership of the ASSC to ensure it is inclusive and representative | Chair ASSC HE\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { January } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Annually | ASSC membership reviewed annually to ensure it is representative. <br> 50:50 Gender representation achieved |  |
| 3.5 | Nominate AS champions within each faculty, school/department and professional/support area. | To further embed and support AS activities at faculty and department level. | Deans HODs | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Jan } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Ongoing | All faculty/schools/departments have identified a staff and student AS champion. New AS Champions identified within PSS. <br> UL Athena SWAN Network is expanded with increased attendance at the quarterly AS Network meetings. <br> $100 \%$ of schools/departments engaged with Athena SWAN. <br> Roll out commenced to PSS Divisions | 2 |
| 3.6 | Include AS champion work in the workload model. | To support and encourage wider engagement of staff in AS and ensuring staff can dedicate the necessary time needed preparing for AS awards and implementation of AP ensure work is | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deans } \\ & \text { HODs } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ |  | 100\% staff involved in preparation of AS submissions will have their work recognised and approved within workload model by 2019. <br> AS Champions attend quarterly AS Network meetings | 2 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  |  | accounted for within WAMs. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.7 | Provide faculty, school and department SATs with ongoing support. | The E\&D office provide support to all faculty ASSAT's and to departments preparing submissions. With the expansion to all faculties and all departments, additional dedicated resources are required to continue to support the embedding of AS in the Institution. (President's Letter). <br> 5 Schools/Departments currently hold awards. 5 schools/departments are submitting in Nov 2018. FAHSS submitting target submission date Nov 2019. 4 Schools are preparing resubmission. | HoDs <br> HE\&D <br> E\&D Office | Nov '18 | Nov '19 | FAHSS submission Nov 2019 <br> A minimum 8 Faculty/School successful awards in place by April 2019 <br> A further 3 awards in place by Nov 2019 | 2 |
| 3.8 | Achieve a Silver AS Award by November 2021 | By Nov 2019 all STEMM department will have submitted applications. Essential to measure impact and set clear targets | Chair <br> ASSC <br> HE\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2021 \end{aligned}$ | A Silver AS application submitted in April 2021 clearly setting out the impact of AS in the institution | 3 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
| 3.9 | Establish a central data platform for schools on SharePoint. | Faculties/Schools/ <br> Departments currently collect all staff data from the HE\&D \& the AS <br> Administrator who in turn have to extract the data from Core which is unreliable at times and involves manually examining the data in | HRD HRIS HE\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | Dec 2019 | SharePoint platform established. Accurate \& reliable staff data. <br> Institutional and school data collected annually and easily accessible by AS Champions within all schools. <br> Data reported on an annual basis for all faculties by the HE\&D as part of the Annual AS Report | 2 |
| 3.10 | Agree a four-year plan for engaging the all professional/support divisions in the process of seeking accreditation to the expanded charter. | With the submission of the FAHSS all faculties and all departments will have submitted applications at least once demonstrating the level of involvement and commitment to AS in UL. Professional/Support staff feel excluded and outside the parameters of AS. | HE\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Jan } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | 2024 | A 4-year plan for engaging central divisions in place. <br> Presentation to all Division Directors to present on AS at Central Division/Department Meetings clearly setting out the value of AS for ALL Staff <br> A minimum of 2 central divisions having submitted application by 2022 | 3 |
| 3.11 | Present an annual AS progress report to Governing Authority, Executive Committee and Management Council. | Currently reporting to the GA annually. Expand this to formally report annually on the AS progress to the Executive Committee and Management Council | HE\&D Chair | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Annual | All senior institutional committees are fully informed of AS progress and impact and the institution remains committed at a strategic level | 3 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
| 3.12 | Finalise and secure formal approval for and implement the Equality, Diversity \& Inclusion strategy. | To provide a formal cohesive institutional framework for all EDI activities | Chair EDI Committee | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Executive Committee approval in place. <br> Launch to all staff | 1 |
| 3.13 | Investigate the feasibility of appointing assistant deans for Equality \& Diversity in each faculty. | As proposed by the Dean AHSS and in order to ensure delivery on the AS and EDI strategy there is a need to have a dedicated leadership role in each faculty that will ensure cohesive and aligned processes and procedures to embed the EDI ethos | Deans | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | October 2021 | Scoping exercise with report to ASSC from each faculty outlining capacity and obstacles to this. <br> Assistant Dean EDI in AHSS appointed | 3 |
| 3.14 | Update the Equality \& Diversity policy. | The E\&D Policy requires updating In line with 'Best Practice' and the Public Sector Duty Bill continuing commitment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Head E\&D } \\ & \text { GA } \end{aligned}$ | In process | March <br> 2019 | New policy reviewed and ratified by March2019 | 1 |
| 4. A picture of the institution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.1 | Review and revise the measures aimed at attracting female candidates in STEMM | If numbers do not grow in 2019, revise the measures aimed at attracting female candidates <br> Prevent a return to historical default and to ensure that process and operations are continually | VP COO <br> HRD <br> Deans <br> HoD -HoS | Autumn 2019 | Ongoing | Content of Advertisements is gender-proofed. <br> Recruitment panels confirmed as UCB trained and verified for gender balance. | 1 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  |  | refreshed and fore grounded in recruitment. |  |  |  | Search committees utilised in circumstances where gender is under-represented (Action 5.1.5) <br> Only in exceptional circumstances will a single gender competition go ahead. Explanation to be presented on file. <br> Comparison to baseline shows increase across AS lifecycle |  |
| 4.2 | Set targets to increase the number of females at Professor and Associate Professor levels by 10\% in the next three years through recruitment and promotions process strategies. | To increase the representation of women at full SL, Associate Professors and Professor levels | VP\&COO <br> VPA\&SE <br> HRD <br> HE\&D <br> L\&D M <br> Deans/HoD/HoS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Dec 2022 | A comprehensive review of the promotions procedures (Actions 4.17; 5.1.18; 5.1.20) has been undertaken with additional supports (one to one coaching) in place for staff at SL levels. <br> Search committees utilised to target female applicants for Assoc. Professors positions. <br> 10\% increase in female Associate Prof and Prof posts by 2022 | 2 |
| 4.3 | Enhance supports, including one-to-one mentoring and coaching, for female senior lecturers and associate professors in STEMM. | To minimise impact of any leak point and to address low number of professors in STEMM | President Dean S\&E Dean EHS VPA\&SE VP\&COO | Immedi ate | Ongoing | An increase by $20 \%$ in the number of SL promoted to Associate Professors by 2020. | 2 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A 50\% representation of women at Associate Professor level by 2023 |  |
| 4.4 | Secure funding from the DES on the government approved Female Professorships, initially in S\&E. | To avail of Government funding for 45 female Professorships over 3 years announced in Nov 2018 | President <br> Dean S\&E <br> Dean EHS <br> VPA\&SE <br> VP\&COO <br> HRD <br> HE\&D | Spring 2019 | 2021 | Funding secured for 2 Female Professors appointed in Science \& Engineering by 2021 <br> Funding secured for a further 2 Professorships by 2023 | 2 |
| 4.5 | Raise awareness of the Research Careers and Development Framework. | To enhance communication to researchers regarding career development so that potential for progression is maximised | Deans <br> L\&D M <br> HE\&D <br> HoD / HoS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | March 2019 | Campaign undertaken to promote the Research Careers and Development Framework. <br> Specific survey undertaken. 70\% response rate from Researchers to the survey and a minimum $70 \%$ satisfaction rate with the supports. | 1 |
| 4.6 | Undertake a specific focus group with researchers to explore barriers to career progression, especially for women | To formulate a needs analysis that will support action to increase number of senior researchers in STEMM | Deans EHS <br> HE\&D <br> HRO Research | Immedi ate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Summer } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Progressively move to $40 \%$ of senior researchers in STEMM by 2022 | 1 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
| 4.7 | Put processes in place to ensure that ethnicity data are captured through staff surveys and agree a process for collecting intersectional through eRecruitment. | Ethnicity data not captured currently. <br> Staff Survey provided some data but there is a need to put processes in place to capture <br> To allow for collation and analysis of E\&D data in the absence of a statutory obligation | HRD <br> HE\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | On-going | Questions on intersectionality included in all institutional and department surveys to inform our data. <br> Reports on AS activity to show analysis of intersectionality data on annual basis. <br> eRecruitment equality questionnaire amended to include compulsory responses to gender, including a non-binary gender option and a comment box. ethnicity and nationality | 3 |
| 4.8 | Lobby the HEA and the Department of Education and Skills (DES) and the Gender Taskforce to generate sectoral and national intersectional data on race and ethnicity in addition to the other categories specified in relevant legislation | Intersectional data is not currently collected by the third level sector in Ireland, which prevents national benchmarking on important indictors <br> Sectoral intersectional data is necessary to support future Athena SWAN applications with a much needed focus on intersectionality | HE\&D | May <br> 2019 | Jan 2021 | Best Practice guidelines agreed at a sectoral level for gathering data. <br> Substantial increase in the data collected at an institutional and sectoral level | 3 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
| 4.9 | Undertake a comprehensive review of all fixed-term and specificpurpose contracts issued in the past three years. | The increase in these contracts needs to be explained to ensure reasonable rationale for usage that is in keeping with AS strategic ethos | HRD <br> HE\&D <br> HRO Comps \& Bens | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | March <br> 2020 | Full report presented to EC in March 2020 with explicit focus on data related to female staff and clear regularisation actions outlined | 3 |
| 4.10 | Investigate the reasons behind the rise in the usage of fixed-term and specific-purpose contracts for academic staff to ascertain if there are gender differences. | Depending on the outcome of Action 4.7 seek to regularise fixed-term and specific-purpose contracts as required. | HRD <br> HRO Recruitment HE\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | March 2020 | Full report presented to EC in March 2020 | 3 |
| 4.11 | Hold career progression focus groups with parttime staff to establish if part-time working is by choice. | To generate a nonanecdotal evidence base for how 76\% of PT staff are female | HR | Oct 209 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Report to AS steering committee April 2020 | 2 |
| 4.12 | Amend the promotions procedures to specifically consider academic parttime careers. | To bring clarity to how promotion decision-makers can fairly use data on PT work profiles | VPA\&SE <br> VPCOO <br> DHR <br> HE\&D <br> HRO Recruitment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Procedures explicitly detail how Part time working is considered within the promotions process. <br> Promotion Documentation is amended to capture PT data. <br> Amendments are listed for communication in workshops. | 2 |
| 4.13 | Hold focus groups with clinical staff to review clinical career structures. | To understand the needs of this new but expanding staff category and how it is | Director HR <br> Dean EHS <br> HoDs EHS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2021 \end{aligned}$ | Report to Dean EHS and then Exec Committee July 2019 | 3 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  |  | assimilated into appropriate career structures |  |  |  | A Career Framework for Clinical Staff in place |  |
| 4.14 | Celebrate UL's diverse culture by hosting events and ensuring representation on key committees. | To integrate and embed the change from historical homogenous profile of UL activity | President <br> DIED <br> EDI Special Advisor <br> HE\&D | Ongoing | Annual | Calendar events (festivals, celebrations) that promote diversity. <br> Stronger links with International Education established. <br> Stronger representation of ethnic minority groups on key committees. | 1 |
| 4.15 | Ensure imagery used on all promotion materials is gender balance and includes age and ethnic groups and family friendly images | To celebrate and promote UL's diverse culture | HMC <br> HE\&D <br> EDI Special Advisor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Dec 2020 | Strong images of diversity on all institution materials <br> HE\&D a member of the Marketing and Branding Review Committee which has considered all EDI aspects. New materials visible on all promotional materials. | 1 |
| 4.16 | Examine the leaving rates by contract function to establish if there are any gendered patterns. | Lack of detailed information available on leavers | HRO Comps \& Bens | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Data reviewed and report compiled for presentation to the ASSC | 2 |
| 4.17 | Formalise an automated system for gathering leaver data. | Lack of detailed information available on leavers | HRD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Feb 2020 | Pilot automated system in place and completed in year 1 by 40\% of leavers. | 3 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A decision whether the system is effective and whether to roll out further in place |  |
| 4.18 | Conduct a comprehensive equal pay audit, including professional/support salaries, every three years and report the findings to GA. | To monitor change against 2015-17 baseline and benchmark against external comparators | $\mathrm{COO}$ <br> Director Finance | 2019 | 2020 | Negligible gender differences in pay rates. <br> Complete and accurate database. | 3 |
| 5. Supporting and advancing careers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.1 Key career transition points: academic staff |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.1.1 | Raise the gender representation on selection boards to 40\%. | The current gender representation or both gender is $30 \%$. $80+\%$ boards included 35-40\% gender representation. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHR } \\ & \text { HE\&D } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Jan 2019 | All promotions and selection boards include of minimum 40\% gender representation | 1 |
| 5.1.2 | Review the composition of selection boards for senior posts to provide for the inclusion of additional external experts, where necessary, to ensure compliance with the minimum $40 \%$ gender representation | Within STEMM reaching $40 \%$ gender representation will be difficult | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHR } \\ & \text { HE\&D } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Jan } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ |  | Recruitment and selection board procedures updated to provide for additional external representation where required | 1 |
| 5.1.3 | Deliver compulsory face-to-face equality and | While eLearning UBT is available the | HE\&D L\&D M | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Oct 2019 <br> \& annual | A 2 hour face to face UB training programme designed and | 2 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  | diversity/gender awareness training workshops to all new staff during their probation period | recommendation from the GT is that face to face training should also be provided | Members of ASSC |  |  | delivered to all new staff during their probation <br> 50\% attendance rate in 2019 - $60 \% 2020-80 \% 2021$ |  |
| 5.1.4 | Design and deliver a bespoke, compulsory, face-to-face equality and diversity/gender awareness training workshop to new and current managers. | While eLearning UBT is available the recommendation from the GT is that face to face training should also be provided to new and current managers <br> In consistency in the application of certain schemes (e.g. flexitime) are applied need to be addressed in training. | HE\&D <br> L\&D M <br> Members of ASSC | March $2019$ | Ongoing | A bespoke 2 hour compulsory face to face UB training programme designed and delivered to new and current managers including content on how gender intersects with race and ethnicity and other intersectional categories. | 1 |
| 5.1.5 | In circumstances where gender is underrepresented, use search committees before advertising positions. | In order to source suitably qualified applicants for specialised posts internal search committees should be used before advertising the posts allow candidates adequate time to apply | HR Recruitment Manager Competition Owners Deans/HoDs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 2020 \\ & \& \\ & \text { Ongoing } \end{aligned}$ | All competitions will have a mixed gender application pool. | 2 |
| 5.1 .6 | Ensure the AS statement is visible on all recruitment advertisements and packages. | Feedback received that the AS Statement is not visible on all adverts. | HRO Recruitment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | Ongoing | All adverts clearly display the University's AS message inviting applications from all sections of the community | 1 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
| 5.1.7 | Update recruitment procedures to ensure that compulsory completion of the online unconscious bias training is tracked by the competition owner prior to the recruitment process being undertaken. | Evidence that all promotions boards undertake the on-line Unconscious Bias Training but not all selection boards have compiled as the responsibility has fallen between HR and the competition owner | Competition Owner | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ |  | The recruitment and selection policies have been updated to ensure that a section of the pack requires evidence that all members of the board have completed the on-line UBT. | 1 |
| 5.1.8 | Update all Role Profiles to Include the requirement to show "demonstrable evidence of leadership in equality and diversity" in all senior management job descriptions. | To ensure this competency is clearly sought in Senior Management Roles, all Role Profiles/Job Descriptions need to be updated | HE\&D <br> HRO Recruitment | March 2019 |  | All Role Profiles updated to include the requirement to demonstrate the competency of "demonstrable evidence of leadership in equality and diversity" for senior management roles | 1 |
| 5.1 .9 | Include the requirement to show "commitment to equality and diversity" in all manager and supervisory job descriptions. | To ensure this competency is clearly sought in middle Management/Supervisory Roles, all Role Profiles/Job Descriptions need to be updated | HE\&D <br> HRO Recruitment | March 2019 |  | Role Profiles for Middle Managers/Supervisors updated to include the competency | 1 |
| 5.1.10 | Ensure potential selection board members complete interview skills training before serving on the board. | Selection board members need to be trained in how to conduct effective interviews and therefore attending interview skills training | HRO L\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Jan } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Ongoing | All Selection Board members have attended Interview Skills training. | 3 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  |  | should be a requirement for all |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.1.11 | Design and deliver a bespoke, face-to-face equality awareness/unconscious bias training programme for staff. | Having piloted 2 <br> Unconscious Bias programmes and reviewed the feedback we have identified the need to design a bespoke UBT programme internally. | HoD Psychology <br> HoD KBS <br> HE\&D <br> L\&D M <br> Members of ASSC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Dec 2019 | A new 2 hour UBT programme in place <br> 2 workshops delivered by Dec 2019 <br> 2 Workshops Annually | 1 |
| 5.1.12 | Carry out an intensive review of research posts, including an examination of the systemic factors that caused the downturn in female advancement in 2018. | Analysis reveals that females are significantly less likely to apply for research posts and therefore fewer females are advancing to the more senior levels (Research Assistant, Postdoc, Research Fellow) | HRO Research HE\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ |  | An increase by $18 \%$ of the number of women apply for research posts from $32 \%$ to $50 \%$ by 2021 | 2 |
| 5.1.13 | Put in place further career development programmes and supports for professional/support staff. | While more females apply for Professional and support roles and are more successful in securing roles $78 \%$ only $35 \%$ are at Director level and there are no females at SAO3 level female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L\&D M } \\ & \text { HE\&D } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April/Se } \\ & \text { pt } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | 1 prog. annually | Further career development programme in place for PSS <br> At least 1 Springboard programme for Support staff annually. <br> Increase by 10\% the representation of females at Director and SAO1 to SAO3 levels in the next 3 years through securing funding to advertise | 1 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | posts at this level and supporting women to go forward. |  |
| 5.1.14 | Promote professional/support staff involvement in the mentoring scheme. | The uptake of mentoring by PSS has fallen. Promote the scheme to PSS. | L\&D M <br> HE\&D <br> Division Directors | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Annual | Scheme promoted to all PSS. <br> Increase of 10\% each year in the uptake. Feedback in Staff Survey demonstrates an increase uptake of the scheme and an increase in valuing the scheme. | 2 |
| 5.1.15 | Survey all new employees on their experience of induction. | Only 50\% of all new employees are undertaking Induction Training. | L\&D M | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Ongoing | A positive increase in the feedback from staff on the induction programme content and the value of the programme in the staff survey | 1 |
| 5.1.16 | Finalise and launch the online induction training programme (compulsory). | A new on-line induction training programme has been developed. There is a requirement to make it compulsory | L\&D M | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Ongoing | An increase to 60\% Y1; 70\% Y2 and $80 \% \mathrm{Y} 3$ in the uptake of Induction Training A formal tracking system in place - | 1 |
| 5.1.17 | Record the completion of faculty/division-level induction in the probation reports of all new members of staff. | The probation report which should be forwarded on a quarterly basis to HR to contain detail of completion of the on-line Induction Programme | Mangers <br> HoDs <br> Supervisors | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Ongoing | Formal process for tracking completion of the on-line Induction Training Programme in place with $60 \%$ compliance in Y 1 ; $70 \%$ Y2 and $80 \%$ Y3. | 2 |
| 5.1.18 | Formalise the calculation process within the promotions procedures to | Uncertainty and lack of transparency in relation to how absences such as | VPA\&SE <br> VP\&COO <br> HR Review Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | March 2019 | Following a thorough review of the progression and promotions process a transparent process for | 1 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  | account for absences due to sick leave, maternity leave and carers leave. | Maternity Leave, career breaks are calculated within the Promotions Process exists |  |  |  | calculating absences is provided for within the procedures. <br> Survey analysis in 2020 reveals a $30 \%$ increase in satisfaction levels with the Promotions processes. |  |
| 5.1.19 | Utilise external best practice promotions models to inform the Promotions Review Process | A review of the promotions policies is due to commence. Ensure Best Practices models are considered | VPA\&SE <br> VP\&COO <br> HR Director <br> HR Review Team | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Oct } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | Dec 2019 | A thorough review of the Progression and Promotions process is completed. A revised best practice progression/ promotions process is in place and communicated. Increased satisfaction level feedback evident in the Staff Survey. | 1 |
| 5.1.20 | Review HoD training to ensure that are adequately prepared to mentor colleagues and help them prepare for promotion and progression. | Evidence suggests lack of support from HoDs to staff preparing their Promotions Portfolio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T\&D M } \\ & \text { HE\&D } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Ongoing | Increased satisfaction from staff with regard to the support provided by HODs with the Promotions Process | 2 |
| 5.1.21 | Introduce formal training for promotions board representatives and deans on giving feedback to candidates. | Evidence presented sets out dissatisfaction from staff with the feedback received when unsuccessful in the promotions process | T\&D M | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ |  | Formal Feedback Training <br> Programme in place. Increased competency demonstrated by Deans/HoDs in providing feedback. Increased satisfaction level with the feedback provided. | 2 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
| 5.1.22 | Consider deconstructing scoring categories so that more specific criteria can be identified and more specific feedback given to candidates to help them understand the outcome. | Many respondents called for greater transparency around the process, information in relation to scoring, the decision making process etc. | VPA\&SE <br> VP <br> HRD <br> Deans <br> HR Review Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Promotions Policies reviewed and new policies in place. Improved feedback processes in place. All Deans/ have undertaken training. Agreement in place to give more specific scoring feedback. | 2 |
| 5.1.23 | Examine the potential for operating separate discipline-specific promotions processes. | Concern was raised in relation to all promotions applications being reviewed together with a call for discipline-specific processes including relevant experts. | VPA\&SE <br> VP <br> HRD <br> Deans <br> HR Review Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Within the review of the Promotions Policies, consideration to undertaking discipline-specific process undertaken and an outcome agreed. | 2 |
| 5.1.24 | Support the WiSE Network by providing information sessions and impartial advice for academic/professional/su pport women considering applying for promotion. | Support to be provided to the newly formed WiSE Network | HE\&D <br> Deans Guest Speakers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Each quarter | At least 3 WiSE network meetings held in 2019. Detailed discussion on Promotions provided. | 1 |
| 5.1.25 | Provide specific one-toone mentoring on promotion. | The need for specific one to one mentoring/support with promotions was identified. | HE\&D <br> T\&D M <br> Deans <br> HoD | On complet ion of the Promoti ons review |  | More men engaged in providing Mentoring Support on the promotions processes. A 'Connecting Women' - Engaging Men to enable female success has been rolled out. | 2 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
| 5.1.26 | Facilitate focus groups with research staff to ascertain their knowledge of and satisfaction levels with the job evaluation process. | The Job Evaluation process is currently suspended. If approval is secured to reinstate the process there is a requirement to undertake discussion with Research Staff | HRD <br> ERM <br> T\&D M | Jan <br> 2020 (or <br> on re- <br> instate <br> ment of <br> the JE <br> Process <br> ) |  | Job Evaluation process reinstated. Revised scheme for researcher agreed | 1 |
| 5.1.27 | Review the appropriateness of the Hay job evaluation process for evaluating researcher and college teacher posts. | The Job Evaluation process is currently suspended. If approval is secured to reinstate the process a requirement to undertake a review as to the effectiveness of the process for some posts e.g. researchers | HRD <br> ER M | Jan <br> 2020 (or <br> on re- <br> instate <br> ment of <br> the JE <br> Process <br> ) |  | A comprehensive review undertaken. | 1 |
| 5.1.28 | Engage with the DES to restore the job evaluation process. | Huge dis-satisfaction and frustration was evident in relation to the suspension of the Job Evaluation Process | President <br> VP\&COO <br> HR Director | Jan <br> 2020 (or <br> on re- <br> instate <br> ment of <br> the JE <br> Process <br> ) |  | Job Evaluation process restored. | 1 |
| 5.1.29 | If successful with action 5.28 , review the operation of the job evaluation process with a view to | If approval is secured to reinstate the process a requirement to undertake a review of its effectiveness | HRD <br> ER M HE\&D | Jan 2020 (or on re- |  | Job Evaluation process restored. A full review of the process undertaken and improvements in place | 3 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  | ensuring it is both transparent and fit for purpose. | was highlighted in the focus groups and survey responses in relation to evaluating the post as opposed to the job holder |  | instate ment |  |  |  |
| 5.1.30 | Review all promotions processes to ensure the procedures provide for part-time working for academic, research and professional/support staff on a pro-rata basis. | Academic and PSS are frustrated that the current system does not provide for evaluating a role on a pro rata part time basis. Therefore, staff, predominantly female staff due to work part time do not have a clear career path. | HRD <br> ER M <br> HE\&D | Jan 2020 (or on reinstate ment |  | A process to account for parttime working within the promotions and Job Evaluation Process in place | 2 |
| 5.3 Career development: academic staff |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.3.1 | Increase the engagement of male staff on career development programmes. | There is a need to explore why fewer males than females avail of development opportunities. The need to engage senior male managers in equality initiatives is a priority. | HE\&D <br> L\&D M <br> President | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2019 \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \text { January } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Sept $2019$ <br> Annually for 3 years | A focus group held with senior male managers on engagement in development programmes. <br> 20\% Increased participation of male staff in training programmes each year for the next years. Data published annually. <br> Funding secured and 1 further MARC or similar programme delivered to senior/middle | 1 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | managers each year (80\%M 20\%F participation for the next 3 years |  |
| 5.3.2 | Review and relaunch the LEAD Programme and include as a compulsory element of Induction Training from 2019. | The uptake of this programme has been low. A review of the content of the programme is underway at a sectoral level with a view to redesigning and relaunching it in 2019. | HE\&D L\&D M | October 2018 | January 2020 | Tender processed. Developer in place and new programme content finalised. <br> Programme launch 2019 and e EDI (LEAD) Training included as compulsory element of Induction Training from January 2020 | 1 |
| 5.3.3 | Drive the implementation of the ePorformance system as part of the Core HR Systems Upgrade. | There is a clear need to increase participant rates in PDRs and to update the PDRs system. | HRD <br> HE\&D <br> L\&D M | 2019 | 2021 | The Core upgrade in place including access to the ePerformance option. Process agreed and roll out commenced. | 2 |
| 5.3.4 | Update the content of the PDRS Training Programme for reviewee's and reviewers. | Feedback on the PDRs process is that it is useful when performed effectively. | HRD <br> Deans <br> HE\&D <br> L\&D M | March <br> 2019 | Dec 2021 | PDRs Training content reviewed and updated. An on-line programme in place. <br> Reviewer Training undertaken every 3 to 5 years. <br> Improved proportion of staff accessing the training $20 \%$ increase annually. | 1 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
| 5.3.5 | Each HoD/Line Manager provides Dean/Division Director with an annual report on PDRS completion rates. | A quarter of staff did not find the PDRs useful. | HRD <br> Deans HODs L\&D M HE\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | On-going | Evidence of increased participation rates in PDRS one-to-one review meetings provided by Deans/Division Directors to Exec. Committee. <br> An increase to 70\% of staff who find their one to one PDRs useful. | 2 |
| 5.3.6 | Identify and roll out a suitable Woman in Leadership programme. | The feedback from the Women in Leadership Programme was extremely positive with a number of female staff wishing to participate in further programmes. | HE\&D <br> L\&DM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Annually | An excellent Woman in Leadership Programme in place and 1 programme rolled out annually achieving 90\% satisfaction rates. | 2 |
| 5.3.7 | Organise an International Women \& Leadership Conference with the Faculty of AHSS. | As part of the Women in Leadership Programme engage with external women in academia through the organisation of an Internal Women \& Leadership Conference | Dean FAHSS <br> AD FAHSS <br> HE\&D | March 2020 | March 2020 | Hosting 1 International Women in Leadership Conference | 3 |
| 5.3.8 | Implement an additional 4 year AS Doctoral Scholarship to focus on intersectional analysis of gender equality in HE to | Add to the already successful EHS/AHSSBL \& S\&E to attract excellent, | All Faculty Deans | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2023 \end{aligned}$ | 7 Doctoral Scholarships in place. <br> 2 Athena SWAN focused scholarships addressing the underrepresentation of women | 3 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  | address the underrepresentation of women from racial, ethnic and cultural minorities. Ensure scholarship opportunities are openly advertised. | ambitious and talented postdoctoral researchers. |  |  |  | from racial, ethnic and cultural minorities |  |
| 5.3.9 | Extend the interim review process beyond progression level to all academic promotions. | The interview review process has been highly successful. There have been numerous calls to extend it to other promotional levels. | HRD <br> All 4 Faculty Deans | January $2020$ | Annually | Interview reviews extended to L to SL levels in 2020 <br> To SL to Assoc Prof 2021 | 3 |
| 5.3.10 | Roll out a campaign to engage all staff in the mentoring programme. | The mentoring scheme has been highly successful in certain areas of the institution with excellent feedback received in the review. There is however a need to promote the scheme more fully and engage more mentors and mentees. | HE\&D L\&D M | March 2019 | Annually | A 30\% increase in the number of PSS staff availing of mentoring. A $20 \%$ increase in the number of academic/research staff availing of the scheme with a $90 \%$ satisfaction rate for its usefulness and effectiveness. | 1 |
| 5.3.11 | Undertake a review of the SSPC Mentoring programme in 12 months with a view to extending the model to other areas | This is the first year of the scheme in SSPC utilising external mentors for PhD students. | SSPC Representative HE\&D | Sept 19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2022 \end{aligned}$ | Scheme Reviewed. <br> Scheme extended to CONFIRM and other areas over a 4 year period. | 2 |
| 5.3.12 | Consider the merits of relaunching the | The majority of respondents were not aware of the | Research Officer T\&D M | January $2020$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Review completed and the decision to whether there is value | 3 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  | Research Coaching Programme | Research Coaching <br> Programme (62.6\%M, 73.4\% F). |  |  |  | in relaunching the scheme agreed. |  |
| 5.5 Flexible working and managing career breaks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.5.1 | Provide line managers with maternity checklist clearly setting out responsibilities for ensuring appropriate cover. | The University stipulates that all maternity leave is to be covered. The staff survey reveals that at times this is not clearly followed. | HRD <br> HRO Comps \& Bens | May <br> 2019 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Checklist in place and strategically placed on the HR Website and communicated to all Managers through Communicated to management Council. Detail included in HoD Training Workshops and Manager Workshops | 2 |
| 5.5.2 | Develop specific research funders guidelines on maternity leave provisions and strategically place on the UL HR website. | Funding bodies provide different maternity leave provisions which at times cause confused. There is a need to clarify the conditions pertaining to certain research contracts | HRO Research | March 2019 | Updates as required | Guidelines on Funding Body Maternity Leave strategically placed on the HR \& Research Websites. | 1 |
| 5.5.3 | Clarify and regularise the nature of the contract and maternity leave entitlements for hourly paid staff. | Because of the nature of contracts hourly paid staff are not entitled to paid maternity leave and the uncertainty of returning after maternity leave was highlighted by some hourly- | HRD <br> HRO Comps \& Bens | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Updates <br> as required | Clarity provided in relation to entitlement to Maternity Leave Cover and return to work for hourly paid staff. Contracts regularised where feasible. | 2 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  |  | paid staff in the staff survey in STEMM |  |  |  | Department Staff Surveys see an increase of 80\% in satisfaction rates in relation to information on entitlements for hourly paid staff |  |
| 5.5.4 | Support the setting up of a New Parents Network through the RGRAC group. | A focus group of new parents held in 2017 (8F) established interest in the setting up of a new parents network/support group | HE\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Jan 2020 | Network for new parents established 2 meetings held in 2019 | 3 |
| 5.5.5 | Roll out further workshops for new parents, including singlegender options. | A pilot workshop for New Mums took place in 2018. The feedback was that females are more comfortable in a single gender workshop discussing parenting issues/challenges. | HE\&D L\&D M | April <br> 2019 |  | 1 single gender +1 mixed workshop delivered in 2019. 2 annually from 2020. | 2 |
| 5.5.6 | Put a checklist in place to help managers provide better support to returning staff. | Managers are requested to meet with their staff on return from Maternity Leave. $74 \%$ of staff surveyed felt they had been supported by the University during leave receiving information, job opportunity details etc. and 69\% felt they received support on their return | HRO Comps \& Bens HE\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Survey staff on their return to ensure this is happening and to identify additional supports that would be welcomed. <br> An increase from 74\% to 100\% staff reporting support on return to work. | 2 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
| 5.5.7 | Review the possibility of spreading payment over 12 months for staff wishing to avail of parental leave in four-to-six-week blocks. | Taking parental leave in blocks of 4 to 6 weeks has been proposed along with spreading the cost over 12 months. This is currently not available. | HE\&D | July $2019$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | A facility in place to spread the cost over 12 months for staff wishing to take parental leave in 4 to 6 week blocks resulting in an increase of take up by male staff members by at least 5 staff per annum. | 2 |
| 5.5.8 | Publicise case studies of staff who have availed of parental leave, reduced hours and job sharing and have also progressed in their careers. | 37\% of females reported that taking parental leave, reduced hours, job sharing etc. would damage their careers | HE\&D | March 2021 | January $2022$ | Case Studies in place on the UL Intranet Site of examples of women who availed of reduced/personalised hours for a time but have also progressed in their careers. A reduction to $10 \%$ in the next staff survey of staff who feel taking reduced working hours would damage their careers. | 3 |
| 5.5.9 | Formalise the flexitime policy to provide an open and transparent process. | From the survey data it appears that the availability of flexitime is largely dependent on the area staff work in. | HRD <br> HRO ER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | March $2020$ | The availability of flexitime is formalised. Access to flexitime is in line with the formal policy. | 3 |
| 5.5.10 | Gather flexitime data centrally. | Data was unavailable on the uptake of flexitime in the institution | HRD <br> HRO ER | March 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | A formal flexitime system is in place providing uptake data. <br> Satisfaction rates with regard to the availability and access to flexitime in the staff survey is increased by 30\% | 3 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
| 5.5.11 | Review the crèche's terms of reference and develop closer links with the crèche management. | There is a need for closer involvement with the University's Crèche. | DSA SAE\&D HE\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { January } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Annually | An active liaison committee is in place with agreed improvement measures highlighted annually. | 2 |
| 5.5.12 | Survey staff and students with children to establish current and future childcare needs. | The extension of Crèche opening to 18 h 30 and some resourcing concerns were highlighted in the survey. | DSA <br> Crèche Owner <br> Crèche Liaison <br> Committee Chair | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { April } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Survey data used to inform a full review of on campus childcare requirements. Extended opening hours to 18 h 30 . <br> Links in the PDF Documents working and included on the new HR Website - Information for new staff | 3 |
| 5.5.13 | Place baby-changing facilities in disability restrooms and rename them as accessibility restrooms. | The need for baby changing facilities throughout the campus was highlighted | Director Buildings \& Estates | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Dec 2023 | 2 Baby Changing facilities in place in the main building Y1 <br> 1 facility in each of the key campus buildings Y2 | 2 |
| 5.5.14 | Place a second breastfeeding room in place on the north campus. | The lack of a breastfeeding room in the North Campus was highlighted | Director Buildings \& Estates | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Dec 2019 | Facility identified and new facility in place for end 2019 | 2 |
| 5.5.15 | Review the entitlement to lactation/breastfeeding breaks up to child's second birthday. | New breastfeeding mothers have sought to have breastfeeding breaks extended to the child's $2^{\text {nd }}$ birthday in line with some Public Sector bodies | HRO Comps \& Bens | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Review undertaken and a decision to extend the entitlement to Lactation/Breastfeeding breaks up to the child's $2^{\text {nd }}$ birthday in place | 3 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
| 5.5.16 | Assemble all family friendly policies under one clearly accessible section of new HR website. | Feedback from staff is that information on the Crèche and other family friendly policies are very difficult to find on the intranet. | HRO Comps \& Bens | March <br> 2019 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | All family friendly policies easily accessible on the new HR Website. $80 \%$ satisfaction in staff survey on access to relevant information. | 1 |
| 5.6 Organisation and culture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.6.1 | Appoint a Vice President for Equality, Diversity and Inclusivity. | GTF recommendation that each HEI will through a publicly advertised competitive process appoint a Vice-President/Director for EDI reporting directly to the President. | GA <br> President | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | GA Approval secured. <br> A formal recruitment process in place. <br> Vice President for EDI appointed and Athena SWAN Action Plan implemented by 2023 <br> Staff survey indicate strong institutional EDI leadership. | 1 |
| 5.6.2 | Appoint two additional posts to the Equality and Diversity section. | It is evident from the growth in this area that the E\&D office is under resourced. In order to continue with the University's plan to seek a Silver Award by 2022 and to deliver on the key actions as committed in the Action Plan additional resources are required. | HRD | March 2019 | Dec 2019 | Recruitment process in place. <br> Equality Manager <br> Athena SWAN Projects Officer posts filled. | 1 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
| 5.6 .3 | Increased funding to support AS initiatives. | Demonstrate commitment of University to AS | HE\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Annual plan | Budget increase to support events such as the Annual International Women's Day Conference <br> 1 Women in Leadership Conference <br> 3 Speaker Events Annually <br> 3 Special Training workshops | 1 |
| 5.6 .4 | Appoint the first Assistant Dean Equality and Diversity in the Faculty of AHSS. | Demonstrate commitment of Faculty to ASSH | Dean AHSS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ |  | Appointment of AD in place | 1 |
| 5.6 .5 | Introduce mandatory dignity and respect training for all staff. | Ensure ongoing and improved awareness of dignity and respect | HRO ER HE\&D <br> L\&D M | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ |  | Mandatory training for new and existing Staff. <br> Refresher training for all staff every 4 years. | 1 |
| 5.6 .6 | Investigate why female staff are unlikely to report incidents of unfair treatment/Bullying and Harassment. | If $25 \%$ of female respondents reported that they would not report an incident of bullying and harassment it is necessary to investigate why not and | HE\&D HRO ER | March <br> 2019 | Dec 2019 | Decrease from 25\% in the \% of female respondents less likely to report unfair treatment. | 2 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  |  | seek further responses from all staff |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.6 .7 | Ensure the dignity and respect training programme clearly sets out the supports available to staff to report incidents. | The survey demonstrated differences in Line Managers understanding of issues related to sexual harassment. It is important that they are aware that the DCP can support this process. | HRO ER HE\&D | March 2020 | March 2021 | Programme amended to include supports available to staff <br> Increase from 31\% of don't knows in response rates to line managers understanding of issues relating to sexual harassment. | 1 |
| 5.6 .8 | Put measures in place to achieve a minimum of $50 \%$ gender representation on senior management committees and sub-committees | Senior Management Committees currently have a $43 \%$ female representation. Measures should be put in place to achieve 50\% representation | President Deans | January 2022 | On-going | $50 \%$ gender balance achieved on all Senior Management Committees | 3 |
| 5.6 .9 | Where feasible, amend recruitment and selection procedures to allow for a higher representation of additional members, such as postdocs, professional/support staff or external senior female members, to serve on committees. | Remove the risk of committee overloading of senior female staff particularly in STEMM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHR } \\ & \text { HRO Recruitment } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Dec 2020 | Amended recruitment and selection procedure | 1 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
| 5.6.10 | Recognise committee participation by gender within the WAM. | There is a need to recognise committee work in the WAM | Deans <br> Line Managers | March <br> 2019 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Inclusion of committee work in initially in the new pilot eWAM and further in the rolled out WAM to all academic units. | 2 |
| 5.6.11 | Devise and apply an Equality Impact Assessment process when monitoring and reviewing policies from an equality perspective. | Ensure all policies have a strong equality focus | HE\&D HRO ER | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | On-going | All policies new and existing are reviewed from an equality perspective | 2 |
| 5.6.12 | Implement a pilot eWAM in EHS | A clear need to have an institutional WAM Framework was identified. EHS volunteered to pilot the eWAM. | Deans HE\&D ITD | March 2019 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March } \\ & 2020 \text { - } \\ & 2023 \end{aligned}$ | Pilot electronic WAM system rolled out in EHS. <br> Successfully reviewed and decision to roll out to all faculties in place | 1 |
| 5.6.13 | Ensure that gender is considered within the WAM. | Ensure that gender is included in WAM | HRD <br> Deans <br> HE\&D | March 2021 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dec } \\ & 2023 \end{aligned}$ | Training provided to Deans/HODs ensuring the inclusion of gender in the WAM | 3 |
| 5.6.14 | Develop an 'out of hours' communications protocol. | 75\% of academic respondents claimed they were working in excess of their contracted hours. The wellbeing of our staff is crucial. Introducing an 'out of hours' protocol may help | DHR <br> HRO ER HE\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Jan 2020 | Culture of not sending or reading emails outside of working hours in place. <br> Reduction from 75\% to 40\% of academic respondents working in excess of contracted hours. | 3 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  |  | improve work life balance in the University |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.6.15 | Ensure that all regular management meetings occur between 10 h 00 and 16 h 00 and that policies are in place to support this. | Enable staff with caring responsibilities to attend all meetings | EC members <br> Deans <br> Chairs <br> Division Directors | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | March <br> 2020 | Policy in place stipulating that all meetings held between 10.00 and 16.00 | 2 |
| 5.6.16 | Ensure that the numbers of Research Impact case studies and podcasts are gender balanced. | Assist in developing role models in STEMM | VPR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | On-going | 50/50 Gender representation in all case studies and podcasts | 3 |
| 5.6.17 | Implement a direct and specific advertising campaign to engage and attract male delegates to the International Women's Day conference. | Attendance at the IWDC is predominantly male. The aim is to have a greater representation of both genders at the conference supporting our aim of engaging men in the conversation. | All attendees | Annual |  | Each female participants registers a male colleague. 20\% increase in male attendance Year 2. 30\% Year 3. | 2 |
| 5.6.18 | Organise an International Men's Day event to be held annually in November. | Mindful of equality for all an annual International Men's Day should be organised. | HE\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | Annually | An annual event is held and well represented with positive feedback in the Staff Survey on the initiative | 2 |
| 5.6.19 | Collect participant data by gender on UL outreach volunteers and supervisors. | Improve the gender balance of volunteers and supervisors at outreach | Faculties | 2019 | On-going | Collation of participation data and improvements in gender balance | 1 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  |  | events to better reflect the gender balance in society |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.6 .20 | Enhance the linkage and coordination of outreach activity to maximise impact. | The need to enhance the linkages to ensure impact of activities | EpiSTEM \& Faculties | January 2019 | Ongoing | Shared rolling calendar of outreach activities with objectives \& audiences identified | 2 |
| 5.6 .21 | Develop methodologies to evaluate the impact of outreach. | Currently there is no formal evaluation of outreach activities to measure their impact | EPiSTEM <br> Relevant research centres <br> Deans | January 2019 | Ongoing | Measure in place to track follow thought from schools to increased student registrations | 3 |
| 5.6.22 | Hold quarterly UL AS Network events. | The internal UL AS Network brings SATs together to share best practice and build capacity. | HE\&D <br> AS Co-ordinator | January 2019 | Annually | The Network will host three workshops on AS issues per year | 3 |
| 5.6.23 | Lead on the development of the National AS Network Ireland. | UL has led on the implementation of AS since 2015 through the HE\&D who acted as former chair of the National Network. | HE\&D | January 2019 | Decemb er 2019 | HE\&D elected chair for 2019 <br> Terms of Reference for the Network in place. <br> 3 Meetings held in 2019 | 1 |
| 6. Supporting trans people |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.1 | Finalise and formally launch the Gender | To put in place an informed Gender Identity | HE\&D <br> Trans Team Committee members | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { April } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | April <br> 2019 | In consultation with the Trans Support and Intersectionality teams and relevant stakeholders | 1 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  | Identity and Gender Expression policy. | and Gender Expression policy <br> Training and communications strategy required | HE\&D HR L\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Annual | the Gender Identity and Gender Expression policy is finalised and launched. <br> Policy published and available on public website. |  |
| 6.2 | Provide training on the Gender Identity and Gender Expression policy to all members of staff. | There is currently no formal procedures in place to support staff or students who transition or identify as transgender or gender diverse <br> To communicate the policy and ensure all staff and students are aware of its contents <br> To Raise awareness of gender-neutral pronouns | HE\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Dec 2019 | Information workshops held. Feedback positive <br> Knowledge of policy in Staff Survey receive 100\% <br> In Excess of 100 Staff will have undertaken gender awareness training by end 2019 with strong programme evaluation | 1 |
| 6.3 | Roll out the It Stops Now programme to students | To effectively communicate the It STOPS Now | SU Welfare Office HE\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | Annual Sept | High attendance from students at the workshop including all $1^{\text {st }}$ year students | 2 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  | and to staff working with students | Programme to Students and Staff working with Students |  | August 2019 |  | Excellent student Feedback on the Programme <br> All $1^{\text {st }}$ year students in attendance |  |
| 6.4 | Finalise the Flag Flying policy. | To ensure that the trans flag can be flown as requested | Special Advisor on Equality \& Diversity; Buildings |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Flag Flying policy agreed by GA ensuring protocol is in place to fly the Trans Flag annually | 3 |
| 6.5 | Include gender-neutral restrooms in the design specification of all new buildings on campus. | To provide an appropriate environment for transgender people | Buildings <br> Special Advisor on Equality \& Diversity; Buildings | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { April } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | Ongoing | All new and refurbished buildings will include gender-neutral restrooms | 3 |
| 6.6 | Include reference in the Gender Identity and Gender Expression policy to how the impact of the policy will be monitored. | To ensure the effectiveness of the policy the impact of the policy needs to be monitored (e.g. through surveys run by Student Life (students), the Quality Support Unit (graduating students) and ASSC (staff) and $H R$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SU } \\ & \text { QSU } \\ & \text { ASSC } \\ & \text { HR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { April } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Biannual | Bi- annual staff equality survey shows $100 \%$ awareness of the policy and Trans issues | 3 |
| 6.7 | Provide designated contact persons with training in LGBTi issues. | There is a clear need that all designated contact persons need to receive training in LGBT issues. | HE\&D <br> HR L\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Dec 2020 | DCP feedback demonstrates full understanding of LGBTi | 1 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
| 6.8 | Gather feedback through STAD and recommend effective ways to prevent transphobia and discrimination. | We will continue to gather feedback through STAD and recommend effective ways to prevent transphobia and discrimination. | HE\&D <br> Trans Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | As publishe d | Best Practice initiatives introduced informed by the STAD reports | 3 |
| 6.9 | Update forms and the Student Record System to reflect multiple gender fields. | To provide and keep correct records for transgender and non-binary students <br> There is a need to update fields in the Core HR System to reflect multiple gender fields. | VPA\&SE <br> DSA <br> Director ITD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2021 \end{aligned}$ | Student record system and corresponding forms changed <br> Procedures in place for students and staff seeking a preferred name change | 1 |
| 6.10 | Degender HR policies. | To provide a supportive environment for transgender people <br> To include gender neutral pronouns | HRD <br> HE\&D | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Jan } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2021 \end{aligned}$ | All new HR policies degendered; 60\% of existing policies degendered | 3 |
| 6.11 | Hold trans workshops for ASSC, Executive <br> Committee, SATs and EDI committee members and the wider campus community, including students. | To raise staff and students' awareness and acceptance levels of transgender and non-binary students <br> To Raise awareness of gender-neutral pronouns | UL Student Life HE\&D HR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2021 \end{aligned}$ | 75\% of ASSC, EC, SATs and EDI committee members have attended training; 50 staff members attend training annually; 50 students attend training annually | 2 |


| \# | Action | Rationale | Person responsible | Timeframe |  | Measure of success | Pr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
| 6.12 | Engage with Limerick's GOSHH (Gender <br> Orientation, Sexual Health, HIV) service to facilitate the promotion of equality and wellbeing. | There is a clear need to raise awareness within the student and staff community on ensuring UL provides a safe environment for all students and staff | SU President <br> Director SA <br> VPAS\&E <br> HR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Annual | Zero incidents reported in 2019. Campaign undertaken and positive feedback received in the Staff and Student Surveys | 2 |
| 6.13 | Support UL Student Life and Out in UL to host annual transgender health and awareness weeks. | To create a culture of awareness and acceptance for transgender and nonbinary students include as part of Charity Week | SU President SU Welfare Officer Director SA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Annual | 1 week per year | 1 |

## List of abbreviations and acronyms

| Acronym | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: |
| AHSS | (Faculty of ) Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences |
| AHSSBL | Arts, humanities, social science, business and law (departments) |
| AP | Associate Professor |
| AS | Athena SWAN |
| ASSC | Athena SWAN Steering Committee |
| avg | average |
| BSC | Bachelor of Science |
| CAO | Central Applications Office |
| CID | Contract of indefinite duration |
| CPD | Continuing professional development |
| CSL | Clinical Skills Laboratories |
| DARE | Disability Access Route to Education |
| DES | Department of Education and Skills |
| DN\&M | Department of Nursing and Midwifery |
| E\&D | Equality \& Diversity (section) |
| EDI | Equality, Diversity and Inclusion |
| EHS | (Faculty of) Education and Health Sciences |
| ESHTE | End Sexual Harassment and Violence in Third Level Education |
| EU | European Union |
| F | Female |
| FMC | Faculty Management Committee |
| FTE | Full-time equivalent |
| GEMS | Graduate Entry Medical School |
| HE | Higher education (sector) |
| HE\&D | Head of Equality and Diversity |
| HEA | Higher Education Authority |
| HEAR | Higher Education Access Route |
| HoD | Head of Department |
| HR | Human Resources |
| HSE | Health Service Executive |
| IoT | Institute of Technology |
| J\&J | Johnson \& Johnson |
| KBS | Kemmy Business School |
| L | Lecturer |
| LAB | Lecturer above the Bar |
| LBB | Lecturer below the Bar |
| LGBTi | Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex |
| LGBTQ | Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer |
| LGBTQIA+ | Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, intersex and allies |
| M | Male |
| MARC | Men Achieving Real Change |
| MSc | Master of Science |
| N | Sample size |
| NMBI | Nursing and Midwifery Board of Ireland |
| P | Professor |
| PDRS | Performance and Development Review System |
| PG | Postgraduate |


| PhD | Doctor of Philosophy |
| :--- | :--- |
| Res | responses |
| RGRAC | Research Grant for Returning Academic Carers |
| ROI | Republic of Ireland |
| S\&E | (Faculty of) Science and Engineering |
| SAH | School of Allied Health |
| SAO | Senior Administrative Officer |
| SAT | Self-assessment team |
| SL | Senior Lecturer |
| SRF | Senior research fellow |
| THEA | Technological Higher Education Association |
| UG | Undergraduate |
| UL | University of Limerick |
| UNHCR | United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees |
| VPAASE | Vice President Academic Affairs \& Student Engagement |
| WAM | Workload allocation model |
| WiSE | Women in Science and Engineering |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The national average comes from the HEA so where relevant in tables, we label national average figures as 'HEA avg'.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ We use the term 'unit' to mean academic departments and schools, and professional/support services.

[^2]:    Action 3.8 Establish a central data platform for faculties/schools/departments on SharePoint.

[^3]:    * National average data from HEA website for female core-funded staff December 2017, 3-yr average Dec 2014-2016, and 3-yr average Dec 2013-2015 respectively.

[^4]:    *Academic contracts

[^5]:    *Not an executive dean. The other five deans are executive deans and members of Executive Committee.

[^6]:    Action 6.4 Finalise the Flag Flying policy.

